

### Sample solution for Pierce 15.5.2.

(1) If we drop the first premise of S-REF, we have

$$\frac{T_1 <: S_1}{\text{Ref } S_1 <: \text{Ref } T_1} \quad (\text{S-REF1})$$

Since  $\{x:\text{Bool},y:\text{Bool}\} <: \{x:\text{Bool}\}$ , we have by S-REF1 that  $\text{Ref } \{x:\text{Bool}\} <: \text{Ref } \{x:\text{Bool},y:\text{Bool}\}$ . So the program

```
(λr:Ref {x:Bool,y:Bool}.!r.y)(ref {x=true})
```

or, equivalently,

```
let r = ref {x=true} as Ref {x:Bool,y:Bool} in
  !r.y
```

will typecheck, since we can apply T-SUB to the argument to make it match the declared type (or ascription) for  $r$ . But the program will clearly get stuck attempting to access the  $y$  field from a record that has none.

(2) If we drop the second premise of S-REF, we have

$$\frac{S_1 <: T_1}{\text{Ref } S_1 <: \text{Ref } T_1} \quad (\text{S-REF2})$$

This gives  $\text{Ref } \{x:\text{Bool},y:\text{Bool}\} <: \text{Ref } \{x:\text{Bool}\}$ . So the program

```
let r = ref {x=true,y=true}
in (λu:Ref {x:Bool}. u := {x=true}) r;
  r.y
```

or, equivalently,

```
let r = ref {x=true,y=true} in
let u = r as Ref {x:Bool} in
  u := {x=true};
  r.y
```

will typecheck, since we can apply T-SUB to  $r$  to make it match the declared type (or ascription) for  $u$ . But the program will again get stuck attempting to access the  $y$  field from a record that has none.

### Sample solution for Pierce 15.5.3

Compiling and executing the program

```
class T {
    public static void main(String argv[]) {
        Object[] a = new String[1];
        a[0] = new Object();
    }
}
```

yields

```
Exception in thread "main" java.lang.ArrayStoreException
    at T.main(T.java:4)
```

### Sample solution for Pierce 16.2.3.

Let  $s = (\lambda r:S.r)\{x=true,y=true\}$ ,  $t = \{x=true,y=true\}$ ,  $S = \{x:Bool\}$ , and  $T = \{x:Bool,y:Bool\}$ . Then we have  $s \rightarrow^* t$ ,  $\vdash s : S$ ,  $\vdash t : T$ , and  $T <: S$  but not vice-versa.