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The H/W-/S/W Co-Design Problem

- Embedded systems employ a combination of
  - application-specific h/w (boards, ASICs, FPGAs etc.)
    - performance, low power
  - s/w on prog. processors: DSPs, μcontrollers etc.
    - flexibility, complexity
- Increasingly on the same chip: System-on-a-chip
A System on a Chip: a DSSS Receiver

- SC3001 DIRAC chip from Sirius Communications
Heterogeneity within H/W & S/W parts as well
- S/W: control oriented, DSP oriented
- H/W: ASICs, COTS ICs
Example: A Robot Controller

![Diagram of a robot controller system]
It is the S/W stupid!
Bottleneck not in H/W or ASIC

DoD Embedded System Costs

Software

Hardware

Billion $/Year

And, the H/W-S/W Architecture...

- A significant part of the problem is deciding which parts should be in s/w on programmable processors and which in specialized h/w.

- Lots of issues in this decision making...
Design of Embedded Systems Today

- Ad hoc approaches based on earlier experience with similar products, & on manual design
- H/W-S/W partitioning decided at the beginning, and then designs proceed separately
- CAD tools take care of h/w fairly well
- But, S/W is a different story…
  - HLLs such as C help, but can’t cope with complexity

Holy Grail: H/W-like synthesis & verification from a behavior description of the whole system at a high level of abstraction using formal computation models
The Holy Grail of Co-design...

- H/W-like synthesis & verification based design methodology
  - system behavior described at a high level of abstraction using formal computation model(s)
  - decomposition into H/W and S/W based on trade-off evaluations from behavioral description
    - H/W & S/W design proceed in parallel with feedback
  - final implementation made as much as possible using automatic synthesis from high level of abstraction
    - ensures “correct by construction” implementations
  - simulation or verification at higher levels of abstraction
All the "Co-" Buzz Words...

- **Co-design**
  - joint optimization of hardware and software

- **Co-synthesis**
  - synthesis assisting co-design
    - mixed h.w-s.w design from (formal) specification
    - rapid exploration of design alternatives
    - enable exploration of architectural alternatives

- **Co-simulation**
  - simulation of mixed h/w and s/w systems

- **Co-specification**
  - specifying mixed h/w and s/w systems

- **Co-verification**
Designing Embedded Systems

- **Modeling**
  - the system to be designed, and experimenting with algorithms involved;

- **Refining (or “partitioning”)**
  - the function to be implemented into smaller, interacting pieces;

- **HW-SW partitioning: Allocating**
  - elements in the refined model to either (1) HW units, or (2) SW running on custom hardware or a general microprocessor.

- **Scheduling**
  - the times at which the functions are executed. This is important when several modules in the partition share a single hardware unit.

- **Mapping (Implementing)**
  - a functional description into (1) software that runs on a processor or (2) a collection of custom, semi-custom, or commodity HW.
Typical Co-design Methodology

1. **System specification**
   - **System specification**
   - **Architecture Selection & Mapping**
   - **Architecture templates**
   - **Kernels, libraries**

2. **Behavioral Simulation**
   - **Behavioral Simulation**
   - **System specification**
   - **“IP” cores**
   - **Architecture templates**

3. **Architectural Co-Simulation**
   - **Architecture Co-Simulation**
   - **System structure**
   - **System structure**
   - **Architecture templates**

4. **Hardware Synthesis**
   - **Hardware Synthesis**
   - **Board/chip netlist**
   - **Board/chip netlist**

5. **Board/chip Generation**
   - **Board/chip Generation**
   - **Boards/chips**
   - **Boards/chips**

6. **Software Synthesis**
   - **Software Synthesis**
   - **Software code**
   - **Software code**

7. **Software Compilation**
   - **Software Compilation**
   - **Executable code**
   - **Executable code**

8. **Low-level Co-Simulation**
   - **Low-level Co-Simulation**
   - **Boards/chips**
   - **Boards/chips**
Some of the Key Problems

- How to model complex/specific systems that will map to hardware and software?
- System level algorithm optimizations
- What are appropriate system architecture models?
- How to partition functions into h/w & s/w?
- How to synthesize s/w? Performance estimation? Impact of OS? Retargetable compilation?
- Synthesis of light-weight app-specific kernels
- What processor to use for s/w? Synthesize?
- How to interface h/w & s/w components?
- How to efficiently simulate h/w & s/w together?
Specification & Modeling

- Design process is a sequence of steps that transform (‘refine”) a more abstract representation + constraints into a more detailed one
  - “input” representation: specification
  - final representation: implementation

- Representations based on precise mathematical meaning (computation model) are good
  - one can verify and synthesize with guarantees

- But which representation to use for system behavior at high level of abstraction?
  - No single one works well...
What representation for system behavior?

  - But, no single model works well always
- Key issues:
  - complexity or compactness of representation itself
  - ability to naturally express different parts of the system
  - efficient executability
Single Unified Approach

- Example: choose between VHDL and C for a mixed hardware-software design
  - software in VHDL or hardware in C
- Or, bloat VHDL to include a subset for software specification
  - e.g. make ADA a subset of VHDL
  - e.g. enhance C with hardware modeling constructs
Mixed Approach: Heterogeneous Models of Computation

- System viewed as a “composition” of entities whose behavior are described in potentially different models of computation
  - concurrent communication
  - hierarchical containment
- Key problem is defining interaction between fundamentally different models of computation
  - not just a language interfacing issue…
    - e.g. what if two VHDL entities call C procedures that interact?
Network of Processes

- Set of communicating processes with heterogeneous computation models
  - FSMs, sequential processes, SDFG etc.
- Well-defined communication structure
  - e.g. channels connecting read & write ports
    - read protocol, write protocol, message type, buffer depth

P1

Output port protocol:
- master vs. slave
- block, overwrite, ignore

Buffer depth:
- zero
- >0, finite

Input port protocol:
- master vs. slave
- block, repeat, ignore

P2
Ptolemy’s Approach

- Hierarchical framework
- Specification in one model of computation can contain a primitive that is internally represented in another model of computation
  - “worm holes” connect different domains
Validation

- Process of determining that a design is correct…
  ✦ e.g. checking if there would be deadlock problem

- Three approaches:
  ✦ simulation
    - still the main tool to validate a system model
  ✦ emulation
    - became viable because of reconfigurable hardware platforms, such as Quickturn
  ✦ formal verification
    - easier in case of embedded systems than for, say, generic software programs… e.g. due to finite-state nature etc.
Validation via Simulation

- Main challenge is heterogeneity:
  - both hardware and software components need to be simulated together

- Co-simulation problem!
  - Conflicting requirements
    - execute software as fast as possible, often on a host machine that may be faster than the embedded CPU and usually quite different from it
    - to keep hardware and software simulations synchronized so that they interact just as they would in the real system
Typical Unified Approach to Co-simulation

- General purpose simulator (e.g. VHDL)
  - simulate a model of target CPU executing the S/W
- Different CPU models
  - gate-level models
  - instruction-set architecture models augmented with hardware interfaces to couple to logic models
  - bus functional models of CPU interface - no real program is run, but traces or stochastic traffic used
  - translation-based models convert to native code for processor on which simulator is running - need to preserve timing info & coupling to logic models
  - emulation used when performance needed
More Distributed Approaches to Co-simulation

- Hardware simulator process loosely links to one or more software processes
- Relative clocks of S/W and H/W simulations need to be synchronized
  - cycle-by-cycle
  - via handshaking
  - S/W is master, H/W is slave
    - S/W simulator sends message to H/W simulator which then either catches up or rolls back (via check-pointing)
  - H/W is master, S/W is slave
    - H/W simulator directly calls communication procedures which in turn call user software code
Validation via Formal Verification

- Mathematically check whether the behavior of a system, described in a formal model, satisfies a given property, also given using a formal model
  - ability to do verification depends on the model of computation (affects decidability, complexity bounds, etc.)

- Specification vs. Implementation Verification
  - former is checking abstract properties of a high level model
  - latter is checking if a low-level model correctly implements a high level model, or satisfies some implementation dependent property
Synthesis

- Refine an abstract specification into a less abstract one
- Combination of three steps:
  - mapping to architecture
    - general structure of implementation is chosen
  - partitioning
    - sections of specifications are bound to architectural units
  - hardware and software synthesis
    - details of units are filled out
    - distinction between synthesis & compilation
Mapping from Specification to Architecture

- Support designer in choosing the right mix of components and implementation technologies
- Input: *functional specification*
  Output: *architecture + assignment of functions to architecture*
- Architecture is generally composed of
  - H/W components (microprocessors, microcontrollers, memories, ASICs, FPGAs etc.)
  - S/W components (device drivers, OS etc.)
  - Interconnect media (busses, shared memories etc.)
Cost function optimized by mapping process
- mix of time, area, cost, power etc.

Current synthesis-based methods impose restriction on target architectures to make mapping problem manageable
- libraries of pre-defined components
- no synthesis of memory hierarchy or I/O subsystems based on standard components
- often communication mechanisms also standardized
  - some work on “interface synthesis”
Partitioning in Embedded Systems

- Interesting because of mix of H/W and S/W
- Four main characteristics of various schemes
  - Specification model supported
    - HDL-based, graph-based
  - Granularity
    - task, operation, operation hierarchy
  - Cost function
    - profiling, synthesis
  - Algorithm
    - greed heuristics, clustering methods, iterative improvement, ILP
Many Partitioning Schemes...

- **Examples:**
  - all H/W initially, move selected to S/W [Gupta et. al.]
  - all S/W initially, move selected to H/W [Ernst et. al.]
  - others...

- **But, no clear winner**
  - complex problem, linked to scheduling
  - hard to do an exact formulation with realistic cost estimate of communication overhead etc.
  - many people believe that this is best done manually
    - Berkeley’s POLIS, yours truly...
Hardware & Software Synthesis

- Realize specification with minimum cost
  - given specification, architecture, and mapping
- Done after partitioning, usually
  - sometime before partitioning to provide cost estimates
- Typically S/W is assumed to run on off-shelf processors
  - de-couples H/W and S/W design problems
  - also, lower cost
  - but, some allow simultaneous design of S/W and processor it will run on...
Application-Specific Instruction Processors (ASIPs)

- Processors with instruction-sets tailored to specific applications or application domains
  - instruction-set generation as part of synthesis
- Pluses:
  - customization yields lower area, power etc. while reducing
- Minuses:
  - higher h/w & s/w development overhead
    - design, compilers, debuggers
    - higher time to market
ASIPs vs. General Purpose Processors

- **Important issues in GPPs:**
  - backward compatibility
  - compiler support
  - optimal performance on wide variety of apps

- **Important issue in embedded systems**
  - addition of new functionality in future
  - user interaction
  - satisfying timing constraints

- **ASIPs are a compromise between ASICs & GPPs**
  - FPGAs are another option!
The Old and the New

- H/W synthesis for application-specific hardware (on ASICs, FPGAs etc.)
  - classical high-level synthesis problem
    - Miodrag’s recent lectures...

- Software synthesis
  - relatively new problem
  - VERY hard for general-purpose computing
    - failures led to suspicion
  - but easier in embedded systems
    - S/W is constrained due to real-time & physical issues
    - often no virtual memory, no dynamic task creation or memory allocation, even no stack etc.
“CAD” Methodology for Software in Embedded Systems

- Current practices are ad-hoc
  - art form: hand-tuned implementations by gurus
  - “reworking” and “debugging” is hard
  - often “single task” with interrupt handlers
  - reuse (object technologies) helpful but...

- Software synthesis
  - software generation from abstract models by using CAD tools that do optimizations for power, size etc.
  - code generation: retargetable compilation, processor descriptions, under timing constraints
  - performance estimation: power, time etc.
Issues in Software Synthesis

- Specification formalism
- Interfacing mechanisms (to S/W and to H/W)
  - none, synthesized, device-driver synthesis
- Constraint granularity
  - task, operation
- When is scheduling done
  - static, quasi-static, dynamic etc.
- Scheduling method
  - RMA, EDF, DMA etc.
  - domain-specific scheduling algorithms
Other Issues...

- Software Analysis: estimate “cost” of S/W
  - time
    - techniques applied from H/W CAD to detect false paths
  - power estimation
- Optimal layout of data structures
- Interfacing H/W and S/W
  - device driver and interface logic synthesis
- Processors with peripheral devices