CS311—Computational Structures

Problems, Languages, Machines, Computability, Complexity

Lecture 1
Andrew P. Black
Andrew Tolmach
The Geography Game

• Could you write a computer program to play the geography game?
Face Recognition

• Are these the same person?
Face Recognition

• Are these the same person?
Face Recognition

• Are these the same person?
Face Recognition

• Are these the same person?
• Can you program a computer to recognize people?
Can you write a computer program that looks at a windows driver and decides if it will terminate within 100 ms?
An error occurred whilst trying to load the application, "bluescreen.exe"

An error occurred whilst trying to load the previous error.

Hey, it looks like you're having an error!
What’s the course about?

- What it really means to be a computer
  - Problems as “formal” language “recognition”
  - Algorithms as “formal” machine “programs”
- Different models of computation.
- What computers can do, and what they can’t do
- What’s easy to compute, and what’s hard
What’s the course about?

• What it really means to be a computer
  • Problems as “formal” language “recognition”
  • Algorithms as “formal” machine “programs”

• Different models of computation.

• What computers can do, and what they can’t do

• What’s easy to compute, and what’s intractable
Major Technical Topics

- Regular languages and regular expressions, deterministic and nondeterministic automata, closure properties, pumping lemma.
- Context-free languages and pushdown automata, parsing, closure properties, pumping lemma.
- Turing machines, Church-Turing thesis, equivalent models of computation.
- Computability: decidable and undecidable problems.
- Complexity classes: P, NP, completeness.
Official Course Objectives

Upon the successful completion of this course students will be able to:

1. Find regular grammars and context-free grammars for simple languages whose strings are described by given properties.
2. Apply algorithms to: transform regular expressions to NFAs, NFAs to DFAs, and DFAs to minimum-state DFAs; construct regular expressions from NFAs or DFAs; and transform between regular grammars and NFAs.
3. Apply algorithms to transform: between PDAs that accept by final state and those that accept by empty stack; and between context-free grammars and PDAs that accept by empty stack.
4. Describe LL($k$) grammars; perform factorization if possible to reduce the size of $k$; and write recursive descent procedures and parse tables for simple LL(1) grammars.
5. Transform grammars by removing all left recursion and by removing all possible productions that have the empty string on the right side.
6. Apply pumping lemmas to prove that some simple languages are not regular or not context-free.

7. State the Church-Turing Thesis and solve simple problems with some of the following models of computation: Turing machines (single-tape and multi-tape); while-loop programs; partial recursive functions; Markov algorithms; Post algorithms; the lambda calculus; and Post systems.

8. Describe the concepts of unsolvable and partially solvable; state the halting problem and prove that it is unsolvable and partially solvable; and use diagonalization to prove that the set of total computable functions cannot be enumerated.

9. Describe the hierarchy of languages and give examples of languages at each level that do not belong in a lower level.

10. Describe the complexity classes P, NP, and PSPACE.

11. Use an appropriate programming language as an experimental tool for testing properties of computational structures.
Course Tools

• What you need for this course:
  • Knowledge from the prerequisite courses (esp. CS250)
  • Textbook — Hopcroft, Motwani & Ullman
  • Pencil and paper
  • Brain and time

• What you don’t need for this course:
  • A computer (except just a little bit now and then)
  • An attitude of fear and loathing

• This is a theoretical subject...embrace it!
Things to Do

• Read the syllabus
• Register for the course!
• Attend class and take notes
• Read the class web page
  • http://www.cs.pdx.edu/~black/cs311
• Sign up for the email list
• Read Chs. 1,2.1-2 of the IALC textbook
• Take the Entrance Exam
Gradiance

• On-line tutoring and quiz tool from Addison-Wesley

• Set up an account and connect to this course:
  • http://www.gradiance.com/pearson/servlet/SSOConnectorLogin
  • Course Token is TBA
  • This token is NOT on the web site, so write it down!
Warning!

- I expect you to read the class web page
- I expect you to read the class email list
- I expect you to read *and work problems from* the textbook!

If you can’t or won’t or don’t have time to work independently, you will have trouble with this course.
Review of languages

- **Languages** are sets of strings of symbols drawn from some alphabet

- Some language examples:
  - $L_1 = \{a^n b^n \mid n \leq 2\}$
  - $L_2 = \{a^n b^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$
  - $L_3 = \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$
  - $L_4 = \{a^n b^m c^p \mid n, m, p \geq 0\}$
  - $L_5 = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$

- $L_1 \subseteq L_3 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq L_4 \quad L_5 \subseteq L_4$
Problems as Languages

• Why do we care about languages (in this sense)?
• They show up everywhere in CS
  • programming languages, HTML and XML documents, ...
  • (They also show up in human linguistics...)
• But the big reason for this course: we can use formal languages to describe problems
• In particular, we can reduce decision problems (is P true?) to language membership problems (is the encoding of P in some language L?)
Example: tic-tac-toe

• Decision problem: for a given configuration, can the next player always win?

• Encode configurations as strings, e.g.

  OBXOBXBOB  BBBBBBBBB  OBXOBBBBB

• Define language $L =$ set of configuration strings for which the next player can always win.

• For configuration $C$, we ask “is the encoding of $C$ in $L$?”
Example: arithmetic

• Decision problem: given $p,q,r \in \mathbb{N}$, is $p \times q = r$?
  • E.g. $6 \times 7 = 42?$  $7 \times 9 = 64?$  $8 \times 8 = 91?$

• Encoding is simple
  • E.g. 6B7B42  7B9B64  8B8B91

• Define $L = \{pBqBr \mid p,q,r \in \{0..9\}^* \text{ and } p \times q = r\}$

• For a particular $p,q,r$, ask “is $pBqBr \in L$?”
• Is restriction to **decision** problems too limiting?
\( \mathbb{N} \) and its cardinality

- \( \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots \} \)
- How can we define \( \mathbb{N} \) without “…”?
\[ \mathbb{N} \] and its cardinality

- \[ \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots \} \]

- How can we define \[ \mathbb{N} \] without “…”?

By induction

1. \( 0 \in \mathbb{N} \)
2. if \( x \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( x + 1 \in \mathbb{N} \)
3. these are the only elements of \[ \mathbb{N} \]
Cardinality of Sets
Cardinality of Sets

• How can we decide if two sets are of the same size?
Cardinality of Sets

• How can we decide if two sets are of the same size?
• Set up a *bijection* (one-to-one and onto mapping) between the elements of the sets
Cardinality of Sets

• How can we decide if two sets are of the same size?
• Set up a *bijection* (one-to-one and onto mapping) between the elements of the sets
• Is there a bijection between $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\text{even}}$?
Cardinality of Sets

• How can we decide if two sets are of the same size?
• Set up a bijection (one-to-one and onto mapping) between the elements of the sets
  • Is there a bijection between $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\text{even}}$ ?
  • Sure!
Countability

- A **countable** set is one that is either finite or has the same cardinality as $\mathbb{N}$
- Any subset of a countable set is countable
- $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N}_{\text{even}}$ are countable
- We reason about countable sets using induction
Proofs by Induction
Proofs by Induction

• For example, to prove some property $P(n)$ for all natural numbers $n$, it suffices to prove:
  • Base case: $P(0)$ is true.
  • Inductive step: If $P(n)$ is true, then $P(n+1)$ is true, for all $n \geq 0$. 
Proofs by Induction

- For example, to prove some property $P(n)$ for all natural numbers $n$, it suffices to prove:
  - Base case: $P(0)$ is true.
  - Inductive step: If $P(n)$ is true, then $P(n+1)$ is true, for all $n \geq 0$.

- Why is this enough?
  - Every natural number can be produced by starting with 0 and repeatedly adding 1.
  - So, we can prove $P(n)$ for any $n$ by applying inductive step $n$ times, then base case.
Prove by Induction

• Theorem: $0 + 1 + 2 + \ldots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

• State the induction hypothesis:
  • let $H(n)$ be $0 + 1 + 2 + \ldots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

• Prove the base case: $H(0)$
  
  $H(0)$ is $0 = 0 \cdot (1)/2$

  This is true because 0 is the zero of multiplication
A simple inductive proof

• Prove the inductive step:
  • We have to show that $H(n) \Rightarrow H(n + 1)$
  • $H(n)$ is $0 + 1 + 2 + \ldots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

add $(n+1)$ to both sides:

$$0+1+2+\ldots+n+(n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n+1)$$

$$= \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{2(n+1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)}{2}$$

$H(n + 1)$

QED.
Countability of \( \mathbb{Q} \)

- It's countable because we can line up its elements in order and count them.

- Why do we do it in this weird zig-zag fashion?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{3} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{4} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{5} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{6} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{7} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{8} )</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \frac{2}{1} )</td>
<td>( \frac{2}{3} )</td>
<td>( \frac{2}{4} )</td>
<td>( \frac{2}{5} )</td>
<td>( \frac{2}{6} )</td>
<td>( \frac{2}{7} )</td>
<td>( \frac{2}{8} )</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \frac{3}{1} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3}{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3}{4} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3}{5} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3}{6} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3}{7} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3}{8} )</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \frac{4}{1} )</td>
<td>( \frac{4}{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{4}{3} )</td>
<td>( \frac{4}{4} )</td>
<td>( \frac{4}{5} )</td>
<td>( \frac{4}{6} )</td>
<td>( \frac{4}{7} )</td>
<td>( \frac{4}{8} )</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \frac{5}{1} )</td>
<td>( \frac{5}{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{5}{3} )</td>
<td>( \frac{5}{4} )</td>
<td>( \frac{5}{5} )</td>
<td>( \frac{5}{6} )</td>
<td>( \frac{5}{7} )</td>
<td>( \frac{5}{8} )</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \frac{6}{1} )</td>
<td>( \frac{6}{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{6}{3} )</td>
<td>( \frac{6}{4} )</td>
<td>( \frac{6}{5} )</td>
<td>( \frac{6}{6} )</td>
<td>( \frac{6}{7} )</td>
<td>( \frac{6}{8} )</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{1} )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{3} )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{4} )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{5} )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{6} )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{7} )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{8} )</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>( \frac{8}{1} )</td>
<td>( \frac{8}{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{8}{3} )</td>
<td>( \frac{8}{4} )</td>
<td>( \frac{8}{5} )</td>
<td>( \frac{8}{6} )</td>
<td>( \frac{8}{7} )</td>
<td>( \frac{8}{8} )</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To Prove: The set of real numbers \( \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < x < 1\} \), is uncountable.

Proof by contradiction: Suppose that the set of reals is countable. Then we can claim to make an enumeration of all of them, and that it looks something like this:

1. 0.38602563708....
2. 0.57350762050....
3. 0.99356753207....
4. 0.25763200456....
5. 0.00005320562....
6. 0.99035638567....
7. 0.55522730567....
8. .....................
9. .....................
10. ........................
Now we claim to have listed every decimal between 0 and 1. But you can always give me a decimal which is not in my table! Do it like this:

1. Take the first digit = 7 (not 3); Decimal = 0.7.....
2. Take the second digit = 3 (not 7); Decimal = 0.73.....
3. Take the third digit = 6 (not 3); Decimal = 0.736.....
4. Take the fourth digit = 7 (not 6); Decimal = 0.7367.....
5. Take the fifth digit = 4 (not 5); Decimal = 0.73674.....
6. Take the sixth = 4 (not 6); Decimal = 0.736744.....
7. .............. 0.736744?.....
8. .............. 0.736744??.....
9. ..............
10.

The rule is: make sure that the $k^{th}$ digit of the new decimal is not equal to the $k^{th}$ digit of the $k^{th}$ number in my original list. (We avoid using 9 and 0.)
Complete the Argument

- If the author of the enumeration says: the number that you wrote is already in my list, at position 153, you can say:

- No! My number differs from that in the 153rd decimal digit.

- So, the number you wrote in not in the list.

- Therefore, the list was not a complete enumeration of all the real numbers.

- But we can repeat this argument for any supposed enumeration

- So there is no effective enumeration of $\mathbb{R}$
Uncomputability

• Is there anything a computer **cannot** do, given sufficient time, memory, etc.?

• **Yes**, and we can prove it!

• Informally today
  • By a counting argument
  • By showing a particular uncomputable problem

• More formally in the remainder of the course
More countability facts

- For any alphabet $\Sigma$, the set of all finite strings $\Sigma^*$ over that alphabet is countable
  - E.g., if $\Sigma = \{a,b,c\}$, then $\Sigma^* = \{\varepsilon, a, b, c, aa, ab, ac, ba, bb, bc, ca, cb, cc, aaa, \ldots\}$

- But the set of infinite strings over even the very simple alphabet $\{0,1\}$ is uncountable
  - By simple modification of diagonalization argument for reals
So many problems...

- Each language $A$ over $\Sigma$ corresponds to a unique infinite string $X_A$ over $\{0, 1\}$, its characteristic sequence:
  - Enumerate $\Sigma^*$ as $\{s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots\}$
  - Define $X_i = 1$ if $s_i \in A$, $X_i = 0$ otherwise
  - $X_A = X_1 X_2 X_3 \ldots$

- So the set of all languages over $\Sigma$ is uncountable

- Since each language corresponds to a problem, there are an uncountable number of problems
Let’s assume that every algorithm can be written down as a finite sequence of symbols over a fixed alphabet.

- For example as a computer program written in ASCII.

Then the number of algorithms is **countable**.

Since there are uncountably many decision problems and only countably many algorithms, there must be some decision problems for which there is no algorithm!

- Indeed, uncountably many of them...
A specific uncomputable problem

• Suppose we’d like to write a program H that behaves as follows:
  • H reads as input the text of another program G and an input string I.
  • H answers the following question: when G is run on input I, does it print the string “Hello World!”?
  • If so, H prints the string “yes”; otherwise it prints “no”
  • (Assume all programs are written in the C language; it doesn’t really matter.)
Graphically...
Examples of how H works

• For example, if H is given the program

```c
void main() {
    if (getc() == ‘a’) printf(“Hello world!
”);
}
```

it should surely output “yes” if the input I begins with an ‘a’ and “no” otherwise

• Of course, sometimes it will be harder, e.g.,

```c
void main() {
    if (foo(bar(baz(…))) /* will have to look at these */
        printf(“Hello world!
”);
}
```
Another H example

- Still worse, we might give it something like $G =$

```c
void main() {
    int n, total, x,y,z;
    scanf("%d",&n); /* read n from standard input */
    for (total = 3;; total++) {
        for (x = 1; x <= total-2; x++)
            for (y = 1; y <= total-x-1; y++) {
                z = total - x - y;
                if (pow(x,n) + pow(y,n) == pow(z,n))
                    printf("Hello,world!\n");
            }
    }
}
```

- Now $G$ has to be at least as smart as 300+ years of human mathematicians
  - from Fermat (1637) to Wiles (1995)

- But still, why not?
Why H is impossible

• Let’s assume we have H, and show that this leads to an impossibility.

• If we have H, we can easily modify it in two ways, producing a new program H'.
  • Instead of printing “no”, H' prints “Hello world!”
  • Instead of reading both G and I as input, it uses the same input G twice — as program to test and as input for that program
Graphically

G → H

H → H'

H → "yes"

H → "no"

H → "Hello world!"

"yes"
Self-application

• Now, what will H' do if it is given **itself** as input?
• If it would print “Hello, world!” then it prints “yes” — oops!
• If it would not print “Hello, world!” then it prints “Hello, world!” — oops!
• Paradoxical situation!
• So H' can’t exist. So H can’t exist either.
Complaints?

• Not a very realistic problem?
  • Once we have one unsolvable problem about programs, we can easily produce others. In fact, every non-trivial property of programs is undecidable

• The argument was too vague?
  • We’ll spend most of the course learning to be more careful

• So there’s no C program. But does that mean there’s no algorithm?
  • Can’t prove this, but we’ll give convincing evidence for the so-called Church-Turing Hypothesis