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## Functional Programming

An alternative paradigm to imperative programming
"First-class" functions
Emphasis on pure ("functional") computations (side effects restricted or prohibited)

Haskell
LISP
Scala
ML
Scheme

## Top-level Functions

So far, we've been implicitly assuming that all functions are declared separately at program top level, e.g.

| top-level functions |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| "main program" expression | $\rightarrow(+(@ f 1)(@ g 2))$ |

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { functions are } \\
\text { identified by name } \\
\text { in applications } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

function names can only appear in applications
> all function names are globally in scope

functions may be (mutually) recursive
only variable in function's initial scope is its parameter

## Almost Top-level Functions

Some languages (e.g. C) only allow top-level functions.
Other languages may have a top-level layer of modules or objects, with functions just inside. E.g. in Scala:

```
object LongLines {
    def processFile(filename: String, width: Int) {
        val source = Source.fromFile(filename)
        for (line <- source.getLines)
            processLine(filename, width, line)
    }
    private def processLine(filename: String,
                                width: Int, line: String) {
        if (line.length > width)
        println(filename +": "+ line)
    }
    }
}

\section*{Nested Functions}

Many languages let us define local functions
Inner function is only visible in scope of outer one, and can access variables bound in outer one. In Scala:
```

object LongLines {
def processFile(filename: String, width: Int) {
def processLine(line: String) {
if (line.length > width)
print(filename +": "+ line)
}
val source = Source.fromFile(filename)
for (line <- source.getLines)
processLine(line)
}
}

```
\}

\section*{First-class functions}

What happens if we treat functions as just another kind of value that we can manipulate in expressions?

Slogan: functions are "first-class" values (just like integers or booleans or ...) if they can be:bound to variables
passed to or from other ("higher-order") functions
- stored in data structures
defined by anonymous program literals

\section*{Functions as Parameters}

Allows us to parameterize by behaviors
Particularly useful for working over collections
```

def filter(p: Int => Boolean, xs:List[Int]):List[Int] = {
xs match {
case Nil => Nil
case (y::ys) => if (p(y)) y::filter(p,ys)
else filter(p,ys)
}
}
def even(x:Int): Boolean = x%2==0
def evens(xs:List[Int]) = filter(even,xs)
val v = evens(List(1,2,3,4)) // yields List(2,4)

```

\section*{Anonymous functions}

\section*{No need to name a function that is used just once} Typically as an actual parameter:
```

def filter(p: Int => Boolean, xs:List[Int]):List[Int] ={
xs match {
case Nil => Nil
case (y::ys) => if (p(y)) y::filter(p,ys)
else filter(p,ys)
}
}
anonymous functions are often
called "lambda expressions"
\lambdax.x%2==0
def evens(xs:List[Int]) = filter(x => x%2==0,xs)

```

But ok anywhere:
\[
\text { val even }=(x: \text { Int }) \Rightarrow x \% 2==0
\]

\section*{Nested functions}

\section*{A nested function (named or anonymous) can reference parameters of the enclosing function}
```

def filter(p: Int => Boolean, xs:List[Int]):List[Int] = {
def f(xs:List[Int]): List[Int] = xs match {
case Nil => Nil
case (y::ys) => if (p(y)) y::f(ys) else f(ys)
}
f(xs)
}
def multiplesOf(n:Int,xs:List[Int]) =
filter(x => x%n==0, xs)
def evens(xs:List[Int]) = multiplesOf(2,xs)
def multsOf3(xs:List[Int]) = multiplesOf(3,xs)

```

\section*{Functions as results}

A function can also be returned as the result of a function call. Here we use this to refactor filter:
```

def filter(p: Int => Boolean): List[Int] => List[Int] = {
def f(xs:List[Int]): List[Int] = xs match {
case Nil => Nil
case (y::ys) => if (p(y)) y::f(ys) else f(ys)
}
}
def multiplesOf(n:Int): List[Int] => List[Int] =
filter(x => x%n==0)
val evens = multiplesOf(2)
val v = evens(List(1,2,3,4)) // yields List(2,4)

```

\section*{Curried Functions}

Like filter, any multi-parameter function can be coded as a nest of single-parameter functions each returning a function

Such "Curried" functions can be either partially or fully applied

Scala has extra syntactic sugar for them, e.g.
```

def compose[A](f: A=>A, g:A=>A)(x:A) = f(g(x))

```
val multsOf6 \(=\) compose(evens,multsOf3)
val v = multsOf6(List.range(0,7)) // yields List(0,6)
val u = compose(evens,multsOf3)(List.range(0,7)) // same

\section*{Map}

\section*{Currying is especially useful when passing partially applied functions to other higher-order functions}
```

def map[A,B] (f: A => B) : List[A] => List[B] = {
def g(xs:List[A]) : List[B] = xs match {
case Nil => Nil
case (y::ys) => f(y)::g(ys)
}
g
_
}
def pow(n:Int)(b:Int) : Int =
if (n==0) 1 else b * pow (n-1)(b)
val a = map (pow(3)) (List(1,2,3)) // gives List(1,8,27)

```

\section*{Abstracting another pattern}
```

def sum (l:List[Int]) : Int = l match {
case Nil => 0
case h::t => h + sum(t)
}

```
    product of a list
    def prod (l:List[Int]) : Int = 1 match \{
    case Nil => 1
    case h::t => h * prod(t)
    \}
def len[A](l:List[A]) : Int = l match \{
    case Nil \(\Rightarrow\) O
    length of a list
    case _: :t => 1 + len ( t )
    \}
```

def copy[A](l:List%5BA%5D) : List[A] = l match {
case Nil => Nil
case h::t => h::copy(t)
}

```

\title{
Folding over lists
}

Compute a value of type \(B\) from a list of values of type A working from tail to head (i.e. from right to left)

Function to apply to each element and previously computed result

\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { val sum }=f o l d r[\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{Int}]((x, y)=>x+y, 0)- \\
& \text { val prod }=\text { foldr }[\operatorname{Int}, \operatorname{Int}] \quad\left(x_{-}, y\right)=>x^{*} y
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Visualizing folds}

We can view foldr \((c, n)(l)\) as replacing each : : constructor in \(l\) by \(c\) and the Nil constructor by \(n\)
foldr \(\left({ }^{+}+, 0\right)(l)=x 1+\left(x 2^{+}+(\ldots+(x n+0) \ldots)\right)\)
OWe can also define a foldl that accumulates a value from the left; this will sometimes be more efficient

Oln some languages fold is called reduce, because we "reduce" a list of values to a single value. Similar ideas appear in "map-reduce" frameworks for organizing massively parallel computations.```

