

# CS322 Languages and Compiler Design II

## Spring 2012

### Lecture 1

## Topics

- Semantics
- Interpreters
- Runtime Organization
- Intermediate Code Generation
- Machine Code Generation
- Optimization

## Project

- Build **fab** Interpreter
- Complete **fab** Compiler for X86-64

## Themes

- Mapping from high-level to low-level
- Implementing resource management
- Integration with OS and hardware environment
- Syntax-directed techniques

## COMPILER BACK-END TASKS

Starting from type-checked abstract syntax for source language...


- Simplify expressions and statements into flat goto/label form
- Fix location of variables & temps in memory & registers
- Generate machine instructions
- Manage machine resources
- Interact with O/S, runtime system

...generate machine code for specific target architecture.

**Or**, build interpreter for higher-level language features.

# Translator Structure


# Language Design Issues



# TRANSLATION TO ABSTRACT SYNTAX TREES

a := if c > 7 and b = e  
then 3 \* (d + e)  
else -c \* (d + 2)

Source code



# INTERMEDIATE CODE GENERATION

Emit intermediate code/representation (“IR”) from abstract syntax or directly from parser .

Advantages:

- Keeps more of compiler machine-independent
- Facilitates some optimizations

Typical examples:

- Postfix stack code
- Trees or directed acyclic graphs (DAGs)
- Three-address code (quadruples, triples, etc.)

Abstracts key features of machine architectures

- e.g., sequential execution, explicit jumps
- but hides details
- e.g., # of registers, style of conditionals, etc.

Many possible levels of IR; some compilers use several

# “THREE-ADDRESS CODE” - A TYPICAL LINEAR IR


Generate list of “instructions”

- Each has an operator, up to 2 args, and up to 1 result
- Instructions can be labeled
- Operands are names for locations in some abstract memory (e.g., symbol table entries)


Examples of instructions:

$A := B$	copy
$A = B \text{ op } C$	binary ops
$A = \text{op } B$	unary ops
goto L	jumps
if A relop B goto L	conditional jumps
param A	procedure call setup
call P,N	procedure call
return N	procedure return
$A[I]$	array dereference

## 3-ADDRESS CODE EXAMPLE



- Linearized
- Nested conditionals expanded (badly)
- Temporaries for all intermediate results



```
if c > 7 goto L1
goto L2
L1: if b = e goto L3
L2: t1 := d + 2
    t2 := -c
    t3 := t1 * t2
    goto L4
L3: t1 := d + e
    t3 := 3 * t1
L4: a := t3
```



## MACHINE-CODE GENERATION

“Read” IR and generate assembly language (symbol or binary).

Must cooperate with IR to define and “enforce” runtime environment.

Must deal with idiosyncrasies of target machine,

- e.g., instruction selection

and perform resource management,

- e.g., register assignment.

Lots of case analysis, especially for complex target architectures.

Can do by hand, but hard.

Tools limited but sometimes useful; mainly based on pattern matching

## SAMPLE MACHINE CODE

Assumes a global; b, c args; d, e locals.

Illustrates register conventions, condition code use, arithmetic tricks, ...

```
movl    %edi, %ebx
movl    %esi, %r12d
cmpl    $7, %r12d
setg    %dl
cmpl    %ebx, %ecx
sete    %al
testb   %al, %dl
je      L2
leal    (%r13,%rcx), %eax
leal    (%rax,%rax,2), %edx
jmp     L4

L2:
movl    $-2, %eax
movl    %r12d, %edx
subl    %r13d, %eax
imull   %eax, %edx

L4:
movq    _a@GOTPCREL(%rip), %rax
movl    %edx, (%rax)
```

(initially b:%edi c:%esi d:%r13 e:%ecx)

Improve (don't perfect) code by removing inefficiencies:

- in original program
- introduced by compiler itself

Can operate on source, IR, object code.

Local Improvements

- Example: changing

```
        if c > 7 goto L1
        goto L2
L1:  ...
L2:  ...
```

to

```
        if c <= 7 goto L2
L1:  ...
L2:  ...
```

## OPTIMIZATION (CONTINUED)

### “Global” Improvements

- Example: changing

```
for (i := 0; i < 1000; i++)  
    a[i] := b*c + i;
```

to

```
t1 := b * c;  
for (i = 0; i < 1000; i++)  
    a[i] = t1 + i;
```

### Interprocedural improvements

- Example: Inlining a function

Most of a modern compiler is devoted to optimization.

## INTERPRETATION

Simulate execution of program (source, AST, or other IR) on an abstract machine.

Implement abstract machine on a real machine.

Inputs to interpreter are

- Program to be interpreted
- Input to that program

Simpler than compiling and takes no time up front, but interpreted code runs (~10X) more slowly than compiled code.

Much more portable than real machine code (as for Java).

Helps with semantic definition.