Testing in Haskell: using HUnit

Notes , thanks to Mark P Jones Portland State University

Testing, Testing, Testing, ...

Testing:

- Testing can confirm expectations about how things work
- Conversely, testing can set expectations about how things should work
- It can be dangerous to generalize from tests "Testing can be used to show the presence of bugs, but never to show their absence" [Edsger Dijkstra, 1969]
- But testing does help us to find & avoid:
 - Bugs in the things we build
 - Bugs in the claims we make about those things

Example: filter

```
filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
```

filter even
$$[1..10] = [2,4,6,8,10]$$

filter
$$(<5)$$
 [1..100] = [1,2,3,4]

filter
$$(<5)$$
 [100,99..1] = [4,3,2,1]

Making Tests Executable:

```
test1 = filter even [1..10] == [2,4,6,8,10]
```

test2 = filter (
$$<$$
5) [1..100] == [1,2,3,4]

test3 = filter (
$$<$$
5) [100,99..1] == [4,3,2,1]

Making Tests Executable:

```
test1 = filter even [1..10] == [2,4,6,8,10]
```

test2 = filter (
$$<$$
5) [1..100] == [1,2,3,4]

test3 = filter (
$$<$$
5) [100,99..1] == [4,3,2,1]

tests = test1 && test2 && test3

Pros:

- Tests are simple functional programs
- Tests are self-checking

Cons:

- Have to run tests manually
- Testing stops as soon as one test fails
- No indication of which test failed
- No summary statistics (e.g., # tests run)
- Harder to handle complex behavior (e.g., testing code that performs I/O actions, raises an exception, ...)

Unit Testing in Haskell

Enter HUnit:

- A library for unit testing
- Written in Haskell
- Available from http://hunit.sourceforge.net
- (Or from http://hackage.haskell.org)
- Built-in to recent versions of Hugs and GHC
- Just "import Test.HUnit" and you're ready!

Defining Tests:

import Test.HUnit

```
test1 = TestCase (assertEqual

"filter even [1..10]"

(filter even [1..10])

[2,4,6,8,10])

test2 = ...

test3 = ...

tests = TestList [test1, test2, test3]
```

Running Tests:

Main> runTestTT tests

Cases: 3 Tried: 3 Errors: 0 Failures: 0

Detecting Faults:

import Test.HUnit

```
test1 = TestCase (assertEqual

"filter even [1..10]"

(filter even [1..10])

[2,4,6,9,10])

test2 = ...

test3 = ...

tests = TestList [test1, test2, test3]
```

Using HUnit:

```
Main> runTestTT tests

### Failure in: 0

filter even [1..10]

expected: [2,4,6,8,10]

but got: [2,4,6,9,10]

Cases: 3 Tried: 3 Errors: 0 Failures: 1
```

Labeling Tests:

. . .

```
tests = TestLabel "filter tests"
$ TestList [test1, test2, test3]
```

Using HUnit:

```
Main> runTestTT tests

### Failure in: filter tests:0

filter even [1..10]

expected: [2,4,6,8,10]

but got: [2,4,6,9,10]

Cases: 3 Tried: 3 Errors: 0 Failures: 1
```

The Test and Assertion Types:

runTestTT :: Test -> IO Counts

```
assertFailure :: String -> Assertion
```

assertBool :: String -> Bool -> Assertion

assertEqual :: (Eq a, Show a) =>

String -> a -> a ->

Assertion

Problems:

- Finding and running tests is a manual process (easily skipped/overlooked)
- It can be hard to trim tests from distributed code
- We still can't solve the halting problem @

Example: merge

Let's develop a merge function for combining two sorted lists into a single sorted list:

```
merge :: [Int] -> [Int] -> [Int]
merge = undefined
```

What about test cases?

Merge Tests:

Simple examples:

```
merge [1,5,9] [2,3,6,10] == [1,2,3,5,6,9,10]
```

One or both arguments empty:

```
merge [] [1,2,3] == [1,2,3]
merge [1,2,3] [] == [1,2,3]
```

Duplicate elements:

```
merge [2] [1,2,3] == [1,2,3]
merge [1,2,3] [2] == [1,2,3]
```

Capturing the Tests:

mergeTests

- = TestLabel "merge tests"
- \$ TestList [simpleTests, emptyTests, dupTests]

simpleTests

```
= TestLabel "simple tests"
```

```
$ TestCase (assertEqual "merge [1,5,9] [2,3,6,10]" (merge [1,5,9] [2,3,6,10]) [1,2,3,5,6,9,10])
```

emptyTests

```
= \dots
```

Capturing the Tests:

Main> runTestTT mergeTests

Cases: 6 Tried: 0 Errors: 0 Failures: 0

Program error: Prelude.undefined

Refining the Definition (1):

Let's provide a little more definition for merge:

```
merge :: [Int] -> [Int] -> [Int]
merge xs ys = []
```

What happens to the test cases now?

Back to the Tests:

```
Main> runTestTT mergeTests
### Failure in: merge tests:0:simple tests
merge [1,5,9] [2,3,6,10]
expected: []
but got: [1,2,3,5,6,9,10]
. . .
Cases: 6 Tried: 6 Errors: 0 Failures: 5
Main>
```

Refining the Definition (2):

Let's provide a little more definition for merge:

```
merge :: [Int] -> [Int] -> [Int]
merge xs ys = xs
```

What happens to the test cases now?

Back to the Tests:

```
Main > runTestTT mergeTests
### Failure in: merge tests:0:simple tests
merge [1,5,9] [2,3,6,10]
expected: [1,5,9]
but got: [1,2,3,5,6,9,10]
### Failure in: merge tests:2:duplicate elements:0
merge [2] [1,2,3]
expected: [2]
but got: [1,2,3]
Cases: 6 Tried: 6 Errors: 0 Failures: 2
```

Refining the Definition (3):

Use type information to break the definition down into multiple cases:

```
merge :: [Int] -> [Int] -> [Int]
merge [] ys = ys
merge (x:xs) ys = ys
```

Refining the Definition (4):

Repeat ...

```
merge :: [Int] -> [Int] -> [Int]

merge [] ys = ys

merge (x:xs) [] = x:xs

merge (x:xs) (y:ys)

= x:xs
```

Refining the Definition (5):

Use guards to split into cases:

Back to the Tests:

```
Main > runTestTT mergeTests
### Failure in: merge tests:2:duplicate elements:0
merge [2] [1,2,3]
expected: [1,2,2,3]
but got: [1,2,3]
### Failure in: merge tests:2:duplicate elements:1
merge [1,2,3] [2]
expected: [1,2,2,3]
but got: [1,2,3]
Cases: 6 Tried: 6 Errors: 0 Failures: 2
```

Refining the Definition (6):

Use another guards to add another case:

Back to the Tests:

Main> runTestTT mergeTests

Cases: 6 Tried: 6 Errors: 0 Failures: 0

Modifying the Definition:

Suppose we decide to modify the definition:

```
merge :: [Int] -> [Int] -> [Int]

merge (x:xs) (y:ys)

| x < y = x : merge xs (y:ys)

| y < x = y : merge (x:xs) ys

| x = y = x : merge xs ys

merge xs ys = xs ++ ys
```

Is this still a valid definition?

Back to the Tests:

Main> runTestTT mergeTests

Cases: 6 Tried: 6 Errors: 0 Failures: 0

Lessons Learned:

- Writing tests (even before we've written the code we want to test) can expose key details / design decisions
- A library like HUnit can help to automate the process (at least partially)
- Development alternates between coding and testing
- Bugs are expensive, running tests is cheap
- Good tests can last a long time; continuing use as code evolves