DECOMPOSITION OF RELATIONS: A NEW APPROACH TO CONSTRUCTIVE INDUCTION IN MACHINE LEARNING AND DATA MINING - AN OVERVIEW

Marek Perkowski
Part 1

Logic for Learning
• This is a review presentation that presents work done at Portland State University and associated groups in years 1989 - 2001 in the area of functional decomposition of multi-valued functions and relations.
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Essence of logic synthesis approach to learning
Example of Logical Synthesis

- John
- Mark
- Dave
- Jim
- Alan
- Mate
- Nick
- Robert
A - size of hair
B - size of nose
C - size of beard
D - color of eyes

Good guys:
- Dave
- Jim

Bad guys:
- Alan
- Mate
- Nick
- Robert

John
Mark
Alan
Dave
Jim
Mate
Nick
Robert
Good guys

John
Mark
Dave
Jim

A' BCD
A' BCD'
A' B'CD
A' B'CD

A - size of hair
B - size of nose
C - size of beard
D - color of eyes
A - size of hair
B - size of nose
C - size of beard
D - color of eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AB</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>00</th>
<th>01</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bad guys

- Alan
- Mate
- Nick
- Robert

A' C
A' C D
A' B' C D
**Generalization 1:**

Bald guys with beards are good

**Generalization 2:**

All other guys are no good

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A - size of hair</th>
<th>B - size of nose</th>
<th>C - size of beard</th>
<th>D - color of eyes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$A'C$
Short Introduction: multiple-valued logic

Signals can have values from some set, for instance \{0,1,2\}, or \{0,1,2,3\}

\{0,1\} - binary logic (a special case)
\{0,1,2\} - a ternary logic
\{0,1,2,3\} - a quaternary logic, etc

Minimal value

Maximal value
Types of Logical Synthesis

- Sum of Products
- Decision Diagrams
- Functional Decomposition
Sum of Products

AND gates, followed by an OR gate that produces the output. (Also, use Inverters as needed.)

Called also SOP or DNF
A Decision diagram breaks down a Karnaugh map into set of decision trees.

A decision diagram ends when all of branches have a yes, no, or do not care solution.

This diagram can become quite complex if the data is spread out as in the following example.

Example Karnaugh Map

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AB\CD</th>
<th>00</th>
<th>01</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Decision Tree for Example
Karnaugh Map
BDD Representation of function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AB</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>00</th>
<th>01</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incompletely specified function
When BDD is finished, it specifies a complete function.
Learning with explanation?
Assuming two-input gates of the same cost, this is the best solution.

The cost is 3 gates.

Our decomposing program would find this solution.
What is wrong with DNF?

Because the 3-input gate needs two to build it, and four input gate needs 3 gates to build it, the total cost is now $4 \times 2 + 3 = 11$ instead of 3.

Conclusion: DNF is wasteful, it is used because it is easy and historical.
What about Decision Trees and Diagrams that are most often used in industry, data mining and medicine?

Total cost is $8 \times 3 = 24$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CD</th>
<th>00</th>
<th>01</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If Decision Trees are no good what about Decision Diagrams?

These subtrees are isomorphic and are combined

Total cost is $6 \times 3 = 18$, worse than DNF in this case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AB</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>00</th>
<th>01</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is a very small chance that out of all hypersurfaces separating ones from zeros the one will be found that corresponds to the simplest boolean function.
Why Neural Nets lead to overfitting and it is hard translate the learned network to a boolean function?

We have practically infinite number of lines separating node (1,1) from other nodes.

For every variable we need a multiplier, we need also an arithmetic adder and comparator.

Such a waste!!
Concluding on current machine learning methods

- DNF, Neural Nets and Decision Trees, Decision Diagrams have their advantages and disadvantages
- Good method should not assume structure of connections or type of gates.
- But will be slower
- Perhaps methods should be combined.
Pros and cons

In generating the final combinational network, BDD decomposition, based on multiplexers, and SOP decomposition, trade flexibility in circuit topology for time efficiency.

Generalized functional decomposition sacrifices speed for a higher likelihood of minimizing the complexity of the final network.
Evaluates the data function and attempts to decompose into simpler functions. 

\[ F(X) = H(G(B), A), \quad X = A \cup B \]

- If \( A \cap B = \emptyset \), it is **disjoint decomposition**
- If \( A \cap B \neq \emptyset \), it is **non-disjoint decomposition**

Applying this decomposition twice, we will get the AB EXOR CD circuit shown earlier.

But this would work only selecting good free and bound sets twice.
Overview of data mining
What is Data Mining?

Databases with millions of records and thousands of fields are now common in business, medicine, engineering, and the sciences.

To extract useful information from such data sets is an important practical problem.

Data Mining is the study of methods to find useful information from the database and use data to make predictions about the people or events the data was developed from.
Some Examples of Data Mining

1) Stock Market Predictions

2) Large companies tracking sales

3) Military and intelligence applications
Data Mining in Epidemiology

Epidemiologists track the spread of infectious disease and try to determine the disease's original source.

Often times Epidemiologist only have an initial suspicion about what is causing an illness. They interview people to find out what those people that got sick have in common.

Currently they have to sort through this data by hand to try and determine the initial source of the disease.

A data mining application would speed up this process and allow them to quickly track the source of an infectious disease.
Data Mining applications use, among others, three methods to process data:

1) Neural Nets
2) Statistical Analysis
3) Logical Synthesis

The method we are using