# The Critical Voltage Effect in High-Voltage Electron Microscopy

**R.M. Fisher** U.S. Steel Corporation, Research Laboratory *Monroeville, Pennsylvania*  C.G. Shirley Motorola, Inc., Semiconductor Research and Development Laboratories *Phoenix, Arizona* 

Reprinted from JOURNAL OF METALS, Vol. 33, No. 3, March, 1981, p. 26-30.

# The Critical Voltage Effect in High-Voltage Electron Microscopy

## R.M. Fisher

U.S. Steel Corporation, Research Laboratory Monroeville, Pennsylvania

#### SUMMARY

In addition to revealing the internal microstructures of materials, the high-voltage electron microscope can also be used to characterize "invisible" features such as electron scattering, site occupancy, and atomic displacement amplitudes on a quantitative basis. The physical basis of the unique "critical voltage effect" is the occurrence of destructive interference between current waves within the specimen at a particular accelerating voltage. Potential applications to studies of random and ordered alloy solid solutions largely depend on the magnitude of the size disparity between solute and solvent atoms.

#### INTRODUCTION

Research-oriented metallurgists are generally aware that increasing the accelerating voltage of the electron microscope can result in a significant increase in specimen penetration.<sup>1,2</sup> This ability to examine thick specimens in transmission has been utilized extensively to study the detailed features of microstructures developed during transformation, precipitation, and deformation, using either postmortem sectioning or dynamic in-situ observations on prethinned specimens. At accelerating voltages above the displacement threshold for crystals, electrons in the beam are sufficiently energetic to knock atoms from their lattice sites to create vacancies and interstitials. Study of the agglomeration of vacancies to form planar faults or voids has been a major application of the HVEM for a number of years. Finally, advances in electron microscope lens design and painstaking attention to mechanical and electrical stability have led to the development of very high resolution instruments which should produce atomic-level resolution in the near future.

All these features of high voltage electron microscopy have been discussed in detail in various AIME symposia on metallography, as well as in previous special editions of Journal of Metals which were devoted to microscopy.<sup>3,4</sup> However, there is one additional and unique aspect of highvoltage electron microscopy that is still not generally familiar to materials scientists, namely, the "critical voltage" effect.<sup>5,6</sup> This phenomenon takes its name from the fact that subtle but characteristic changes occur in the image and corresponding electron diffraction patterns from crystals at certain critical voltages which are determined by the composition, structure, temperature, and other specimen factors. Careful determination of the critical voltage (V<sub>c</sub>) can provide quantitative information about departures from randomness in lattice site occupancy, i.e., clustering or shortrange order, Debye temperatures, bonding, and charge transfer in alloys. These basic parameters of crystal physics are usually derived from x-ray or neutron scattering studies or elastic wave propagation experiments and are not considered amenable to analysis by electron microscopy.

An important advantage of the critical voltage technique over the other experimental methods is that it is not necessary to work with large single crystals, as the magC.G. Shirley

Motorola, Inc., Semiconductor Research and Development Laboratories *Phoenix, Arizona* 

nifying power of the electron microscope can be used to localize the analysis on small grains ( $\sim 1 \ \mu m$ ) or alloy phases, or even selected areas within a larger grain if inhomogeneity in composition is suspected. However, efforts to capitalize on the V<sub>c</sub> effect for alloy studies have been handicapped until recently by the lack of a suitable theoretical framework for interpreting such measurements in terms of fundamental parameters.

The purpose of this brief article is to draw attention to the salient features of the  $V_c$  effect-particularly in terms of its limitations as well as the type of information which can (or cannot) be derived.

#### ORIGIN AND OBSERVATIONS OF THE V<sub>c</sub> EFFECT

Detailed discussion of the basic electron scattering processes that give rise to the critical voltage effect and the mathematical formalism is beyond the scope of this article.<sup>7,8</sup> However, the general features may be of interest to some readers, especially those who may already be aware that upon entering a crystalline specimen the energy of the electron beam, i.e., the current flow, is distributed among a series of standing waves. These recombine at the exit surface to form the directly transmitted and diffracted beams which form the various "spots" in the diffraction pattern. The amplitude and symmetry of the various Bloch waves depend on the electron beam energy, i.e., the accelerating voltage of the microscope, as well as the crystallographic orientation, average atomic weight (composition), and temperature of the specimen. At the "critical" voltage, pairs of highly excited Bloch waves may be equal in amplitude but exactly out of phase, leading to complete extinction of the corresponding diffracted beam, and producing related changes in the image.

In terms of diffraction physics, the criterion for the occurrence of  $V_c$  is the point of contact between two branches of the dispersion surface at a Brillouin zone boundary. This condition results when the values of the crystal structure factors  $F_g$ ,  $F_{2g}$ , etc., bear a fixed ratio to one another. It is only through the structure factors that  $V_c$  depends on crystal parameters such as temperature, composition, short-range order (s.r.o), long-range order (l.r.o.), etc.

The consequences of destructive interference are only apparent within  $\pm 5\%$  of V<sub>c</sub>, which can range from 30 kV to 3,000 kV (Table I). Under favorable conditions, V<sub>c</sub> can be measured to  $\pm 0.5\%$ . Examples of changes in the image and diffraction pattern very near V<sub>c</sub> are illustrated in Figures 1 and 2.\*

The diffraction process and the physics of the dependence of structure factors on crystal parameters are completely decoupled, and the former is quite accurately understood. For applications of the  $V_c$  effect, it is necessary to have a model for the dependence of structure factors on crystal para-

<sup>\*</sup>The critical voltage can also be measured using convergent beam diffraction techniques in HVEMs modified to operate in this mode. See references 19 and 20 for theoretical and experimental papers on this method.



Figure 1. Illustration of the disappearance of the 400 bend contour in Fe-30%Cr at the critical voltage (285 kV). (a) 299kV; (b) 285 kV; (c) 275 kV.

meters. In the following section, we give a heuristic description of models of the structure factor tailored to interpretation of  $V_{\rm c}$  data.

### APPLICATIONS OF THE V<sub>c</sub> EFFECTS

Although  $V_c$  can be measured quite precisely, the experimenter usually has available the temperature variation of only one or two critical voltages at (for alloys) one or two compositions. Hence, the need for a few-parameter model of the structure factor. Such a model was immediately available to interpret elemental  $V_c$  data, but a model was not at first available to interpret alloy data.



Figure 2. Illustration of the change in the symmetry of the Kikuchi lines for Cu at the critical voltage.

#### Elements

The structure of the theory for elements is illustrated in Figure 3. Note that the item in any box is directly influenced by items connected by lines leading into the box. For example, the structure factors are determined by the lattice parameters, the mean-square displacements (commonly represented by  $\langle \mu^2 \rangle$ ), and the atomic scattering factors, f. The atomic scattering factors depend on the detailed electron distribution of each atom.

What is not shown in Figure 3 is the relative sensitivities of the influences. It turns out that  $V_c$  is particularly sensitive to variations in  $F_g$  at low scattering angles (corresponding to rearrangement of outer atomic electrons), so the temperature variation of  $V_c$  can give highly accurate values of low-angle atomic scattering factors at reciprocal lattice points as well as the crystal Debye temperature,  $\Theta_D$ ; or if  $\Theta_D$  is regarded as known (from x-ray diffraction data), only room temperature  $V_c$  data are needed. Deviations of measured values of f at low angles from free-atom computed values give insight into the rearrangement of outer atomic electrons in the crystalline environment. Many such determinations exist in the literature; two examples are given in Table II.

#### Table I: Representative Values of V<sub>c</sub> at 300K

	kV		
fcc	222	004**	
Al (395 K)*	436	911	
Co (380 K)	279	555	
Ni (390 K)	297	589	
Cu (320 K)	316	602	
Ag (220 K)	54	221	
Au (185 K)	0	110	
bcc	220	004	
Cr (495 K)	264	~1298	
Fe (425 K)	304	$\sim 1294$	
Mo (380 K)	35	789	
W (315 K)	0	660	
hcp	00.4	22.2	
Mg (330 K)	678	310	
Ti (355 K)	236		
Co (380 K)	286		
diamond cubic	333	440	
Ge (290 K)	925	1028	

\* Debye Temperature  $\Theta_{-n}$ ) in K

\*\* Indices of diffracting planes



Figure 3. Schematic illustration of influence of intrinsic crystal parameters (Debye temperature —  $\Theta_D$  and atomic scattering factor —  $\mathbf{f}_e$ ) and experimental parameters (specimen temperature and orientation) on the critical voltage for elements. Measurements of  $\mathbf{V}_e$  at several temperatures have now provided accurate values of  $\Theta_D$  and  $\mathbf{f}_e$  for most elements.

Table II: X-Ray and Electron Scattering Amplitude and 100 kV Extinction Distance Calculated for Free Atoms and Determined for Crystals From  $V_c$  Measurements\*

Reflection Fe (bcc)	<b>f</b> <sub>x</sub>		$\mathbf{f}_{e}$		ξ <sub>s</sub> Å	
110	(18.46)	18.42	(2.97)	3.01	(270)	286.7
200	(15.26)	15.22	(2.11)	2.12	(395)	411.8
211	(13.15)	13.28	(1.68)	1.67	(503)	534.6
220	(11.64)	11.91	(1.41)	1.39	(606)	657.6
Cu (fcc)						
111	(22.06)	21.75	(2.89)	3.02	(242)	285.8
200	(20.67)	20.44	(2.60)	2.68	(281)	326.3
220	(16.79)	16.69	(1.91)	1.93	(416)	472.5
311	(14.79)	14.76	(1.62)	1.62	(505)	579.0

\* $f_x$  for x-rays;  $f_e$  for electrons (free atoms) - crystal

FOR FE DEBYE TEL ATOMIC WI	MPERATURE= EIGHT = PARAMETER=	424.000 55.847 2.8664	(DEG K) (ANGSTROMS)		
FOR SI DEBYE TEL ATOMIC W EFFECTIV	MPERATJRE= EIGHT = E LAT PAR=	300.000 28.086 2.4872	(DEG K) (ANGSTROMS)		
FIXED ALL DEBYE TE STATIC D VEGARDS 110 SYST	OY PARAMETER MP INTERPOLA ISPL AMPLITU LAW DEVIATIO EMATICS OF B	S TION PARA DE FACTOR N PARAMET CC	AMETER (TAU) = ? (GAMMA) = !ER (G) =	1.0000 1.0000 .3023	
TEMPERATUF LATTICE PA ETA COMPOSITIC MSD OF ST THERMAL MSE STATIC MSE STATIC MSE ALLOY DEN SHORT-RANG	ARAMETER ATOMS ATOMS 50 OF FE AND 0 OF FE ATOMS 0 OF SI ATOMS 20 OF SI ATOMS 20 OF SI ATOMS 20 OF DER PARA	SI ATOMS	= 295.00 = 2.8557 = .0481 = .9000 = 1.5831E-02 = 1.5831E-02 = 1.4627E-03 = 1.2044E-03 = 1.2044E-03 = 418.36	(DEG K) (ANGS) (ANGS**2) (ANGS**2) (ANGS**2) (ANGS**2) (ANGS**2) (ANGS**2) (ANGS**2) (DEG K)	FE, )
ALPHAZ ALPHAZ CRITICAL V (1) 3-BEA (2) RETHE	OLTAGE M ESTIMATE ESTIMATE		$\begin{array}{rcrr} & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$	{ <b>\</b> \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
CRITICAL V DVC/DCOMP DVC/DTEMP DVC/DALPH	OLTAGE SENSI POSITION PERATURE IA1	TIVITIES	= 306.73 $= 1.9348E 01$ $= -2.1270E-01$ $= -2.9053E 00$	(KV) KV/DEG C	

Figure 4. Schematic representation of influences of various crystal parameters on the critical voltage for alloys. Measurements of  $V_c$  for a range of compositions, specimen temperature and orientation can yield accurate values of the intrinsic parameters for the alloy systems  $(\tau, \gamma \text{ and } f_e^a, f_e^b)$  and a quantitative value of the state of order ( $\alpha$  or S) for particular specimens.

Table III: Representative Computer Printout Illustrating Typical Values of Parameters Used in the Calculations



#### **Disordered Solid Solutions**

For solid solutions, the structure factors depend not only on the time-varying vibrational (thermal) mean-square atomic displacements (m.s.d.) but also on static m.s.d. due to atomic radius differences. The structure of this more complicated model is shown in Figure 4; details are given in reference 11. In general, the two-model parameters  $\tau$  and  $\gamma$  may be determined by analysis of the temperature variation of V<sub>c</sub> at one composition in a fully disordered alloy. From a theoretical standpoint,  $\tau$  and  $\gamma$  are expected in the simplest model to have values of unity. This expectation has been used in two ways:  $\gamma$  and  $\tau$  fitted to a system's V<sub>c</sub> data may have deviations from unity which can be interpreted physically, whereas for unknown systems,  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $\tau = 1$  gives a best estimate for the sensitivity of V<sub>c</sub> to crystal parameters.

A computer program embodying this theory has been written, a sample printout of which is shown in Table III. The program has been used to compute the sensitivity of  $V_c$  to composition (via thermal and static m.s.d., lattice parameters and explicitly structure factors; see Figure 4); some examples are given in Table IV. The short-range order dependence of  $V_c$  comes from the thermal and static m.s.d. and, in principle, from the atomic scattering factors, although the theory does not encompass this last effect. For alloys with large atomic radius difference, the effect through the static m.s.d. is dominant, especially in f.c.c. alloys (e.g., CuAu, NiAu, CuSn); see Figure 5. Sensitivity of  $V_c$  to s.r.o. is generally less in bcc alloys. Some examples of  $V_c$ sensitivity to s.r.o. are shown in Table V.

When the atomic radius difference is negligible, the static displacements and s.r.o. (since its effect on thermal m.s.d. is weak) can be dropped from Figure 4, giving a simplified one-parameter  $(\tau)$  model. An example of such a single-parameter fit to  $V_c$  data is shown in Figure 6. The case of FeCr is one in which electron transfer effects (dotted lines in Figure 4) are not obscured by static m.s.d.—this could be an interesting system to examine more carefully. When static m.s.d. are appreciable, one must use a more complicated two-parameter fit to  $\gamma$  and  $\tau$ . The essential idea is the same, but too complicated to discuss here. See reference 10 for examples.

# **Ordered** Alloys

For ordered alloys, the structure of the theory is similar to that for disordered alloys with short-range order, but with the additional feature that the long-range order parameter explicitly affects the structure factors.<sup>14</sup> Examples of the effect of l.r.o. on V<sub>c</sub> are shown in Table VI. Generally, the effect of V<sub>c</sub> on l.r.o. is smaller than theory predicts.<sup>15-17</sup> In long-range-ordered structures the effect of S and/or composition on the atomic scattering factors and bonding strengths (not explicitly taken into account by the model) may have a major effect on V<sub>c</sub>.<sup>18</sup> That is, the dotted lines in Figure 4 are actually major influences. This will be a fruitful area for future study.

### SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Diligent readers who have persisted to this point should now be aware of the existence of a unique critical voltage phenomenon and appreciate the manner in which it can be used to obtain quantitative information about alloys. A few may wish to look up some of the key references (especially No. 10) to examine the theoretical aspects in detail and perhaps be inspired to gain access to one of the nation's HVEMs and make some measurements on alloys of special interest. The effort could be very rewarding, especially if pains are taken to do such a study thoroughly, i.e., make  $V_c$  measurements at several different temperatures and specimen orientations and for a range of alloy compositions and states of short-range order or clustering.

#### Table IV: Examples of the Effect of Alloying on Vc\*

Solvent	Solu	<b>te</b> (10 mol.
	Si	346.0 kV
	Al	345.5
Cu V <sub>c</sub> (222) 316 kV	Ni	316.7
	Au	182.0
	Sn	156.0
	Al	620.9 kV
	Cu	591.5
Ni V <sub>c</sub> (222) 589 kV	Fe	586.2
	Mo	533.5
	Au	434.5
	Al	320.0 kV
Fe V <sub>c</sub> (220) 304 kV	Si	314.0
	Co	307.0
	Cr	296.5
	Mo	235.0

\*Illustrates magnitude and direction of  $V_{\rm c}$  changes with alloying for room temperature measurements.

# Table V: Examples of the Effect of Short Range Ordering or Clustering on $V_c$ (400)\*

Alloy		$\mathbf{V}_c(\mathbf{kV}) \ (\alpha^1=0)$	$\Delta \mathbf{V}_c \ (\alpha_1 = 0.2)$	
Au <sub>50</sub>	Cu <sub>50</sub>	237	-69	
Ag <sub>75</sub>	Mn <sub>15</sub>	265	-0.16	
Co <sub>85</sub>	Fe <sub>15</sub>	558	-1.1	
Cu <sub>90</sub>	$\operatorname{Sn}_{10}$	464	-120	
Cr50	$Mo_5$	867	20.8	
Fe <sub>so</sub>	Si20	1342	8.8	
V.80	$Pd_{20}$	1068	6.4	

 $\alpha_t$  is Cowley-Warren first nearest neighbor occupancy parameter 0 for random solid solution,  $\pm 0.25$  for maximum short-range ordering or clustering. Crystal oriented for 400 reflections.

#### Table VI: Effect of Long Range Ordering on the Critical Voltage

Alloy		S=0*	S=1**
Cu <sub>3</sub> Au	222	~166	~175
	400	~381	~410
Ni <sub>3</sub> Fe <sup>16</sup>	222	~272	~280
FeCo16	220	~314	~314
Ni <sub>4</sub> Mo <sup>17</sup>	400	~460	~490

\*S=0-random solid solution

\*\* S=1-perfect long range order

The purpose, of course, will be to gain new insight into the fundamental nature of alloys, with a view to discovering new combinations with unusual magnetic, electronic, elastic, or transport properties. One such alloy could improve on or substitute for alloys which utilize components already in short supply.



Figure 5. Illustration of the reduction of the V, for Ni at K (595 kV) due to 1) thermal displacements at room temperature; 2) alloying with 20% Au; and 3) aging to produce maximum clustering.



Figure 6. Illustration of the change in V<sub>c</sub> with composition for Fe-Cr alloys. The parameter  $\tau$  is related to the spring constants between adjacent atoms.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

A number of present or former staff members, as well as visiting scientists, at the U.S. Steel Research Laboratory have participated in critical voltage research studies. These include J.S. Lally, A. Szirmae, L.E. Thomas (now at Hanford Engineering Development Lab.), A.J.F. Metherell (Cambridge University), and C.J. Humphries (Oxford University).

#### References

1. R.M. Fisher, "Electron Microscoy-1 Million Volts and Beyond," Research and Development, 23 (1972) p. 18-22.

2. G. Thomas and M.J. Goringe, Transmission Electron Microscopy of Materials, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1979.

High Voltage Electron Microscopy," TMS Symposium, AIME 109th Annual Meeting, and J. Metals 31 (12) (1979) p. 37 and 57.
 G. Thomas, "High Voltage and High Resolution Electron Microscopy in Materials Science," J. Metals 29 (2) (1977) p. 31. (Special issue on advances in electron microscopy)
 F. Nagata and A. Fukuhara, "222 Electron Reflection from Aluminum and System-atic Interaction," Japan J. Appl. Phys. 6 (1967) p. 1233-1235.

6. R. Uyeda, "Dynamical Effects in High Voltage Electron Diffraction," Acta Cryst.

6. R. Oyeda, Dynamical Energy in Fight Fordage Length (1968) p. 175-181.
7. J.S. Lally, C.J. Humphreys, A.J.F. Metherell, and R.M. Fisher, "The Critical Voltage Effect in High Voltage Electron Microscopy," Phil. Mag. 25 (1972) p. 321-343.
8. L.E. Thomas, C.G. Shirley, J.S. Lally, and R.M. Fisher, High-Voltage Electron Microscopy, Academic Press, London, 1974, p. 38.

9. A.J.F. Metherell and R.M. Fisher, "Consequences of Bloch's Theorem on the Dynamical Theory of Electron Diffraction Contrast," Phys. Stat. Sol. 32 (2) (1969) p. 551-562.

10. C.G. Shirley and R.M. Fisher, "Atomic Mean-Square Displacements and the Crit-ical Voltage Effect in Cubic Solid Solutions," Phil. Mag. 39 (1979) p. 91-117. 11. K. Kuroda, Y. Tomokiyo, and T. Eguchi, Proceedings of the 5th Intl. Conf. on HVEM,

Kyoto, Japan, 1977.

12. E.P. Butler, "Critical Voltage Analysis of Precipitation in Certain Nickel-Base Alloys," Phys. Stat. Sol. a (18) (1973) p. 71-83. 13. K. Kuroda, Y. Tomokiyo, and T. Eguchi, "Temperature Dependence of Critical Volt-ages in Cu-Base Alloys," Proceedings of the 6th Intl. Conf. on HVEM, Antwerp, 1980,

p. 112-115

14. C.G. Shirley and R.M. Fisher, "Application of the Critical Voltage Effect to Alloy Studies," *Proceedings of the 6th Intl. Conf. on HVEM*, Antwerp, 1980, p. 88.

15. Y. Tomokiyo, K. Kuroda, H. Matsubata, and T. Eguchi, "Critical Voltage Effect in Ordering Alloys," Proceedings of the 6th Intl. Conf. on HVEM, Antwerp, 1980, p. "Critical Voltage Effect 108-111.

R. Sinclair, M.J. Goringe, and G. Thomas, "Application of the Critical Voltage Effect to the Study of Ordering in Alloys," Phil. Mag. 32 (1975) p. 501-512.
 A. Fox, "The Critical Voltage Effect in B 2 Alloys," Proceedings of the 6th Intl. Conf. on HVEM, Antwerp, 1980, p. 104-107.

18. C.G. Shirley, J.S. Lally, L.E. Thomas, and R.M. Fisher, "High Voltage Electron Diffraction Measurements of Debye Temperatures of Cr-Fe and Their Disordered Alloys,"

Acta. Cryst. A31 (1975) p. 174-177.
19. J.W. Steeds, "Information About the Crystal Potential From Zone Axis Patterns," Proceedings of the 6th Intl. Conf. on HVEM, Antwerp, 1980, p. 96-103.
20. J.R. Sellar, D. Imeson, and C.J. Humphreys, "Experimental and Theoretical Study of the Convergent-Beam Critical Voltage Effect in High Voltage Electron Diffraction," Proceedings of the 6th Intl. Conf. on HVEM, Antwerp, 1980, p. 120-123.

#### **ABOUT THE AUTHORS**

R.M. Fisher is senior research consultant in Corporate Research, with U.S. Steel Research, Monroeville, Pennsylvania. He received his BSc from the University of Michigan in 1949, and then joined U.S. Steel Research in Kearny, New Jersey. He received his PhD from the University of Cambridge in 1962 under the supervision of Professor A. H. Cottrell (F.R.S.). He is an Overseas Fellow



of Churchill College, Cambridge, and has served as president of the Electron Microscopy Society of America. His research activities have largely centered on the characterization of materials by electron microscopy and other analysis techniques, leading to numerous technical and scientific publications.

C. Glenn Shirley is senior scientist at the Motorola Semiconductor Research and Development Laboratory, Phoenix, Arizona. He received his BSc (1969) and MSc (1970) from the University of Melbourne (Australia), and his PhD in physics from Arizona State University in 1974. He was a Post-Doctoral Fellow at Carnegie-Mellon University from 1973-76, and a visiting scientist at U.S.



Steel Basic Research until he joined Motorola in 1977. His interests include theoretical and experimental analyses of lattice properties of materials, currently with emphasis on electronic properties of thin films. He has published 12 papers in these fields.