

CS 410/510spec Takehome Midterm – Due in class Tuesday, Feb. 16

Please spend no more than **three hours** on this exam, which should be more than enough. You may use any books and notes referenced in class, but please *do not* consult the internet (not that it would be likely to do you too much good).

Please turn the exam in on paper; there's no need to type-set it unless your handwriting is hopelessly illegible.

If you have questions, feel free to email me (tolmach@pdx.edu).

Below, you will find three scenarios, each stating some facts and drawing a conclusion, which might or might not be intuitively valid. For each scenario, your task is to give a **formal** statement of the scenario and a **formal** verification *or* refutation of the conclusion.

For *each* scenario, you must choose to model it in exactly one of the following forms of logic.

- Propositional logic. In this case, your formal statement of the scenario must define all atomic sentences and give a sequent. A verification must be presented as a complete natural deduction proof of the sequent; a refutation must be in the form of a valuation falsifying the sequent.
- Predicate logic. In this case, the formal statement must define all predicates, functions, and constants, and give a sequent. Again, a verification must be presented as a complete natural deduction proof of the sequent; a refutation must be in the form of a model falsifying the sequent.
- Linear temporal logic. In this case, the formal statement must define all atoms, and give a single (implication) formula relating the premises to the conclusion. A verification must be presented as a reduction of that formula to **True** using equivalence laws from Lamport or Huth&Ryan; a refutation must be in the form of a state sequence that does not satisfy the formula.

Choose the logic that seems to you to **best** allow a clear statement and analysis of the scenario, without introducing unnecessary detail or complications. There may not be a single “correct” choice in all cases.

Notes:

1. The formal statements are just as important as the verifications/refutations.
2. The facts need not necessarily be in one-to-one correspondence with formal premises.
3. If you feel the need to make further assumptions about a scenario, write them down explicitly.

Turn over...

Scenario 1

Facts:

- All programs have bugs.
- Chris is a programmer who never gives up.
- Programmers always keep writing programs.
- People always test at least some of the programs they write.
- If anyone tests a program that has bugs, they will eventually find one of those bugs or they will stop trying.

Conclusion: Chris will find a program bug some day.

Scenario 2

Facts:

- No safe programs are unpopular on the internet.
- No program in C is free from memory access violations.
- All useful programs operate on null-terminated strings.
- No program with memory access violations is popular on the internet.
- Only C programs operate on null-terminated strings.

Conclusion: No useful program is safe.

Scenario 3

Suppose elections for a certain government office are held each year.

Facts:

- At some point, my family will get interested in politics, and after that, at least one of us will vote in every election from then on.
- Each election ballot consists of one Democratic and one Republican candidate (write-in candidates are not allowed), and one of them always wins.
- Neither party stays in office indefinitely.

Conclusion: eventually someone in my family will vote for the candidate who wins (that year).