

Portland State University

ECE 588/688

# **Chip Multiprocessors (Multicore Processors)**

# Why CMPs?

- It is now possible to implement a single-chip multiprocessor in the same area as a wide issue superscalar
- Motivation
  - ◆ Area and Power has been increasing at rates greater than single-thread performance
  - ◆ Under-utilized superscalar execution resources
    - Branch mispredictions
    - Data dependences
    - Cache misses

# Comparing CMPs to Superscalar Processors

- Performance comparison depends on application
- Wall's classification
  - ◆ Applications with low to moderate parallelism
    - $IPC < 10$
    - Mostly integer applications
  - ◆ Applications with large amount of parallelism
    - $IPC > 40$
    - Mostly floating point applications

# Two Microarchitectures: 6-way SS vs. 4 2-way CMP

- For fair comparison, we need almost equal areas (die sizes) for SS and CMP
- Superscalar: 6-way R10000-like machine
  - ◆ More ports, bigger structures compared to the 4-way R10000
- CMP: 4 cores, each 2-way superscalar
  - ◆ Each core similar to the Alpha 21064 (1992)
  - ◆ Shared L2 cache
  - ◆ Clock speed?
- Design parameters: Paper Table 1
- Floor plans: Paper Figures 2 and 3

# Results: CMP vs. Superscalar

- Paper tables 4-7, figures 4-6
- CMP or Superscalar?
  - ◆ Low parallelism favors superscalar
  - ◆ Medium parallelism about even
  - ◆ High parallelism favors CMP

# Discussion: CMP Issues

- For CMPs, focus is less on core and more on “uncore”
  - ◆ Cache hierarchy and organization
  - ◆ Interconnection network
  - ◆ Bus interface
- Increased demand for bus bandwidth
- Cache miss latency
- Programmability
- Amdahl's law

# Reading Assignment

- Deborah T. Marr et al., "Hyper-Threading Technology Architecture and Microarchitecture," Intel Technology Journal, 2001 (Review)
- Alaa Alameldeen and David Wood, "IPC Considered Harmful for Multiprocessor Workloads," IEEE Micro, 2006 (Read)