

CS 311: Computational Structures

James Hook

October 28, 2014

9 PDA to CFG Example

Consider the PDA:

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----|---------------------|-------|---|
| $\delta(0, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ | $=$ | $\{(1, \$)\}$ | $+\$$ | 1 |
| $\delta(1, a, \epsilon)$ | $=$ | $\{(1, \#)\}$ | $+\#$ | 2 |
| $\delta(1, b, \#)$ | $=$ | $\{(2, \epsilon)\}$ | $-\#$ | 3 |
| $\delta(2, b, \#)$ | $=$ | $\{(2, \epsilon)\}$ | $-\#$ | 4 |
| $\delta(2, \epsilon, \$)$ | $=$ | $\{(3, \epsilon)\}$ | $-\$$ | 5 |

In this summary I have indicated if a rule is a “push” of t ($+t$) or a “pop” of t ($-t$). I have also numbered each line in the definition of δ for reference.

Recall that the construction introduces rules of the form:

$$A_{pq} \rightarrow aA_{rs}b$$

when there is a stack symbol t such that:

$$\begin{aligned}(r, t) &\in \delta(p, a, \epsilon) \\ (q, \epsilon) &\in \delta(s, b, t)\end{aligned}$$

Note that this is exactly when the transition from p to r is labeled $+t$ and the transition from s to q is labeled $-t$.

Applying this rule to all of δ yields 3 instances. They are:

$$\begin{array}{llll}A_{03} & \rightarrow & A_{12} & + - \$ \quad 1, 5 \\ A_{12} & \rightarrow & aA_{11}b & + - \# \quad 2, 3 \\ & & | & aA_{12}b \quad + - \# \quad 2, 4\end{array}$$

Here I have annotated each rule with what symbol is being pushed and popped ($+ - t$) and which lines in the definition of δ are used in the construction.

The grammar is completed by using one instance of the construction that introduces null productions:

$$A_{11} \rightarrow \epsilon$$

It is, of course, safe to add all other null productions, but no other null productions contribute to the generation of any strings in the language.