A non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA) consists of:

- An input alphabet \( \Sigma \), e.g. \( \Sigma = \{a, b\} \).
- A set of states \( S \), e.g. \( S = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 11, 97\} \).
- A designated start state, e.g. state 1.
- A designated set of final states, e.g. \( \{5, 97\} \).
- A set of transitions from states to states, labelled by elements of \( \Sigma \) or \( \epsilon \), e.g.
NFA’S ACCEPT STRINGS

Can also write as transition table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>ε</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>→ 0</td>
<td>{0,1}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>{2}</td>
<td>{3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>{3}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An NFA accepts the string $x$ if there is a path from start to final state labeled by the characters of $x$, possibly including some $\epsilon$’s.

Example: NFA above accepts the strings “aaabb”, “aaabbabb”, and “a”, among many others.
An NFA accepts the language $L$ if it accepts exactly the strings in $L$.

Example: NFA above accepts the language defined by the R.E. $(a^*b^*)^*a(bb | \varepsilon)$.

**Fact:** For every regular language $L$, there exists an NFA that accepts $L$. 
Can give an algorithm for constructing an NFA from an R.E., such that the NFA accepts the language defined by the R.E.

- Algorithm is recursive, and is based on the recursively defined structure of R.E.’s.
- Makes heavy use of $\epsilon$-transitions.

**Base Constructions**

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon & \quad \xymatrix{ & & \epsilon \\ \ast & \ar[r]^\epsilon & \ast } \\
\text{a in } \Sigma & \quad \xymatrix{ & a \\ \ast & \ar[r]^a & \ast }
\end{align*}
\]

**Inductive Constructions** build new machines by connecting existing machines using $\epsilon$-transitions to existing initial states and from existing final states.

Note that each constructed machine has exactly one initial state and one final state.
INDUCTIVE CONSTRUCTIONS

\[ R_1 \mid R_2 \]

\[ R_1 \cdot R_2 \]

\[ R^* \]
Example: \((a\mid b)^*\mid(cbb)\)

- **a**
  - Transition: \(a\)

- **b**
  - Transition: \(b\)

- **a|b**
  - Transition: \(\varepsilon\) to \(a\)
  - Transition: \(b\) to \(\varepsilon\)

- **(a|b)^**
  - Transition: \(\varepsilon\)

- **cb**
  - Transition: \(c\) to \(\varepsilon\) to \(b\)
Example (continued)

cbb

(a|b)*|(cbb)
Example (continued)

Can simplify NFA’s by removing useless empty-string transitions:

\[(a|b)^*|(cbb)\]

Or even simpler:

\[\varepsilon\]
NFA’s for Lexical Pattern R.E.S’s

ID

letter (letter|digit)*

[Diagram of an NFA with states for ID:]

- Non-deterministic

or, simpler:

[Diagram of an NFA with states for shorthand:]

- Still non-deterministic (when to stop??)

IF

letter digit

RELATION

[Diagram of an NFA with states for RELATION:]

- Non-deterministic

Resolve non-determinism 
by accepting longest possible string!
Lexical analyzer must find best match among a set of patterns.

Try: \(\times\) NFA for pattern #1

Then try: \(\times\) NFA for pattern #2

... \(\times\)

Finally, try: \(\times\) NFA for pattern #n

Must reset input string after each unsuccessful match attempt.

Always choose pattern that allows longest input string to match. Must specify which pattern should ‘win’ if two or more match the same length of input.
Alternatively, combine all the NFA’s into one giant NFA, with distinguished final states:

Now can have non-determinism between patterns, as well as within a single pattern, e.g:

- Found keyword IF
- Found an identifier
Behavior of an NFA on a given input string is ambiguous.
So NFA’s don’t lead to a deterministic computer program.
Can convert to \textbf{deterministic} finite automaton (DFA).

- (Also called “finite state machine.”)
- Like NFA, but has no $\epsilon$-transitions and no symbol labels more than one transition from any given node.
- Easy to simulate on computer.
- There is an algorithm (“subset construction”) that can convert \textbf{any} NFA to a DFA that accepts the same language.

Alternative approach: Simulate NFA directly by pretending to follow all possible paths “at once.”
To handle “longest match” requirement, must keep track of last final state entered, and backtrack to that state (“unreading” characters) if get stuck.
Given the following set of patterns:

- `aabb`
- `a*b+`
- `abab`

We want to build a machine to find the longest match; in case of ties, favor the pattern listed first.

Here’s the NFA:
Consider input “a”:

- Machine stops in state (2 4 7 10).
- Pattern is $a$.
- Lexeme is “a”.

CORRESPONDING DFA
Consider input “aaab”:

• Machine stops in state (8)
• Pattern is \( a^*b^+ \).
• Lexeme is “aaab”.
Consider input “abba”:

- Machine stops after second “b” in state (6 8).
- Pattern is $abb$ because it comes first in spec.
- Lexeme is “abb”; final “a” will be read again next time.
Consider input “abaa”:

- Machine gets stuck after “aba” in state (12).
- Backs up to state (5 8 11), unreading “a”
- Pattern is \( a*b^+ \)
- Lexeme is “ab”; final “aa” will be read again next time.
JFlex is a **lexical analyzer generator**

- Java version of original AT&T `lex` tool for C; many similar tools exist. Details of use may vary.
- accepts **specification** of lexical analyzer.
- produces Java program that **implements** specification.
JFlex source (foo.lex) → JFlex

run by:
java JFlex.Main foo.lex

Lexical analyzer
class Yylex
method yylex()
in Java
(Yylex.java)

Java Compiler

parser or
driver source
files

Character input
stream

Lexical Analyzer
Executable

Tokens
The main input to JFlex is a sequence of rules, each consisting of a
- Pattern – regular expression (using ASCII as alphabet)
- Action – fragment of Java code

When prefix of input matches a pattern, the generated analyzer executes the corresponding action.

Actions can make use of built-in variables and methods
- `yytext()` returns lexeme as a `String`
- `yyline` contains current line number (must use `%line` option).
As usual, if more than one pattern matches, the longest match is preferred; ties are broken in favor of rule that appears first.
Patterns include literal text and meta-level operators.

Pattern          Matches
x                character “x”
"x"              character “x” even if it’s an operator
\x               ditto
[xy]             “x” or “y”
[x–y]            characters between “x” and “y” inclusive
[^s]             any character not in set s
.                any character but “\n”
p?               an optional p
p*               zero or more p’s
p+               one or more p’s
p|q               p or q
()               grouping
{d}              substitute definition for d
**Actions** can be any valid Java statement block.

Ordinarily each action terminates with a statement `return t;` which causes `yylex()` to return with the token value `t`.

Otherwise, `yylex()` throws away the lexeme and continues searching for another pattern. This is suitable for handling white space. The simplest possible action is just the empty block `{}`.

`yylex()` raises an exception if no pattern matches. So it is a good idea to include a “catch-all” pattern as the last rule, e.g.:

```
  { System.err.println("Unexpected character"); }
```
The complete form of a JFlex specification is:

user code

%%

JFlex directives

%%

rules

Directives include control instructions, such as %line, which says the generated code should keep track of line numbers.

Directives can also include macro definitions, which abbreviate regular expressions for later use in patterns, e.g.,

    %%
    LETTERS=[a-zA-Z_]
    DIGITS=[0-9]
    %%
    {LETTERS}({LETTERS}|{DIGITS})* {return new Token(ID);}
User code is just copied directly to the top of the generated .java file; it can contain functions and globals to be invoked from the actions.

Such code can also be included in the directives section if enclosed between %{ and %}; in this case, it is copied into the inside of the generated Yylex class.
JFlex permits multiple sets of rules to coexist in the same specification. Each set of rules is associated with a **state**.

Rules prefixed with `<name>` are recognized (only) when `yylex()` is in the state `name`.

When `yylex()` starts running it is in the state with the predefined name `YYINITIAL`.

You declare new state names in a `%state` line in the definitions section of the spec. You put `yylex()` into state `name` by including the method call

```
    yybegin(name);
```

in an action.
Example: multi-line comments in Java.

```java
%%
%state COMMENT
%%
<YYINITIAL>"/*" { yybegin(COMMENT); }  
<COMMENT>"*/" { yybegin(YYINITIAL); }  
<COMMENT>.|"\n" { /* ignore comments */ }  
<YYINITIAL> ...  ordinary rules follow  
<YYINITIAL> ...  
```