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8.6 Manually solve $Q R x=b$ for $x$ where

$$
Q=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / \sqrt{2} & 0 & -1 / \sqrt{2} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 / \sqrt{2} & 0 & 1 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right] \quad R=\sqrt{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad b=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
2 \sqrt{2} \\
4
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hint: Take advantage of the properties of $Q$ identified in the preceding problem.
Solution: Before any detailed (i.e. element-by-element) computations are performed, manipulate the given equation as products of matrices and vectors. From Exercise 8.3 we know that $Q^{T} Q=I$, so that $Q^{T}=Q^{-1}$, and $Q$ is an orthogonal matrix.
Multiplying both sides of $Q R x=b$ by $Q^{T}$ and simplifying gives

$$
Q^{T} Q R x=Q^{T} b \quad \Longrightarrow \quad R x=Q^{T} b
$$

For convenience, let $z=Q^{T} b$ ( $z$ is a $3 \times 1$ column vector). If the $z$ vector is known, we can solve $R x=z$ for $z$ with backward substitution. Given the preceding manipulations we can obtain an "easy" solution to $Q R x=b$ with the following two steps

1. Evaluate $z=Q^{T} b$
2. Solve $R x=z$ with backward substitution

This completes the solution strategy. All that remains is performing the computations.
Use the row view of the matrix-vector product to evaluate $Q^{T} b$

$$
z=Q^{T} b=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / \sqrt{2} & 0 & 1 / \sqrt{2} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 / \sqrt{2} & 0 & 1 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
2 \sqrt{2} \\
4
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 / \sqrt{2}+0+4 / \sqrt{2} \\
0+2 \sqrt{2}+0 \\
-2 / \sqrt{2}+0+4 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
6 / \sqrt{2} \\
2 \sqrt{2} \\
2 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Next solve

$$
\sqrt{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
6 / \sqrt{2} \\
2 \sqrt{2} \\
2 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with backward substitution. For convenience, first divide through by the factor of $\sqrt{2}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
6 / \sqrt{2} \\
2 \sqrt{2} \\
2 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
6 / 2 \\
2 \\
2 / 2
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Work from $x_{3}$ up to $x_{1}$ (backward substitution):

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{3}=1 & \\
x_{2}+x_{3}=2 \quad & \Longrightarrow x_{2}=2-x_{3}=1 \\
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=3 & \Longrightarrow x_{1}=3-x_{2}-x_{3}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the solution is $x=[1,1,1]^{T}$. The following MatLaB statements double-check the manual solution. First, define the $Q, R$, and $b$ vectors as given

```
>> s2 = sqrt(2);
>> Q = [1/s2 0 -1/s2; 0 1 0; 1/s2 0 1/s2]
Q =
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
0.7071 & 0 & -0.7071 \\
0 & 1.0000 & 0 \\
0.7071 & 0 & 0.7071
\end{tabular}
>> R = s2*[1 1 1; 0 1 1; 0 0 1]
R =
    1.4142 1.4142 1.4142
            0
>> b = [2; 2*s2; 4]
b =
    2.0000
    2.8284
        4 . 0 0 0 0
```

As a check, verify that $Q^{T} Q=I$

```
>> Q'*Q - eye(3)
ans \(=\)
    \(1.0 \mathrm{e}-15\) *
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
-0.2220 & 0 & 0.0224 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0.0224 & 0 & -0.2220
\end{tabular}
```

Now, obtain the solution by computing $z$, and then computing $x$ by backward substitution.

```
>> \(\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{Q}^{\prime} * \mathrm{~b}\)
z =
        4.2426
        2.8284
        1.4142
>> \(x(3)=z(3) / R(3,3)\)
\(\mathrm{x}=\)
        \(0 \quad 0 \quad 1.0000\)
>> \(x(2)=(z(2)-R(2,3) * x(3)) / R(2,2)\)
\(\mathrm{x}=\)
    \(0 \quad 1.0000 \quad 1.0000\)
>> \(x(1)=(z(1)-R(1,2) * x(2)-R(1,3) * x(3)) / R(1,1)\)
\(\mathrm{x}=\)
    \(1.0000 \quad 1.0000 \quad 1.0000\)
>> \(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}(:) \quad \%\) convert x to column vector
x =
    1.0000
    1.0000
    1.0000
```

[^0]We used to the most general form of the backward substitution steps, and did not exploit the fact that all of the upper triangular elements of $R$ are equal to one. Conversion of x from a row vector to a column vector with $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}(:)$ is necessary because the x was first created as a row vector with the statement $x(3)=z(3) / R(3,3)$.
8.12 Starting with the code in the GEshow function, develop a GErect function that performs Gaussian Elimination only (no backward substitution) for rectangular ( $m \times n$ ) matrices. The GErect function should return $\tilde{A}$, the triangularized coefficient matrix, and $\tilde{b}$, the corresponding right hand side vector. Use the GErect function to solve Exercise 11.
Partial Solution: The prologue and partial code for the GErect function is shown below. The only substantial difference between GEshow and GErect is that GErect does not perform backward substitution.

```
function [At,bt] = GErect(A,b,ptol)
% GErect Gauss elimination for rectangular coefficient matrices
% No pivoting is used.
%
% Synopsis: [At,bt] = GErect(A,b)
% [At,bt] = GErect(A,b,ptol)
%
% Input: A,b = coefficient matrix and right hand side vector
% ptol = (optional) tolerance for detection of zero pivot
                                Default: ptol = 50*eps
%
% Output: At = triangularized coefficient matrix obtained by elimination
% bt = right hand side vector transformed by the same row
% operations necessary to obtain At
if nargin<3, ptol = 50*eps; end
[m,n] = size(A);
nb = n+1; Ab = [A b]; % Augmented system
fprintf('\nBegin forward elimination with Augmented system:\n'); disp(Ab);
... more code goes here
At = Ab (:,1:n); bt = Ab (:,nb);
```

8.16 Write an lsolve function to solve $A x=b$ when $A$ is a lower triangular matrix. Test your function by comparing the solutions it obtains with the solutions obtained with the left division operator.
Partial Solution: The lsolve function is listed below. The reader is left to complete the Exercise by devising appropriate tests for lsolve.

```
function x = lsolve(L,b)
% lsolve solves the lower triangular system Lx = b
%
% Synopsis: x = lsolve(L,b)
%
% Input: L = lower triangular coefficient matrix
% b = right hand side vector
%
% Output: x = solution vector
[m,n] = size(L);
if m~=n, error('L matrix is not square'); end
x = zeros(n,1); % preallocate x for speed
x(1) = b(1)/L(1,1); % begin forward substitution
for i=2:n
    x(i) = (b(i) - L(i,1:i-1)*x(1:i-1))/L(i,i);
end
```

8.21 (3) The inverse matrix $A$ satisfies $A A^{-1}=I$. Using the column view of matrix-matrix multiplication (see Algorithm 7.5 on page 327) we see that the $j^{\text {th }}$ column of $A^{-1}$ is the vector $x$ such that $A x=e_{(j)}$, where $e_{(j)}$ is the $j^{\text {th }}$ column of the identity matrix (e.g., $e_{3}=[0,0,1, \ldots, 0]^{T}$ ). By solving $A x=e_{(j)}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$ the columns of $A^{-1}$ can be produced one at a time.
(a) Write a function called invByCol that computes the inverse of an $n \times n$ matrix one column at a time. Use the backslash operator to solve for each column of $A^{-1}$.
(b) Use the estimates in Table 8.1 to derive an order-of-magnitude estimate for how the flop count of invByCol depends on $n$ for an $n \times n$ matrix.
(c) Verify the estimate derived in part (b) by measuring the flop count of invByCol for matrices of increasing size. Use $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{rand}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n})$ for $\mathrm{n}=2,4,8,16,32, \ldots, 128$. Compare the flop count of invByCol with those of the built-in inv command. Note that the order-of-magnitude estimate will only hold as $n$ becomes large. Users of Matlab version 6 will not be able to use the flops function to measure the flops performed by inv. In that case, use the estimate that matrix inversion can be performed in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ flops.

Solution (a): $A$ is given. The objective is to solve a sequence of problems $A x=e_{(j)}, j=1, \ldots, n$. Each $x$ becomes a column of $A^{-1}$. Doing so requires a loop, and a way to define $e_{(j)}$. The following statements do the job

```
for j=1:n
    e = zeros(n,1); e(j) = 1;
    Ai(:,j) = A\e;
end
```

where n is the number of rows in A . The $\operatorname{expression~} \mathrm{Ai}(:, \mathrm{j})=\mathrm{A} \backslash \mathrm{e}$ stores the solution to $A x=e_{(j)}$ in the $j^{\text {th }}$ column of Ai. The efficiency of the loop can be improved by preallocating memory for Ai, and using a fixed zero vector $z=\operatorname{zeros}(n, 1)$ instead of creating a new vector on each pass through the loop. These improvements, along with provisions for input and output and some basic error checking are incorporated into the invByCol function listed below.

```
function Ai = invByCol(A)
% invByCol Compute matrix inverse of a matrix by columns
%
% Synopsis: Ai = invByCol(A)
%
% Input: A = square (n by n) matrix
% Output: Ai = inverse of A, if it exists
[m,n] = size(A);
if m~=n, error('Inverse is defined only for square matrices'); end
Ai = zeros(n,n); % pre-allocate for speed
z= zeros(n,1); % temporary vector
for j=1:n
    e = z; e(j) = 1; % reset column of I
    Ai(:,j) = A\e; % Solve for jth column of A^(-1)
end
```

A simple test of invByCol is

```
>> A = rand(5,5); Ai = invByCol(A); E = Ai*A - eye(5)
E =
    1.0e-15 *
    -0.1110 -0.1661 -0.2774 -0.0659 -0.1029
    -0.0513 0.2220
        0.1372 0.1194 0.4441 0.3129 0.0386
    -0.0205 -0.0691 -0.1924 0.0.0.078
    -0.0833 0.0571 0.0031 0.0324 0
>> norm(A,1)
ans =
    3.3992
>> norm(E,1)
ans =
    9.2514e-16
```

Since $\|E\|_{1} \ll\|A\|_{1}$ and $A$ is reasonably well-conditioned (How do we know?), the invByCol function appears to be working. Note that the $L_{1}$ norm is chosen for efficiency. Both the $L_{\infty}$ and $L_{2}$ norms would give equivalent results. The $L_{\infty}$ norm would take less flops than the $L_{1}$ norm. Both $L_{1}$ and $L_{\infty}$ norms are significantly more efficient than the $L_{2}$ norm. For a $5 \times 5$ matrix the efficiency differences are irrelevant, however.
Solution (b): Each solution of $A x=e_{(j)}$ takes $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} / 3\right)$ flops. There are $n$ columns of $A^{-1}$ so the invByCol function takes $n \times \mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} / 3\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4} / 3\right)$ flops for an $n \times n$ matrix $A$. This is an expensive way to compute $A^{-1}$.
Solution (c): The demoInvByCol function (listed below) measures the flops performed by the invByCol function and the built-in inv function. These functions are applied to a sequence of
random $n \times n$ matrices is generated for $n=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 4 & 8 & 16 & 32 & 64 & 128\end{array}\right]$. The elements of matrix $A$ are unimportant as long as $A$ is nonsingular. It turns out that the matrices generated by the built-in rand function are rarely singular. The flop counts are measured with the built-in flops function. Note that these measurements will yield zero flops for Matlab version 6 and later. Thus, the demoInvByCol function is useful only to users of Matlab version 5 and earlier.

The flop count for each $n$ is saved for plotting and analysis. The powfit function is used to obtain the least squares fit (see "Fitting Lines to Apparently Nonlinear Functions" in Chapter 9) to

$$
f=c_{1} n^{c_{2}}
$$

where $f$ is a vector of measured flop counts and $n$ is the vector of matrix dimensions. The $c_{2}$ exponent should be 4 for the invByCol function because the flop count grows as $\mathcal{O}(4)$. (See solution to part (b), above.) For the built-in inv function the $c_{2}$ exponent should be 3 because the inv function computes the inverse via LU factorization, flop count grows as $\mathcal{O}(3)$.

The $n(3:$ end) and $f(n$ : end) vectors are passed to powfit. The 3 : end index subexpression selects the third through last elements of the vector. This improves the estimate of $c_{2}$ because the order of magnitude estimates of the flop counts only applies for large $n$.

```
function demoInvByCol
% demoInvByCol Measure flop count behavior of invByCol
% --- Count flops for invByCol and built-in inv functions
n = [2 [ 4 8 16 32 64 128]; % Sizes of problems to run
for i=1:length(n)
    A = rand(n(i),n(i));
    flops(0); Ai = invByCol(A); fcol(i) = flops;
    flops(0); Ai = inv(A); flnv(i) = flops;
end
% --- Use least squares fits to obtain exponent of flops relationship
c = powfit(n(4:end),fcol(4:end));
cinv = powfit(n(4:end),fInv(4:end));
% --- Evaluate least squares fits and plot
nfit = n(4:end);
fcolfit = c(1)*nfit. `c(2);
finvfit = cinv(1)*nfit.^cinv(2);
loglog(n,fcol,'o',nfit,fcolfit,'-',n,fInv,'v',nfit,finvfit,'_')
legend('invByCol flops','fit','inv flops','fit',2)
xlabel('Number of unknowns'); ylabel('flops');
% --- Print summary
fprintf('\nFlop counts:\n\n');
fprintf(' n invByCol inv\n');
for i=1:length(n)
    fprintf(' %4d %10d %10d\n',n(i),fcol(i),fInv(i));
end
fprintf(' exponent O(%3.1f) O(%3.1f)\n',c(2),cinv(2));
```

[^1]```
function c = powfit(x,y)
% expfit Least squares fit of data to y = c1*x^c2
%
% Synopsis: c = powfit(x,y)
%
% Input: x,y = vectors of independent and dependent variable values
%
% Output: c = vector of coefficients: y = c(1)*x^c(2)
if length(y)~=length(x), error('Dimensions of x and y are not compatible'); end
ct = linefit(log(x(:)),log(y(:))); % Line fit to transformed data
c = [exp(ct(2)) ct(1)]; % Extract parameters from transformation
```

Running demoInvByCol produces the following output and the plot below.

```
>> demoInvByCol
Flop counts:
```

| n | invByCol | inv |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2 | 96 | 51 |
| 4 | 768 | 242 |
| 8 | 7040 | 1412 |
| 16 | 76128 | 9598 |
| 32 | 952768 | 70942 |
| 64 | 13163520 | 545040 |
| 128 | 194685952 | 4276376 |
| exponent | $0(3.8)$ | $0(2.9)$ |

The invByCol function is clearly less efficient than the built-in inv function. The measured flops exponent for the inv function is close to the theoretical value of 3 . The measured flops exponent for invByCol is somewhat less than the expected value of 4 . The discrepancies are likely caused by the relatively small values of $n$ used, and the way that Matlab counts the flops for the backslash operator.


Exercise 8-21. Flop counts for invByCol and inv.

[^2]Extra Credit: The most costly phase of invByCol is repeatedly solving $A x=e_{(j)}$. Rewrite the invByCol function to use LU factorization to reduce the computational work. Factor matrix $A$ once, then (inside a loop) use triangular solves to produce each column of $I$. Compare the flop count of your new function with the original version of invByCol.
The extra credit solution is implemented in the invByColLU and demoInvByColLU functions (neither are listed here). Running demoInvByColLU gives

```
>> demoInvByColLU
Flop counts:
```

| n | invByCol | inv | invByColLU |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 100 | 51 | 35 |
| 4 | 752 | 242 | 226 |
| 8 | 7168 | 1444 | 1588 |
| 16 | 77216 | 9594 | 11816 |
| 32 | 950400 | 70836 | 90960 |
| 64 | 13186432 | 545124 | 713376 |
| 128 | 194873088 | 4275098 | 5649728 |
| exponent | $0(3.7)$ | $0(2.9)$ | $0(3.0)$ |

The invByCollu function obtains the exact flops exponent value of 3 that is predicted by the order of magnitude work estimates. The built-in inv function uses the ideas embodied in invByColLU to compute $A^{(-1)}$.
8.25 An alternative way to resolve the singularity in the $3 \times 3$ coefficient matrix of Example 8.5 is to modify the elements of the matrix. Write a trivial equation involving $v_{b}, v_{c}$, and $v_{d}$ that has the solution $v_{d}=0$. Use this equation to replace the equation for $v_{d}$ in the $3 \times 3$ system in Example 8.5. What is the value of $V_{\text {out }}$ for $R_{1}=R_{3}=R_{4}=R_{5}=10 k \Omega, R_{2}=20 k \Omega$ and $V_{\text {in }}=5 \mathrm{~V}$.
Partial Solution: The trivial equation with the solution $v_{d}=0$ is $(0) v_{b}+(0) v_{c}+(1) v_{d}=0$, or $v_{d}=0$.
8.33 Use the pumpCurve function developed in Exercise 32 to study the effect of perturbing the input data. Specifically, replace the second $h$ value, $h=114.2$, with $h=114$, and re-evaluate the coefficients of the cubic interpolating polynomial. Let $\tilde{c}$ be the coefficients of the interpolating polynomial derived from the perturbed data, and let $c$ be the coefficients of the polynomial derived from the original data. What is the relative difference, $\left(\tilde{c}_{i}-c_{i}\right) / c_{i}$, in each of the polynomial coefficients? Evaluate and plot $h(q)$ for the two cubic interpolating polynomials at 100 data points in the range $\min (q) \leq q \leq \max (q)$. What is the maximum difference in $h$ for the interpolants derived from the original and the perturbed data? Discuss the practical significance of the effect perturbing the data on the values of $c$ and the values of $h$ obtained from the interpolant.
Partial Solution: The computations are carried out in pumpPerturb (not listed here). Running pumpPerturb gives the following output and plot..

```
>> pumpPerturb
Coefficients of cubic interpolant in descending powers of q:
    i c(i) ct(i) percent diff
    1 -1.1870738e+10 -1.3978775e+10 17.8
    2 1.0361305e+07 1.5209790e+07 46.8
```

[^3]```
    -7.8023933e+03 -1.0627163e+04 36.2
    1.1568850e+02 1.1592460e+02 0.2
Maximum difference of h values = 0.253 (m) occurs at q = 3.89e-04
Condition numbers: kappa(A) = 2.7e+10; kappa(At) = 2.7e+10
```

$c$ (i) are the coefficients of the cubic polynomial derived from the unperturbed data. ct (i) are the coefficients derived from the perturbed data. Although the coefficients have very large differences in magnitude, the values of the interpolants have a maximum difference of only 0.25 m in head. The perturbation has no significant effect on the condition number of the Vandermonde system.


Exercise 8-33. Interpolants from original and perturbed $h$ data.
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