

### Sample solution for Pierce 11.4.1(1).

We show that ascription can be formulated as a derived form by proving a theorem with the same structure as Thm. 11.3.1 (with errata corrected).

We write  $\lambda^E$  for the simply-typed  $\lambda$ -calculus with the additional rules given in Figure 11-3, and  $\lambda^I$  for pure simply-typed  $\lambda$ -calculus as given in Figure 9-1. We describe an elaboration function  $e \in \lambda^E \rightarrow \lambda^I$ , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mathbf{t} \text{ as } \mathbf{T}) &= (\lambda \mathbf{x}:\mathbf{T}.\mathbf{x}) \ e(\mathbf{t}) \\ e(\mathbf{t}) &= \mathbf{t} \text{ with each subterm } \mathbf{t}' \text{ replaced by } e(\mathbf{t}') \text{ for any other } \mathbf{t} \end{aligned}$$

For convenience we will write  $\lceil \mathbf{t} \rceil$  for  $e(\mathbf{t})$ .

**Theorem 1** *For each term  $\mathbf{t}$  of  $\lambda^E$ ,*

- i. If  $\mathbf{t} \rightarrow_E \mathbf{t}'$ , then  $\lceil \mathbf{t} \rceil \rightarrow_I \lceil \mathbf{t}' \rceil$ .*
- ii. If  $\lceil \mathbf{t} \rceil \rightarrow_I \mathbf{u}$ , then  $\exists$  a  $\lambda^E$  term  $\mathbf{t}'$  such that  $\mathbf{t} \rightarrow_E \mathbf{t}'$  and  $\lceil \mathbf{t}' \rceil = \mathbf{u}$ .*
- iii. If  $\Gamma \vdash^E \mathbf{t} : \mathbf{T}$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash^I \lceil \mathbf{t} \rceil : \mathbf{T}$ .*
- iv. If  $\Gamma \vdash^I \lceil \mathbf{t} \rceil : \mathbf{T}$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash^E \mathbf{t} : \mathbf{T}$ .*

### Proof.

The proof of each result is by structural induction on  $\mathbf{t}$ . In each instance, it is obvious that the only case of interest is  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}_1 \text{ as } \mathbf{T}$ , so  $\lceil \mathbf{t} \rceil = (\lambda \mathbf{x}:\mathbf{T}.\mathbf{x}) \ \lceil \mathbf{t}_1 \rceil$ . To shorten the proof, we consider only this case in the various sections below.

- i. By hypothesis,  $\mathbf{t} \rightarrow_E \mathbf{t}'$ . There are two cases, corresponding to the one-step rules that might apply to  $\mathbf{t}$ :
  - (E-ASCRIBE) In this case,  $\mathbf{t}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$  for some value  $\mathbf{v}_1$ , and  $\mathbf{t}' = \mathbf{v}_1$ . So  $\lceil \mathbf{t} \rceil = (\lambda \mathbf{x}:\mathbf{T}.\mathbf{x}) (\lceil \mathbf{v}_1 \rceil) = (\lambda \mathbf{x}:\mathbf{T}.\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{v}_1)$ . By (E-APPABS),  $(\lambda \mathbf{x}:\mathbf{T}.\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{v}_1) \rightarrow_I \mathbf{v}_1 = \lceil \mathbf{v}_1 \rceil = \lceil \mathbf{t}' \rceil$ .
  - (E-ASCRIBE1) In this case,  $\mathbf{t}_1 \rightarrow_E \mathbf{t}'_1$ . We have  $\lceil \mathbf{t} \rceil = (\lambda \mathbf{x}:\mathbf{T}.\mathbf{x}) (\lceil \mathbf{t}_1 \rceil)$ . By induction,  $\lceil \mathbf{t}_1 \rceil \rightarrow_E \lceil \mathbf{t}'_1 \rceil$ , so by (E-APP2),  $(\lambda \mathbf{x}:\mathbf{T}.\mathbf{x}) (\lceil \mathbf{t}_1 \rceil) \rightarrow_I (\lambda \mathbf{x}:\mathbf{T}.\mathbf{x}) (\lceil \mathbf{t}'_1 \rceil) = \lceil \mathbf{t}' \rceil$ .
- ii. By hypothesis,  $\exists \mathbf{u}$  such that  $\lceil \mathbf{t} \rceil \rightarrow_I \mathbf{u}$ . There are two cases, corresponding to the one-step rules that might apply to  $\lceil \mathbf{t} \rceil$ :
  - (E-APPABS) In this case,  $\lceil \mathbf{t}_1 \rceil = \mathbf{v}_1$  for some value  $\mathbf{v}_1$ , and  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}_1$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{t}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$  as well, since only a variable can elaborate to a variable. Hence, by (E-ASCRIBE), we have  $\mathbf{t} \rightarrow_E \mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\lceil \mathbf{v}_1 \rceil = \mathbf{u}$ .

- (E-APP2) In this case,  $u = (\lambda x:T.x) u_1$ , where  $[\tau_1] \rightarrow_I u_1$ . By induction,  $\exists \tau'_1$  such that  $\tau_1 \rightarrow_E \tau'_1$  and  $[\tau'_1] = u_1$ . Hence, by (E-ASCRIE1), we have  $\tau \rightarrow_E \tau'_1$  as  $T$  and  $[\tau'_1 \text{ as } T] = (\lambda x:T.x) [\tau'_1] = (\lambda x:T.x) u_1 = u$ .

iii. By hypothesis,  $\Gamma \vdash^E \tau : T$ . The only applicable rule is (T-ASCRIE), so we must have  $\Gamma \vdash^E \tau_1 : T$ . By induction,  $\Gamma \vdash^I [\tau_1] : T$ . So we can build the following deduction:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x : T \in \Gamma, x : T}{\Gamma, x : T \vdash^I x : T} \text{T-VAR}}{\Gamma \vdash^I (\lambda x:T.x) : T \rightarrow T} \text{T-ABS} \quad \Gamma \vdash^I [\tau_1] : T}{\Gamma \vdash^I (\lambda x:T.x) [\tau_1] : T} \text{T-APP}$$

iv. By hypothesis,  $\Gamma \vdash^I [\tau] : T$ . The only applicable rule is (T-APP), which gives  $\Gamma \vdash^I (\lambda x:T.x) : T' \rightarrow T$  and  $\Gamma \vdash^I [\tau_1] : T'$ . By the Inversion Lemma,  $T' = T$ . By induction,  $\Gamma \vdash^E \tau_1 : T$ . Finally, by (T-ASCRIE),  $\Gamma \vdash^E T_1 \text{ as } T : T$ .  $\square$