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Race conditions

- **What is a race condition?**
  - two or more processes have an inconsistent view of a shared memory region (I.e., a variable)

- **Why do race conditions occur?**
  - values of memory locations replicated in registers during execution
  - context switches at arbitrary times during execution
  - processes can see “stale” memory values in registers

- **What solutions can we apply?**
  - prevent context switches by preventing interrupts?
  - make processes coordinate with each other to ensure mutual exclusion in accessing “critical sections” of code
Counter increment race condition

Incrementing a counter (load, increment, store)
Context switch can occur after load and before increment!
Mutual exclusion conditions

- No two processes simultaneously in critical region
- No assumptions made about speeds or numbers of CPUs
- No process running outside its critical region may block another process
- No process must wait forever to enter its critical region
Critical regions with mutual exclusion

Mutual exclusion using critical regions
How can we implement mutual exclusion?

- What about using a binary “lock” variable in memory and having processes check it and set it before entry to critical regions?

- Many computers have some limited hardware support for setting locks
  - “Atomic” Test and Set Lock instruction
  - “Atomic” compare and swap operation

- Solves the problem of
  - Expressing intention to enter C.S.
  - Actually setting a lock to prevent concurrent access
Test and Set Lock

- Test-and-set does two things atomically:
  - Test a lock (whose value is returned)
  - Set the lock

- Lock obtained when the return value is FALSE
- If TRUE, someone already had the lock (and still has it)

1. extract value
2. Set TRUE

lock = \{TRUE, FALSE\}
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Critical section entry code with TSL

\begin{align*}
&\text{repeat} \\
&\quad \text{while (TSL(lock))} \\
&\quad \text{no-op;}
&\text{critical section I}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
&\text{Lock = FALSE;} \\
&\text{remainder section}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
&\text{until FALSE}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
&\text{repeat J} \\
&\quad \text{while (TSL(lock))} \\
&\quad \text{no-op;}
&\text{critical section}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
&\text{Lock = FALSE;} \\
&\text{remainder section}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
&\text{until FALSE}
\end{align*}

Guaranteed that only one process returns with FALSE when a lock is returned to the system and others are waiting to act on the lock.
Generalized primitives for critical sections

- Thus far, the solutions have used busy waiting
  - A process consumes CPU resources to evaluate when a lock becomes free
  - On a single CPU system busy waiting prevents the lock holder from running, completing the critical section and releasing the lock!
  - It would be better to block instead of busy wait (on a single CPU system)

- Blocking synchronization primitives
  - Sleep - allows a process to sleep on a condition
  - Wakeup - allows a process to signal another process that a condition it was waiting on is true
  - But how can these be implemented?
Blocking synchronization primitives

- **Sleep and wakeup are system calls**
  - OS can implement them by managing a data structure that records who is blocked and on what condition
  - but how can the OS access these data structures atomically?

- **Concurrency in the OS: context switches and interrupts**
  - the OS can arrange not to perform a context switch while manipulating its data structures for sleep and wakeup
  - but what about interrupts?
  - what if interrupt handlers manipulate the sleep and wakeup data structures? What if they need synchronization?
  - how can the OS synchronize access to its own critical sections?
Disabling interrupts

- Disabling interrupts in the OS vs disabling interrupts in user processes
  - why not allow user processes to disable interrupts?
  - is it ok to disable interrupts in the OS?
  - what precautions should you take?
Generic synchronization problems
Producer/Consumer with busy waiting

process producer{
    while (1) {
        // produce char c
        while (count == n)
            no_op;
        buf[InP] = c;
        InP = InP + 1 mod n
        count++;
    }
}

process consumer{
    while (1) {
        while (count == 0)
            no_op;
        c = buf[OutP];
        OutP = OutP + 1 mod n
        count--;
        // consume char
    }
}

Global variables:
char buf[n]
int InP, OutP; // [0–n–1]
int count
Problems with busy waiting solution

- Producer and consumer can't run at the same time
- Count variable can be corrupted if context switch occurs at the wrong time
- Bugs difficult to track down
Producer/Consumer with blocking

process producer{
    while(1){
        //produce char c
        if (count==n)
            sleep(full);
        buf[InP] = c;
        InP = InP + 1 mod n
        count++; 
        if (count == 1)
            wakeup(empty);
    }
}

process consumer{
    while(1){
        while (count==0)
            sleep(empty);
        c = buf[OutP];
        OutP = OutP + 1 mod n
        count--; 
        if (count == n-1)
            wakeup(full);
        //consume char
    }
}

Global variables:
    char buf[n]
    int InP, OutP; // [0-n-1]
    int count
Problems with the blocking solution

- Count variable can be corrupted
- Increments or decrements may be lost
- Both processes may sleep forever
- Buffer contents may be over-written

- Code that manipulates count must be made a critical section and protected using mutual exclusion
- Sleep and wakeup must be implemented as system calls
- OS must use synchronization mechanisms (TSL or interrupt disabling) in its implementation of sleep and wake-up ... I.e., the critical sections of OS code that manipulate sleep/wakeup data structures must be protected using mutual exclusion
Semaphores

- An abstract data type that can be used for condition synchronization and mutual exclusion

- Integer variable with two operations:
  - down(sema_var)
    - decrement sema_var by 1, if possible
    - if not possible, “wait” until possible
  - up(sema_var)
    - increment sema_var by 1

- Both up() and down() are assumed to be atomic
  - made to be atomic by OS implementation
Semaphores

- There are multiple names for semaphores
  - Down(S), wait(S), P(S)
  - Up(S), signal(S), V(S)

- Semaphore implementations
  - Binary semaphores (mutex)
    - support mutual exclusion (lock either set or free)
  - Counting semaphores
    - support multiple values for more sophisticated coordination and controlled concurrent access among processes
Using Semaphores for Mutex

\[
\textit{semaphore} \; \text{mutex} = 1
\]

1 repeat
2 \text{down}(\text{mutex});
3 \textit{critical section}
4 \text{up}(\text{mutex});
5 \text{remainder section}
6 \text{until} \; \text{FALSE}

1 repeat
2 \text{down}(\text{mutex});
3 \textit{critical section}
4 \text{up}(\text{mutex});
5 \text{remainder section}
6 \text{until} \; \text{FALSE}
Using Semaphores for Mutex

\[ \text{semaphore mutex} = 0 \]

1 repeat  
2 \text{down}(\text{mutex});  
3 \text{critical section}  
4 \text{up}(\text{mutex});  
5 \text{remainder section}  
6 \text{until FALSE}  

1 repeat  
2 \text{down}(\text{mutex});  
3 \text{critical section}  
4 \text{up}(\text{mutex});  
5 \text{remainder section}  
6 \text{until FALSE}  

\[ \]
Using Semaphores for Mutex

\[
\text{semaphore mutex } = 0
\]

1 repeat
2 \texttt{down(mutex);}  \\
3 \textbf{critical section}  \\
4 \texttt{up(mutex);}  \\
5 \texttt{remainder section}  \\
6 \texttt{until FALSE}

1 repeat
2 \texttt{down(mutex);}  \\
3 \textbf{critical section}  \\
4 \texttt{up(mutex);}  \\
5 \texttt{remainder section}  \\
6 \texttt{until FALSE}
Using Semaphores for Mutex

semaphore mutex = 1

1 repeat
2  down(mutex);
3  critical section
4  up(mutex);
5  remainder section
6  until FALSE

1 repeat
2  down(mutex);
3  critical section
4  up(mutex);
5  remainder section
6  until FALSE
Using Semaphores for Mutex

semaphore mutex = 1

1 repeat
2  down(mutex);
3  critical section
4  up(mutex);
5  remainder section
6  until FALSE

Check again to see if it can be decremented
In class exercise...

- Implement producer consumer solution:
Counting semaphores in producer/consumer

Global variables
    semaphore full_buffs = 0;
    semaphore empty_buffs = n;
    char buff[n];
    int InP, OutP;

process producer{
    •    while(1){
        •    //produce char c
        •        down(empty_buffs);
        •        buf[InP] = c;
        •        InP = InP + 1 mod n
        •        up(full_buffs);
        •    }
    }

process consumer{
    •    while(1){
        •        down(full_buffs);
        •        c = buf[OutP];
        •        OutP = OutP + 1 mod n
        •        up(empty_buffs);
        •        //consume char
        •    }
    }
Implementing semaphores

- Generally, the hardware has some simple mechanism to support semaphores
  - Control over interrupts (almost all)
  - Special atomic instructions in ISA
    - test and set lock
    - compare and swap

- Spin-Locks vs. Blocking
  - Spin-locks (busy waiting)
    - may waste a lot of cycles on uni-processors
  - Blocking
    - may waste a lot of cycles on multi-processors
Implementing semaphores

- Blocking

```c
struct semaphore{
    int val;
    list L;
}

Down(semaphore sem)
    DISABLE_INTS
    sem.val--;
    if (sem.val < 0){
        add proc to sem.L
        block(proc);
    }
    ENABLE_INTS

Up(semaphore sem)
    DISABLE_INTS
    sem.val++;
    if (sem.val <= 0) {
        proc = remove next
        proc from sem.L
        wakeup(proc);
    }
    ENABLE_INTS
```
Semaphores in UNIX

- User-accessible semaphores in UNIX are somewhat complex
  - each up and down operation is done atomically on an “array” of semaphores.

- **********WORDS OF WARNING **********
  - Semaphores are allocated by (and in) the operating system (number based on configuration parameters).
  - Semaphores in UNIX ARE A SHARED RESOURCE AMONG EVERYONE (most implementations are).
  - REMOVE your semaphores after you are done with them.
Typical usage

```c
main()
{
    int sem_id;
    sem_id = NewSemaphore(1);
    ...
    Down(sem_id);

    [CRITICAL SECTION]
    Up (sem_id);

    ...
    FreeSemaphore(sem_id);
}
```
Managing your UNIX semaphores

- Listing currently allocated ipc resources
  
  `ipcs`

- Removing semaphores
  
  `ipcrm -s <sem number>`
Classical IPC problems

- There are a number of “classic” IPC problems including:
  - Producer / Consumer synchronization
  - The dining philosophers problem
  - The sleeping barber problem
  - The readers and writers problem
  - Counting semaphores out of binary semaphores
Dining Philosophers Problem

- Five philosophers sit at a table
- Between each philosopher there is one chopstick
- Philosophers:

```java
while (!dead) {
    Think(hard);
    Grab first chopstick;
    Grab second chopstick;
    Eat;
    Put first chopstick back;
    Put second chopstick back;
}
```

- Why do they need to synchronize?
- How should they do it?
Dining philosher's solution???

- Why doesn't this work?

```c
#define N 5
Philosopher()
{
    while(!dead){
        Think(hard);
        take_fork(i);
        take_fork((i+1)% N);
        Eat(alot);
        put_fork(i);
        put_fork((i+1)% N);
    }
}
```
Dining philosopher's solution (part 1)

#define N 5
#define LEFT (i+N-1)%N
#define RIGHT (i+1)%N
#define THINKING 0
#define HUNGRY 1
#define EATING 2

typedef int semaphore;
int state[N];
semaphore mutex = 1;
semaphore s[N];

void philosopher(int i)
{
    while (TRUE) {
        think();
        take_forks(i);
        eat();
        put_forks(i);
    }
}

/* number of philosophers */
/* number of i's left neighbor */
/* number of i's right neighbor */
/* philosopher is thinking */
/* philosopher is trying to get forks */
/* philosopher is eating */
/* semaphores are a special kind of int */
/* array to keep track of everyone's state */
/* mutual exclusion for critical regions */
/* one semaphore per philosopher */
/* i: philosopher number, from 0 to N-1 */
/* repeat forever */
/* philosopher is thinking */
/* acquire two forks or block */
/* yum-yum, spaghetti */
/* put both forks back on table */
Dining philosher's solution (part 2)

```c
void take_forks(int i) /* i: philosopher number, from 0 to N-1 */
{
    down(&mutex); /* enter critical region */
    state[i] = HUNGRY; /* record fact that philosopher i is hungry */
    test(i); /* try to acquire 2 forks */
    up(&mutex); /* exit critical region */
    down(&s[i]); /* block if forks were not acquired */
}

void put_forks(i) /* i: philosopher number, from 0 to N-1 */
{
    down(&mutex); /* enter critical region */
    state[i] = THINKING; /* philosopher has finished eating */
    test(LEFT); /* see if left neighbor can now eat */
    test(RIGHT); /* see if right neighbor can now eat */
    up(&mutex); /* exit critical region */
}

void test(i) /* i: philosopher number, from 0 to N-1 */
{
    if (state[i] == HUNGRY && state[LEFT] != EATING && state[RIGHT] != EATING) {
        state[i] = EATING;
        up(&s[i]);
    }
}
```
Dining Philosophers

- Is this correct?
- What does it mean for it to be correct?
- Is there an easier way?
Sleeping Barber Problem
Sleeping barber

- **Barber**
  - if there are people waiting for a hair cut bring them to the barber chair, and give them a haircut
  - else go to sleep

- **Customer:**
  - if the waiting chairs are all full, then leave store.
  - if someone is getting a haircut, then wait for the barber to free up by sitting in a chair
  - if the barber is sleeping, then wake him up and get a haircut
Solution to the sleeping barber problem

```c
#define CHAIRS 5   /* # chairs for waiting customers */
typedef int semaphore;  /* use your imagination */
semaphore customers = 0;  /* # of customers waiting for service */
semaphore barbers = 0;  /* # of barbers waiting for customers */
semaphore mutex = 1;  /* for mutual exclusion */
int waiting = 0;  /* customers are waiting (not being cut) */

void barber(void)
{
    while (TRUE) {
        down(&customers);  /* go to sleep if # of customers is 0 */
        down(&mutex); /* acquire access to 'waiting' */
        waiting = waiting - 1; /* decrement count of waiting customers */
        up(&barbers); /* one barber is now ready to cut hair */
        up(&mutex); /* release 'waiting' */
        cut_hair(); /* cut hair (outside critical region) */
    }
}

void customer(void)
{
    down(&mutex); /* enter critical region */
    if (waiting < CHAIRS) { /* if there are no free chairs, leave */
        waiting = waiting + 1; /* increment count of waiting customers */
        up(&customers); /* wake up barber if necessary */
        up(&mutex); /* release access to 'waiting' */
        down(&barbers); /* go to sleep if # of free barbers is 0 */
        get_haircut(); /* be seated and be serviced */
    } else { /* shop is full; do not wait */
        up(&mutex);
    }
}
The readers and writers problem

- Readers and writers want to access a database
- Multiple readers can proceed concurrently
- Writers must synchronize with readers and other writers
- Maximize concurrency
- Prevent starvation
One solution to readers and writers

typedef int semaphore;    /* use your imagination */
semaphore mutex = 1;      /* controls access to 'rc' */
semaphore db = 1;         /* controls access to the database */
int rc = 0;               /* # of processes reading or wanting to */

void reader(void)
{
    while (TRUE) {          /* repeat forever */
        down(&mutex);       /* get exclusive access to 'rc' */
        rc = rc + 1;        /* one reader more now */
        if (rc == 1) down(&db); /* if this is the first reader ... */
        up(&mutex);         /* release exclusive access to 'rc' */
        read_data_base();   /* access the data */
        down(&mutex);       /* get exclusive access to 'rc' */
        rc = rc - 1;        /* one reader fewer now */
        if (rc == 0) up(&db); /* if this is the last reader ... */
        up(&mutex);         /* release exclusive access to 'rc' */
        use_data_read();    /* noncritical region */
    }
}

void writer(void)
{
    while (TRUE) {          /* repeat forever */
        think_up_data();    /* noncritical region */
        down(&db);          /* get exclusive access */
        write_data_base();  /* update the data */
        up(&db);            /* release exclusive access */
    }
}
Counting semaphores

- A binary semaphore can only take on the values of [0, 1].

- Class exercise: create a counting semaphore (generalized semaphore that we discussed previously) using just a binary semaphore!!
Possible solution

Semaphore S1, S2, S3; // BINARY!!
int C = N;  // N is # locks

down_c(sem) {
    downB(S3);
    downB(S1);
    C = C - 1;
    if (C<0) {
        upB(S1);
        downB(S2);
    }
    else {
        upB(S1);
    }
    upB(S3);
}

up_c(sem) {
    downB(S1);
    C = C + 1;
    if (C<=0) {
        upB(S2);
    }
    upB(S1);
}