# CS322 Languages and Compiler Design II Spring 2012 Lecture 7

#### **BOOLEAN EXPRESSIONS**

Two representations may be useful:

• Value Representation.

Encode true and false numerically, e.g., as 1 and 0, and treat boolean expressions like arithmetic expressions.

Pro: Language may support boolean values.

Con: Often a bad match to hardware.

• Flow-of-control Representation.

Position in generated code represents boolean value.

Pro: Good when "**short-circuit**" evaluation is allowed (or required), e.g., in C expression e1 || e2, e2 should be evaluated **only** if e1 is false.

Reminder: Some languages mandate short-circuit evaluation; others prohibit it; still others leave it up to the compiler writer.

Pro: Convenient for control statements.

• For fab, we'll use flow-of-control approach, and convert to values when necessary.

#### USES OF BOOLEAN EXPRESSIONS

Used to drive **conditional execution** of program sections, e.g.

```
IF (a < 17) OR (b = 12) THEN ... ELSE ...;
WHILE NOT ((x+1) > 39) DO ... END;
```

(In some languages) may be assigned to **boolean variables** or passed as parameters, e.g.:

```
VAR b : BOOLEAN := (a < 17) OR (b = 12);
...
IF b THEN ... ELSE ...
myproc(b); (* procedure call *)
...</pre>
```

PSU CS322 SPR'12 LECTURE 7 © 1992-2012 ANDREW TOLMACH

#### SAMPLE PRODUCTIONS FOR VALUE-BASED BOOLEANS

```
B := E1 '<' E2
    B.place = newtemp()
B.code =
    let true = newlabel()
        after = newlabel()
    in E1.code @
        E2.code @
        [gen(true,if<,E1.place,E2.place),
            gen(B.place,:=,0,_),
            gen(after,goto,_,_),
            gen(true,:,_,_),
            gen(B.place,:=,1,_),
            gen(after,:,_,_)]</pre>
```

#### Generates:

```
IF E1 < E2 GOTO L1
T := 0
GOTO L2
L1: T := 1
L2: ...</pre>
```

#### More Sample Value-Based Productions

```
B := B1 OR B2
                                               (non-short-circuiting form)
        B.place = newtemp()
        B.code = B1.code @ B2.code @
                  [gen(B.place, |, B1.place, B2.place)]
                                                              (bit-wise OR)
   S := IF B THEN S1 ELSE S2
        S.code = let false = newlabel()
                      after = newlabel()
                  in B.code @
                     [gen(false, if=, B.place, 0)] @
                     S1.code @ [gen(after,goto,_,_)] @
                     [gen(false,:,_,_)] @
                     S2.code @
                     [gen(after,:,_,_)]
Generates:
       IF B = 0 GOTO L1
       S1
       GOTO L2
   L1: S2
   L2: ...
```

PSU CS322 SPR'12 LECTURE 7 © 1992–2012 ANDREW TOLMACH

#### BASIC CONTROL-FLOW REPRESENTATION

Idea: Code generated for boolean and relational expressions has **true** and false "exits", i.e., code evaluates expression and then jumps to one place if true and another place if false.

- Relational expressions perform test and jump to true or false exit accordingly.
- Boolean variables and constants jump directly to appropriate true or false exit.
- Boolean expressions simply adjust/combine true/false exits of their sub-expressions.
- Conditional statements define true and false exits of boolean sub-expression to point to appropriate code blocks, e.g., THEN and ELSE branches.
- If boolean-typed expression must deliver a value, true and false exits are defined to point to code that loads the value.

#### **EXAMPLE VALUE-BASED CODE**

```
IF (a > 7) OR (b = 5) THEN x = 7 ELSE y = 2;
    t1 := addr a
                                       I.3:
    t2 := *t1
                                           t8 := const 1
    t3 := const 7
                                       1.4:
                                           t9 := t4 | t8
    if t2 > t3 goto L1
    t4 := const 0
                                           if t9 = 0 goto L5
    goto L2
                                           t10 := const 7
L1:
                                           t11 := addr x
    t4 := const 1
                                           *t11 := t1 0
1.2:
                                           goto L6
    t5 := addr b
                                       L5:
    t6 := *t5
                                           t12 := const 2
                                           t13 := addr y
    t7 := const 5
    if t6 = t7 goto L3
                                           *t13 := t12
    t8 := const 0
                                       1.6:
    goto L4
```

PSU CS322 SPR'12 LECTURE 7 © 1992-2012 ANDREW TOLMACH

# EXAMPLE (ASSUMING SHORT-CIRCUITING)

```
IF (a > 7) OR (b = 5) THEN x = 7 ELSE y = 2;
    t1 := addr a
                                       L1:
    t2 := *t1
                                           t7 := const 7
                                           t8 := addr x
    t3 := const 7
    if t2 > t3 goto L1
                                           *t8 := t7
    goto L4
                                           goto L3
L4:
                                       L2:
                                           t9 := const 2
    t4 := addr b
    t.5 := *t.4
                                           t10 := addr y
    t6 := const 5
                                           *t.10 := t.9
    if t5 = t6 goto L1
                                       L3:
    goto L2
```

#### CONDITIONAL STATEMENTS (SOMEWHAT NAIVE APPROACH)

Use control flow representation for boolean-typed expressions; define labels on per-statement basis.

#### Generates:

```
IF B GOTO L1
GOTO L2
L1: S1
GOTO L3
L2: S2
L3:
```

PSU CS322 SPR'12 LECTURE 7 © 1992–2012 ANDREW TOLMACH

#### SHORT-CIRCUITING BOOLEAN EXPRESSIONS

Inherit true and false label attributes.

Pass them down to subexpressions, after suitable manipulation; synthesize code attribute.

Again, no place attribute.

...

#### RELATIONAL EXPRESSIONS

Inherit true and false label attributes.

Synthesize code to perform appropriate test and jump to appropriate label.

Code doesn't build a value, so no place attribute.

PSU CS322 SPR'12 LECTURE 7 © 1992–2012 ANDREW TOLMACH

. . .

```
B := B1 OR B2
       B1.true = B.true
       B1.false = newlabel()
       B2.true = B.true
       B2.false = B.false
       B.code = B1.code @
                [gen(B1.false,:,_,_)] @
                B2.code
B := B1 AND B2
       B1.true = newlabel()
       B1.false = B.false
       B2.true = B.true
       B2.false = B.false
       B.code = B1.code @
                [gen(B1.true,:,_,_)] @
                B2.code
B := NOT B1
       B1.true = B.false
       B1.false = B.true
       B.code = B1.code
```

#### CONVERSION TO VALUE FORM

#### CONVERSION FROM VALUE FORM

Boolean-typed identifiers (variables, true and false constants) must be "converted" to control-flow form when tested.

(Assuming 0 = false, non-0 = true)

PSU CS322 SPR'12 LECTURE 7 © 1992-2012 ANDREW TOLMACH

#### CAPTURING RELATIONAL TEST OUTCOMES

Many processors implement conditional jumps in two parts:

- a comparison instruction sets internal condition codes
- a conditional branch instruction tests the condition codes to decide whether or not to branch

Some processors allow the condition codes to be used to drive instructions other than conditional branches, e.g., the X86 supports

- set instructions that place a 1 or 0 value directly in a register based on the condition codes
- cmov instructions that conditionally move data (or not) based on the condition codes

Either of these can be used to generate much more efficient code when the value form of a relational expression is needed. (To express these, we would need to expand our IR, of course.) Similarly, must convert other way when a value is needed, generating code to build a value into a place.

```
E := B
    B.true = newlabel()
    B.false = newlabel()
    E.place = newtemp()
    E.code =
        let after = newlabel()
        in B.code @
        [gen(B.true,:,_,_),
            gen(E.place,:=,1,_),
            gen(after,goto,_,_),
            gen(E.place,:=,0,_),
            gen(E.place,:=,0,_),
            gen(after,:,_,_)]
```

PSU CS322 SPR'12 LECTURE 7 © 1992-2012 ANDREW TOLMACH

14

## HANDLING LOOP EXITS

Same label-passing approach can be used to implement break or exit statements that can cause jumps out of loops. We simply add a .break inherited attribute to statements!

Other loop statements (like WHILE) must define and pass a similar approprirate label to their child statement.

**All other** (non-loop) statement translations must pass the .break attribute through (unchanged) to their children!

#### IMPROVING JUMP GENERATION

- Code for each statement always ends by "falling through" to next statement.
- There is no information flow between code generation for statements.

```
S := S1 ';' S2
S.code = S1.code @ S2.code
```

This can lead to bad code, e.g.,

```
WHILE B1 D0 (WHILE B2 D0 S)

L1: IF B1 G0T0 L2
G0T0 L3

L2: IF B2 G0T0 L4
G0T0 L5 "jump to jump"

L4: S
G0T0 L2

L5: G0T0 L1

L3:
```

We can eliminate problems like this during optimization, but it's easy to avoid some of them in the first place.

PSU CS322 SPR'12 LECTURE 7 © 1992-2012 ANDREW TOLMACH

## DEFERRED LABEL DEFINITION (CONTINUED)

Now get better code, e.g.

L?:

```
WHILE B1 D0 (WHILE B2 D0 S)

now generates

L1: IF B1 G0T0 L2
G0T0 L?

L2: If B2 G0T0 L3
G0T0 L1

L3: S
G0T0 L2
...
```

#### IDEA: DEFER DEFINITION OF TARGET LABELS

- Give each statement an inherited attribute .next, which says where to transfer control after statement.
- Code generated for each statement guarantees **either** to transfer control to .next label **or** to "fall through."

PSU CS322 SPR'12 LECTURE 7 © 1992-2012 ANDREW TOLMACH

#### **BACKPATCHING**

Target label attributes (true,false,break, etc.) are **inherited**, so won't work with one-pass bottom-up code generation, e.g. when generating code while doing bottom-up parsing.

Solution: Instead, keep **lists** of locations of gotos that need to be filled in ("backpatched") when final target is known. These backpatch lists are synthesized attributes.

#### Example (to fill in): (a > 7) OR (b = 5)

```
1. t1 := addr a
 2. t2 := *t1
 3. t3 := const 7
 4. if t2 > t3 goto _____
 5. goto _____
6. t4 := addr b
7. t5 := *t4
8. t6 := const 5
9. if t5 = t6 goto _____
10. goto _____
```

#### At reduction for B := B1 OR B2

- Backpatch B1.false list with address of first instruction in B2.
- Merge B1.true and B2.true to form B.true.
- Make B2.false into B.false.

PSU CS322 SPR'12 LECTURE 7 © 1992-2012 ANDREW TOLMACH

#### Example (to fill in):

```
IF (a > 7) OR (b = 5) THEN x := 7 ELSE y := 2;
 1. t1 := addr a
 2. t2 := *t1
 3. t3 := const 7
 4. if t2 > t3 goto _____
 5. goto __6__
 6. t4 := addr b
 7. t5 := *t4
 8. t6 := const 5
 9. if t5 = t6 goto _____
10. goto _____
11. t7 := const 7
12. t8 := addr x
13. *t8 := t7
14. goto _18__
15. t9 := const 2
16. t10 := addr y
17. *t10 := t9
18. ...
```

# BACKPATCHING (CONTINUED)

At reduction for conditional statement, backpatch true and false lists for expression.

E.g.: On reducing if B then S1 else S2, backpatch B.true to location of S1 and B.false to location of S2.

PSU CS322 SPR'12 LECTURE 7 © 1992-2012 ANDREW TOLMACH

# CASE STATEMENTS

```
case e of
  v_1 : s_1
| v_2 : s_2 |
1 ...
\mid v_n : s_n
{\tt else}\ s
end
```

Good code generation for case statement depends on analysis of the **values** on the case labels  $v_i$ .

#### Options include:

- List of conditional tests and jumps (linear search).
- Binary decision code (binary tree).
- Other search code (e.g., hash table).
- Jump table (constant time).
- Hybrid schemes.

# CASE STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

Best option depends on range of values (min and max) and their "density," i.e., what percentage of the values in the range are used as labels.

Jump tables work well for dense value sets (even if large), but waste lots of space for sparse sets. Linear search works well for small value sets.