The Induction Principle

- To prove that a statement S(n) is true for every natural number n, it suffices to:
- 1. Base Case: Prove that the statement S(0) is true;
- 2. Induction Step: Assuming S(n) is true, prove that S(n+1) is true.
- When proving the induction step, the assumption S(n) is called the *induction hypothesis*.
- Often we need to prove that a statement S(n) is true not exactly for every n, but for every n starting from a given number k. The base case is then S(k); the induction step is the same.

Example 1

Problem. Prove that the sum of first n odd numbers is equal to n².

Proof. The statement S(n) is:

$$1 + 3 + ... + (2n-1) = n^2$$
,

I.e. $(\sum_{i=1,n}^{(2i-1)=n^2})$ and we want to prove it is true for every $n \ge 1$.

Base Case. S(1) is the statement 1=1.

Induction Step. Assume the induction hypothesis

$$1 + 3 + \dots + (2n-1) = n^2$$

The goal is to prove

 $1 + 3 + ... + (2n-1)+(2n+1) = (n+1)^2$ Using the IH, the goal can be rewritten as

 $n^2 + (2n+1) = (n+1)^2$, which is directly verified. ged

Complete (Strong) Induction

To prove that a statement S(n) is true for every natural number n, it suffices to:

- Base Case: Prove that the statement S(0) is true.
- 2. Induction Step: Assuming n>0 and that S(k) is true for all numbers k smaller than n, prove that S(n) is true.

Example 2

Problem. Let $f : N \rightarrow N$ be defined recursively by

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0\\ 2f\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) & \text{if } n \text{ is even}\\ f(n-1)+1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Prove that f(n) = n for every n.

Proof.

Base Case. f(0)=0; true by definition of f.

Induction Step. Suppose n>0 and f(k)=k for all k<n. To derive f(n)=n, we consider separately the cases when n is even and odd.

- If n is even, we have f(n/2) = (n/2) by IH (note (n/2) < n). Therefore, f(n) = 2f(n/2) = 2 * (n/2) = n.
- If n is odd, the IH gives us f(n-1)=n-1, so we get
 f(n) = f(n-1)+1=(n-1)+1=n.

Example 3

- **Problem**. Suppose two strings u and v satisfy the relation uv=vu. Prove that u and v are powers of the same string.
- *Proof.* Induction on |u|+|v| Strictly speaking, the statement S(n) is this: If uv=vu and |u|+|v|=n then u and v are powers of the same string.
- Base Case. |u| + |v| = 0. This implies $u = v = \varepsilon$, and the statement is true.
- *Induction Step.* We're arguing by complete induction. Suppose |u|+|v|=n and n>0 and suppose that the statement is true for every u',v' such that |u'|+|v'|< n.

Proof continued

- If |u| = |v|, the statement is true. Assume |u| < |v|. (The third case |u| > |v| is symmetric and does not need to be considered separately.)
- Then v=uw for some w and we have uuw=uwu. This implies uw=wu. Since |w| < |v|, we have |u|+|w|<|u|+|v| and the IH applies giving us that u and w are powers of the same string z. Clearly then, v=uw is also a power of z.

Structural Induction

- A method for proving properties of objects (trees, expressions, etc.) defined recursively. Such recursive definitions have a number of base cases defining the simplest objects and a number of rules telling how a bigger object is build from smaller ones.
- To prove that a statement S(x) is true for every object it suffices to prove:
 - *Base Case*: S(x) is true for the basic objects.
 - *Induction Step*: For every rule telling us how to build a bigger object x from smaller objects $x_1, \ldots x_k$, prove that S(x) is true, assuming as the IH that S(x_1), ..., S(x_k) are true.

Structural induction is induction on the size of the object.

Example: Balanced Parentheses

Parenthesis expressions (pexps) are defined recursively by the following rules:
[1.] The empty string ε is a pexp.
[2.] If w is a pexp, then (w) is a pexp.
[3.] If u and v are pexps, then uv is a pexp.

Note: pexps define a language over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{ (,) \}.$

Problem 1. Every pexp has equal number of left and right parentheses.

Pexp proof

Problem 1. Every pexp has equal number of left and right parentheses.

For a string x over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{(,)\}$, let E(x) denote the property "x has equal number of left and right parentheses".

Proof.

1. $x = \epsilon$. True for ϵ .

- 2. x = (v). Assume v has the same number of left and right parentheses, The induction hypothesis. Then the same is true of (v)
- 3. x= uv. Assume u and v both have equal number of left and right parentheses. Then the same holds for uv. (E(u) and $E(v) \Rightarrow E(uv)$)

Problem 2

Problem 2. If x is a pexp, then every prefix of x has at least as many left as right parentheses.

Proof. Let S(x) stand for "every prefix of x has at least as many left as right parentheses".

- 1. $x = \epsilon$. S(ϵ) is true.
- 2. x= (w). If S(w) (the i.h.) is true, then S((w)) is true.
- 3. x=uv. If S(u) and S(v) are true, then S(uv) is true.

Problem 3

Problem. If a string w satisfies both S(w) and E(w) then w is a pexp.

Proof. Complete induction on |w|.

Base case. |w|=0 is OK because then we have $w=\varepsilon$, and ε is a pexp.

Induction step. Assume that w satisfies S(w) and E(w), that |w| > 0, and (the IH) that all strings u shorter than w and satisfying S(u) and E(u) are pexps.

There are two possibilities for w:

(1) all its prefixes except ε and w itself have strictly greater number of ('s than)'s; (2) there exist a prefix u of w such that $u \neq \varepsilon$, $u \neq w$, and u has equal number of ('s and)'s.

Case analysis

Case (1). w must be of the form w=(u) for some u. Clearly, E(u) is true. But S(u) must be true as well (why?). The IH implies that u is a pexp. Then, referring to the second rule for building pexps, we can conclude that w is a pexp.

Case (2). We can write w=uv. It follows that both u and v satisfy the properties E and S (why?). Since both u and v are shorter than w, the IH applies to them, so u and v are pexps. The third rule for building pexps implies finally that w is a pexp.