Very Long Instruction Word (VLIW) Architectures
Very Long Instruction Word Architectures

- Compiler extracts parallelism
  - Static scheduling
  - Trace scheduling
- Multiple operations to various execution units grouped together in one very long instruction
- Compiler schedule based on pre-knowledge of hardware execution units and latencies
- Simple hardware
  - No dependence checks in hardware
Trace Scheduling

- Profiling to identify most frequent traces
- Compiler schedules as if trace is one big basic block
  - Moves instructions across branches
  - Moves loads above earlier stores
  - Compensation code for early exits from trace
  - NOPs inserted when slots cannot be filled with parallel operations
- Compiler schedules for a specific hardware implementation
- Repeat scheduling the next most frequent trace, including compensation code
- See figures in paper p. 265, 266
The ELI-512

- Has 16 clusters,
  - Each containing an ALU and some storage
  - Arranged circularly with some extra communication links

- 500+bit instruction word to initiate the following in each instruction cycle:
  - 16 ALU operations: 8 32-bit integer, 8 use 64-bit ALUs (including floating point)
  - 8 pipelined memory references
  - 32 register accesses
  - Many data movements
  - A multi-way conditional jump based on several independent tests

- See top figure in paper p. 268
Some VLIW Requirements

- Difference between VLIWs and vector architectures (discuss)
- VLIWs need clever Jump mechanisms
  - N tests, N+1 jump destinations
  - Similar to C’s switch statement
- VLIW compilers must predict memory banks
VLIW Issues

- Backward compatibility
  - Requires recompilation for new hardware

- Low code density
  - NOPs and compensation code

- Compiler cannot anticipate dynamic events or account for variable execution latencies
  - Cache misses
  - Memory disambiguation
  - Branch outcomes
EPIC and the Itanium

- Solves some of the issues in VLIW
  - Compiler provides register dependence information and hardware schedules accordingly
    - Eliminates NOPs
    - Provides backward compatibility
  - Provides hardware mechanisms for events that cannot be predicted by the compiler
    - Predication for branches
    - Control speculation
    - Data speculation

- Go to Itanium Slides
Reading Assignment

- Dean Tullsen et al., “Exploiting Choice: Instruction Fetch and Issue on an Implementable Simultaneous Multithreading Processor,” ISCA 1996 (Skim)
- Reminder: Project progress report due next Wednesday