MEMORY OPERATIONS

What about memory operations (globals, heap values)?
- Need to model dependences, changes in values.
- SSA doesn’t help directly.

Dependences (order of operations):

\[ g.a = 0; \]
\[ g.b = 1; \]
\[ g.a = g.b + 2; \]
\[ g.b = g.a + 3; \]

Last two statements must be done in order due to:
- flow dependence (write-before-read) on \( g.a \)
- anti-dependence (read-before-write) on \( g.b \)

First and third statements must be done in order due to:
- output dependence (write-before-write) on \( g.a \)

SWIFT COMPILER

Good example of complete system based on SSA.
To cope with memory operations, they add explicit “threading” store variables.

```java
int method (int a[], int b[]) {
    arr_store(a,0,10);
    arr_store(b,0,20);
    return arr_fetch(a,0);}
```

becomes

```java
(int,Store) method (int a[], int b[], Store S0) {
    S1 = arr_store(a,0,10,S0);
    S2 = arr_store(b,0,20,S1);
    return (arr_fetch(a,0,S2),S2);}
```

where \( S0, S1, S2 \) are pseudo-values representing the global store.
Can now continue to use congruence testing to detect redundant computations.

USING ALIAS INFORMATION

When can we do common subexpression elimination to save loads?

```java
g.a = 10;
h.a = 20;
if (g.a == 10) // can only avoid if g and h don’t alias.
    ...
g.b = 20;
if (g.a == 10) // can always avoid load here given type info
    ...
```
Helps improve understanding of dependence between memory operations.

In last example, a and b might be the same array, e.g., called as method(c,c).

Simplest form of alias analysis just uses types:

```java
int method (int a[], short b[]) {
    arr_store(a,0,10);
    arr_store(b,0,20);
    return arr_fetch(a,0); }
```

Now know a and b cannot be aliased to the same array.

A more sophisticated analysis (requiring dataflow analysis) tracks creation points:

```java
int method() {
    int a[] = new a[10];
    int b[] = new b[10];
    arr_store(a,0,10);
    arr_store(b,0,20);
    return arr_fetch(a,0); }
```

Once again, a and b cannot be aliased to the same array, even though they have the same type.

Can represent the results of this analysis by changing the store argument dependencies:

```java
S1 = arr_store(a,0,10,S0);
S2 = arr_store(b,0,20,S0);  // not S1 !
S3 = phi(S1,S2);
return (arr_fetch(a,0,S1),  // not S2 !
    S3);
```

But this doesn’t scale well.

Aliasing problems arise:
- in heap for Java
- more broadly in CBR languages
- everywhere in C!

Divide memory pointers into alias classes that are guaranteed not to alias with each other.

Can use:
- types
- field names
- known objects

Alias analysis interacts with:
- class analysis (enhance type analysis to use knowledge about Java class hierarchy)
- escape analysis (determine which values can out-live the function that created them)