Finite Automata

A non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA) consists of:

1. An input alphabet $\Sigma$
   
   e.g., $\Sigma = \{a,b\}$

2. A set of states $S$
   
   e.g., $S = \{1,3,5,7,11,97\}$

3. A set of transitions from states to states, labelled by elements of $\Sigma$ or $\epsilon$.

4. A start state.

5. A set of final states.
Small Example

Can also write as transition table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0,1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An NFA accepts the string $x$ if there is a path from start to final state labeled by the characters of $x$.

Example: NFA above accepts "aaabbabb"

An NFA accepts the language $L$ if it accepts exactly the strings in $L$.

Example: NFA above accepts the language defined by the R.E. $(a^*b^*)^*a(bb|\varepsilon)$

Fact: For every regular language $L$, there exists an NFA that accepts $L$. 
NFA’s from R.E.’s

Can give an algorithm for constructing an NFA from an R.E., such that the NFA accepts the language defined by the R.E.

- Algorithm is recursive, and is based on the recursively defined structure of R.E.’s.
- Makes heavy use of $\epsilon$ -transitions.

Base Constructions

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon & \quad \epsilon \\
\text{a in } \Sigma & \quad \text{a} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Inductive Constructions build new machines by connecting existing machines using $\epsilon$ -transitions to existing initial states and from existing final states.

Note that each constructed machine has exactly one initial state and one final state.
Inductive Constructions

$R_1 \mid R_2$

$R_1 \cdot R_2$

$R^*$
Example: \((a|b)^*|(cbb)\)
Example (continued)

cbb

(a|b)*|(cbb)
Example (continued)

Can simplify NFA’s by removing useless empty-string transitions:

\[(a|b)^*|(cbb)\]

Or even simpler:
NFA’s for lexical pattern R.E.s’

**ID**  
letter (letter|digit)*  
\[\begin{array}{c}
\text{letter} \\
\text{digit}
\end{array}\]  
Non-deterministic

or, simpler:

\[\begin{array}{c}
\text{letter} \\
\text{digit}
\end{array}\]  
Still non-deterministic (when to stop??)

**IF**  
i  
f

**RELATION**  
\[\begin{array}{c}
< \\
> \\
= \\
\end{array}\]  
Resolve non-determinism by accepting *longest* possible string!
Lexical analyzer must find best match among a set of patterns.

Try: $\times$ NFA for pattern #1

Then try: $\times$ NFA for pattern #2

... 

Finally, try: $\times$ NFA for pattern #n

Must reset input string after each unsuccessful match attempt.

Always choose pattern that allows longest input string to match. Must specify which pattern should ‘win’ if two or more match the same length of input.
Alternatively, combine all the NFA’s into one giant NFA, with distinguished final states:

Now can have non-determinism between patterns, as well as within a single pattern, e.g:
Implementing NFA’s

Behavior of an NFA on a given input string is ambiguous.

So NFA’s don’t lead to a deterministic computer program.

Can convert to deterministic finite automaton (DFA).

• (Also called “finite state machine.”)

• Like NFA, but has no $\epsilon$-transitions and no symbol labels more than one transition from any given node.

• Easy to simulate on computer.

• There is an algorithm (“subset construction”) that can convert any NFA to a DFA that accepts the same language.

Alternative approach: Simulate NFA directly by pretending to follow all possible paths “at once.”

To handle “longest match” requirement, must keep track of last final state entered, and backtrack to that state (“unreading” characters) if get stuck.
DFA and Backtracking Example

Given the following set of patterns, build a machine to find the longest match; in case of ties, favor the pattern listed first:

\[ a \]
\[ a^{\ast}b^{+} \]
\[ abab \]

NFA:
Corresponding DFA

Consider these inputs:

abaa

• Machine gets stuck after ab in state (12).
• Backs up to state (5 8 11).
• Pattern is $a^*b^+$
• Lexeme is ab; final aa will be read again.

abba

• Machine stops after second b in state (6 8).
• Pattern is abb because it comes first in spec.
**JLex**

**JLex** is a **lexical analyzer generator**

- accepts **specification** of lexical analyzer.
- produces Java program that **implments** specification.

---

**JLex** is Java version of original AT&T **lex** tool for C; many similar tools exist. Details of use may vary.


**JLex Specifications**

Input to JLex is given as sequence of rules, each consisting of a

- Pattern – regular expression (using ASCII as alphabet)
- Action – fragment of Java code

When prefix of input matches a pattern, the generated analyzer executes the corresponding action.

Actions can make use of built-in variables and methods

- `yytext()` returns lexeme as a `String`
- `yyline` contains current line number (must use `%line` option).

Example:

```
%% integer {println("found keyword INTEGER");}
[0-9]+ {println("found number");}
[A-Z][A-Z]* {println("found ident " + yytext());}
[ \t\n] { /* ignore white space */ } 
```

As usual, if more than one pattern matches, the longest match is preferred; ties are broken in favor of rule that appears first.
JLex Patterns and Actions

Patterns include literal text and meta-level operators.

Pattern          Matches
x                character “x”
"x"             character “x” even if it’s an operator
\x               ditto
[x-y]            “x” or “y”
[x-y]            characters between “x” and “y” inclusive
[^s]             any character not in set s
.                any character but “\n”
p?               an optional p
p*               zero or more p’s
p+               one or more p’s
p|q               p or q
()               grouping
{d}              substitute definition for d

Actions can be any valid Java statement block.

Ordinarily each action terminates with a statement return t;
which causes yylex() to return with the token value t.

Otherwise, yylex() throws away the lexeme and continues
searching for another pattern. This is suitable for handling white
space. The simplest possible action is just the empty block {}.

yylex() raises an exception if no pattern matches. So it is a
good idea to include a “catch-all” pattern as the last rule, e.g.:

    . { System.err.println("Unexpected character"); }
JLex Definitions

The complete form of a JLex specification is:

user code
%%%  
JLex directives
%%%  
rules

Directives include control instructions, such as

%%%  
%line

which says the generated code should keep track of line numbers.

Directives can also include macro definitions, which abbreviate regular expressions for later use in patterns, e.g.,

%%%  
LETTERS=[a-zA-Z_]  
DIGITS=[0-9]  
%%%  
{LETTERS}({LETTERS}|{DIGITS})*  {return new Token(ID);}

User code is just copied directly to the top of the generated .java file; it can contain functions and globals to be invoked from the actions.

Such code can also be included in the directives section if enclosed between %{} and %{}; in this case, it is copied into the inside of the generated Yylex class.
States

JLex permits multiple sets of rules to coexist in the same specification. Each set of rules is associated with a state.

Rules prefixed with <name> are recognized (only) when yylex() is in the state name.

When yylex() starts running it is in the state with the predefined name YYINITIAL.

You declare new state names in a %state line in the definitions section of the spec. You put yylex() into state name by including the method call

    yybegin(name);

in an action.

Example: multi-line comments in Java.

    %
    %state COMMENT
    %
    <YYINITIAL>"/*" { yybegin(COMMENT); }
    <COMMENT>"*/" { yybegin(YYINITIAL); }
    <COMMENT>\n \n   { /* ignore comments */ }
    <YYINITIAL> ... ordinary rules follow
    <YYINITIAL> ...