
3rd European QIPC Workshop

15th-18th September 2002

Quantum Error-Correcting Codes

Markus Grassl

16th September 2002



Project Q-ACTA (IST-1999-10596)

Arbeitsgruppe *Quantum Computing*

Prof. Dr. Thomas Beth


Institut für Algorithmen und Kognitive Systeme

Universität Karlsruhe, Germany

MSRI MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Quantum Error-Correction

General scheme



Basic requirement

knowledge about the **interaction** between the system and the environment

Common assumptions

- no initial entanglement between system and environment
- local or uncorrelated errors, i. e., only a few qubits are disturbed
⇒ CSS codes, stabilizer codes
- interaction with symmetry
⇒ decoherence free subspaces/subsystems

Quantum Error-Correction Codes


Constructions

- CSS codes, stabilizer codes [Calderbank, Gottesman, Rains, Shor, Sloane, Steane]
based on classical error-correcting codes
- non-additive codes [Rains et al. 97]
a non-additive code $C = ((5, 6, 2))$ exists, but no stabilizer code
- Clifford codes [Knill 96, Klappenecker & Rötteler 01]
generalizing stabilizer codes

Algorithms

- quantum circuits for encoding & syndrome computation
“easy” for CSS codes, for additive codes [Cleve & Gottesmann 97, Grassl 01]
- various algorithms for cyclic codes [Grassl et al. 99, Grassl & Beth 99]
- encoding based on interaction graphs [Schlingemann & Werner 01]

Encoder Based on Quantum Shift-registers



Encoder for the quantum Reed-Solomon code $[[21, 3, 5]]$ using quantum shift registers for the multiplication by $\tilde{g}(X) = X + 1$ and $g^\perp = \alpha X^3 + X^2 + \alpha^2 X + 1$.

Graph Codes

The ingredients:

- alphabet $A = \mathbb{F}_p^m$ of size $\alpha := |A| = p^m$
- weighted undirected graph Γ on $k + n$ nodes
- symmetric bicharacter χ on $A \times A$

Definition: A graph code is spanned by the vectors

$$|\underline{x}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^n}} \sum_{y \in N} \left(\prod_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^{k+n} \chi(z_i, z_j)^{\Gamma_{ij}} \right) |y\rangle,$$

where $x \in A^k$ and $z = x + y \in A^k \times A^n$.

for qubits: $\prod_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^{k+n} \chi(z_i, z_j)^{\Gamma_{ij}}$ corresponds to the phase due to couplings $\sigma_z^{(i)} \sigma_z^{(j)}$


Graph Codes and Stabilizer Codes

[Schlingemann & Werner; Grassl, Klappenecker & Rötteler]

“ \implies ” Each graph code is a stabilizer code.

Example:

The graph code corresponding to the wheel W_7



$$\Gamma_{W_7} = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccccccc} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$


is a $[[7, 1, 3]]$ stabilizer code (which is not $GF(4)$ -linear).

Graph Codes and Stabilizer Codes (contd.)

“ \Leftarrow ” Each stabilizer code over \mathbb{F}_q corresponds to a graph code (but the graph is not unique).

Example:

The CSS code $[[7, 1, 3]]$ yields to non-isomorphic graphs




\Rightarrow alternative interaction graphs for the encoding


Fault Tolerant Quantum Computing

see e. g. [Aharonov & Ben-Or, Knill & Laflamme, Preskill, Steane]

- **encoded operations:** map codewords to codewords
- prevent spreading of errors



local operations



transversal operations

- fault tolerant operations also for error correction
⇒ requires supply of “fresh qubits” and
fault tolerant preparation/testing of states

Concatenated Codes

Knill et al., *Resilient quantum computation*

377




Figure 7. Concatenation of the seven-bit code. If the error rate is ϵ for the qubits, the encoding will give a rate of $C^{2^h-1}\epsilon^{2^h}$ for the h th level of the hierarchy.

- many levels of error correction
 \implies reduction of the error probability
- (parallel) operations in each level
 \implies new errors due to imperfect gates


} threshold τ for the
 error probability & gate errors
 $\tau \approx 10^{-4}-10^{-3}$ [Steane 02]

Decoherence Free Subspaces/Subsystems (DFS)

see e. g. [Zanardi & Rasetti 97; Lidar; Knill] and many more

also called: noiseless subspaces/subsystems, passive error-correction, error-avoiding codes

Main idea: “Correct errors before they occur”



known interaction (Hamiltonian)

decomposition of the interaction algebra \mathcal{A} and the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \bigoplus_j \mathbb{1}_{n_j} \otimes M(d_j, \mathbb{C}) \quad \mathcal{H} \cong \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_j}$$

irreducible components of dimension d_j and multiplicity n_j

\implies for $d_j = 1$ exists an decoherence free subspace of dimension n_j

(for $d_j > 1$ decoherence free subsystem)

Problem: requires non-trivial symmetry of the interaction

DFS: Fault Tolerant Operations

operations in the algebra

$$\mathcal{A}' \cong \bigoplus_j M(n_j, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_j}$$

commute with the interaction algebra

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \bigoplus_j \mathbb{1}_{n_j} \otimes M(d_j, \mathbb{C})$$

\implies those operations preserve the DFS

For some models, universal computation is possible based on the exchange Hamiltonian or other two-qubit interactions (see e. g. [Kempe et al. 00, DiVincenzo et al. 00]).

but: entangling gates require in general an embedding

$$\text{DFS} \otimes \text{DFS} \subset \widetilde{\text{DFS}}$$

\implies larger $\widetilde{\text{DFS}}$ based on even more symmetry

DFS: Further Aspects

Collective Decoherence

the interaction algebra is invariant under particle permutations

“the bath cannot distinguish between the particles”

⇒ highly symmetric interaction

Problem: in general, lack of symmetry yields multiplicity $n_j = 1$

⇒ simulation of an effective interaction Hamiltonian:

apply (fast) local operations

Problem: not robust against gate errors

(e. g. the exchange interactions must be able to address individual particles)


⇒ combination with active QECC

- using DFS as single “qudits” for a QECC (e. g. [Lidar et al. 98])
- embedding an active QECC into a DFS (e. g. [Plenio et al. 97, Alber et al. 01])

Jump Codes

(cf. Alber et al., PRL vol. 86, no. 19, pp. 4402–4405, May 7, 2001, [quant-ph/0103042](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0103042))

Quantum jump



Effective Hamiltonian (no jump, but monitoring)

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^n -i\hbar\Gamma |1\rangle_{\nu}\langle 1|_{\nu} \quad U_{\text{eff}}(t) = \prod_{\nu=1}^n \exp(-t\Gamma |1\rangle_{\nu}\langle 1|_{\nu})$$

\implies decoherence free subspace (DFS): constant number of excited states $|1\rangle$

additionally: correct errors due to *detected quantum jumps*, i. e., errors at known positions (classical side information)

\implies “quantum erasure channel”

QECC: Possible Directions to Proceed

Higher dimensional subsystems

- individual quantum systems are not only two-dimensional
- generalization of stabilizer codes [Rains 99, Ashikhmin & Knill 2001]
- for large alphabets, quantum MDS codes exist [Rains 99, Schlingemann & Werner 01]

Refined error models

- find systems where local/collective errors are dominant
- use additional side information [Grassl et al. 96, Gregoratti & Werner 02]
- impose symmetries [Zanardi 98, Viola et al. 00]

Optimize both QECC & algorithms

- (near) optimal codes for small systems
- better methods of fault tolerant error correction (e. g. [Steane 02])
- robust algorithms (e. g. approximative Fourier transform)

Some References

- [1] D. Aharonov and M. Ben-Or. Fault-Tolerant Quantum Computation with Constant Error. In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, page 176. Association for Computing Machinery, 1997. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9611025.
- [2] G. Alber, T. Beth, C. Charnes, A. Delgado, M. Grassl, and M. Mussinger. Stabilizing Distinguishable Qubits against Spontaneous Decay by Detected-Jump Correcting Quantum Codes. *Physical Review Letters*, 86(19):4402–4405, May 7 2001. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/0103042.
- [3] A. Ashikhmin and E. Knill. Nonbinary quantum stabilizer codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 47(7), Nov. 2001.
- [4] A. R. Calderbank, E. M. Rains, P. W. Shor, and N. J. A. Sloane. Quantum Error Correction Via Codes over GF(4). *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 44(4):1369–1387, July 1998. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9608006.
- [5] A. R. Calderbank and P. W. Shor. Good quantum error-correcting codes exist. *Physical Review A*, 54(2):1098–1105, Aug. 1996. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9512032.
- [6] R. Cleve and D. Gottesman. Efficient Computations of Encodings for Quantum Error Correction. Technical Report CALT-68-2067, QUIC-96-002, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, July 1996.
- [7] D. P. DiVincenzo, D. Bacon, J. Kempe, G. Burkard, and K. B. Whaley. Universal Quantum Computation with the Exchange Interaction. *Nature*, 408(6810):339–342, 16 Nov. 2000. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/0005116.
- [8] D. Gottesman. A Class of Quantum Error-Correcting Codes Saturating the Quantum Hamming Bound. *Physical Review A*, 54(3):1862–1868, Sept. 1996. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9604038.
- [9] M. Grassl. Algorithmic aspects of quantum error-correcting codes. In R. K. Brylinski and G. Chen, editors, *Mathematics of Quantum Computation*, pages 223–252. CRC-Press, 2002.

- [10] M. Grassl and T. Beth. Cyclic quantum error-correcting codes and quantum shift registers. *Proceedings of the Royal Society London A*, 456(2003):2689–2706, 8. November 2000. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9910061.
- [11] M. Grassl, T. Beth, and T. Pellizzari. Codes for the Quantum Erasure Channel. *Physical Review A*, 56(1):33–38, July 1997. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9610042.
- [12] M. Grassl, W. Geiselmann, and T. Beth. Quantum Reed-Solomon Codes. In M. Fossorier, H. Imai, S. Lin, and A. Poli, editors, *Proceedings Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes (AAECC-13)*, volume 1719 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 231–244, Honolulu, Hawaii, November 15–19 1999. Springer. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9910059.
- [13] M. Grassl, A. Klappenecker, and M. Rötteler. Graphs, Quadratic Forms, and Quantum Codes. In *Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, page 45, 2002.
- [14] M. Gregoratti and R. F. Werner. Quantum Lost and Found. LANL preprint quant-ph/0209025, 2002.
- [15] J. Kempe, D. Bacon, D. A. Lidar, and K. B. Whaley. Theory of Decoherence-Free Fault-Tolerant Universal Quantum Computation. LANL preprint quant-ph/0004064, 2000.
- [16] A. Klappenecker and M. Rötteler. Beyond Stabilizer Codes I: Nice Error Bases. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 48(8):2392–2395, Aug. 2002. LANL preprint quant-ph/0010082.
- [17] A. Klappenecker and M. Rötteler. Beyond Stabilizer Codes II: Clifford Codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 48(8):2396–2399, Aug. 2002. LANL preprint quant-ph/0010076.
- [18] E. Knill. Non-binary Unitary Error Bases and Quantum Codes. Technical Report LAUR-96-2717, LANL, 1996. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9608048.
- [19] E. Knill, R. Laflamme, and L. Viola. Theory of Quantum Error Correction for General Noise. *Physical Review Letters*, 84(11):2525–2528, 2000. LANL e-print quant-ph/9908066.

- [20] E. Knill, R. Laflamme, and W. H. Zurek. Resilient quantum computation: error models and thresholds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A*, 454(1969):365–384, Jan. 1998. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9702058.
- [21] D. A. Lidar, D. Bacon, and K. B. Whaley. Concatenating Decoherence-Free Subspaces with Quantum Error Correcting Codes. *Physical Review Letters*, 82(22):4556–4559, 31. May 1999. LANL e-print quant-ph/9809081.
- [22] D. A. Lidar, I. L. Chuang, and K. B. Whaley. Decoherence-Free Subspaces for Quantum Computation. *Physical Review Letters*, 81(12):2594–2597, 21. Sept. 1998.
- [23] M. B. Plenio, V. Vedral, and P. L. Knight. Quantum error correction in the presence of spontaneous emission. *Physical Review A*, 55(1):67–71, Jan. 1997. LANL preprint quant-ph/9603022.
- [24] J. Preskill. Fault-Tolerant Quantum Computation. In H.-K. Lo, S. Popescu, and T. Spiller, editors, *Introduction to Quantum Computation and Information*, pages 213–269. World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.
- [25] E. M. Rains. Nonbinary Quantum Codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 45(6):1827–1832, Sept. 1999. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9703048.
- [26] E. M. Rains, R. H. Hardin, P. W. Shor, and N. J. A. Sloane. Nonadditive Quantum Code. *Physical Review Letters*, 79(5):953–954, 4. Aug. 1997. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9703002.
- [27] D. Schlingemann. Stabilizer codes can be realized as graph codes. *Quantum Information and Computation*, 2(4), June 2002. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/0111080.
- [28] D. Schlingemann and R. F. Werner. Quantum error-correcting codes associated with graphs. *Physical Review A*, 65(012308), 2002. LANL preprint quant-ph/0012111.
- [29] A. M. Steane. Error Correcting Codes in Quantum Theory. *Physical Review Letters*, 77(5):793–797, 29. July 1996.

- [30] A. M. Steane. Overhead and noise threshold of fault-tolerant quantum error correction. LANL preprint quant-ph/0207119, 2002.
- [31] L. Viola, E. Knill, and S. Lloyd. Dynamical Generation of Noiseless Quantum Subsystems. *Physical Review Letters*, 85(16):3520–3523, 16 Oct. 2002. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/0002072.
- [32] P. Zanardi. Symmetrizing Evolutions. *Physics Letters A*, 258(2/3):77–82, 1999. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9809064.
- [33] P. Zanardi and M. Rasetti. Noiseless Quantum Codes. *Physical Review Letters*, 79(17):3306–3309, Oct. 27 1997. See also LANL preprint quant-ph/9705044.