Simultaneous Multithreading (SMT)

Motivation
- ILP limitations of superscalar processors
  - Many control, data and functional dependences
- Wide superscalar pipelines cannot use all issue slots
  - Vertical Waste: All issue slots in a cycle are not used
  - Horizontal waste: Some issue slots in a cycle are not used
  - Paper Figure 1
- To increase throughput, we need to use thread-level parallelism (TLP)

Multithreaded Programs
- Thread vs. process
  - Threads in a process share virtual address space
  - Processes have different virtual address spaces
- Design Issues:
  - Each thread needs its own set of registers (register address space is not shared)
  - Threads cause interference in instruction and data caches
  - Synchronization is necessary, may cause some threads to be idle (OS idle loop)

Multithreading Alternatives
- Fine-grain multithreading
  - During each cycle, a single thread is allowed to issue instructions
  - Removes vertical waste
  - Still limited by ILP available within each thread
- Simultaneous Multithreading
  - During each cycle, any thread can issue instructions (instructions from different threads can be issued at the same time)
  - Addresses both horizontal and vertical waste

Superscalar Processors: Where Have Cycles Gone?
- Discuss Paper Figure 2
  - Issue slots are utilized only 19% of the time
  - Lots of causes for issue stall cycles
  - Need aggressive latency-hiding techniques
- Multiple causes for stalls can be addressed using latency-hiding techniques
  - Paper Table 3

Simultaneous Multithreading Models
- SM: Full Simultaneous Issue
  - Completely flexible model: All threads compete for each of the issue slots every cycle
  - Disadvantage: Hardware complexity
- SM: Single Issue
  - Each thread can issue at most one instruction every cycle
- SM: Dual Issue and SM: Four Issue
  - Each thread can issue at most two (Dual Issue) or four (Four Issue) instructions every cycle
Simultaneous Multithreading Models (Cont.)

- SM: Limited Connection
  - Each thread is connected to exactly one of each type of functional unit
  - Limits scheduling choices for functional units to reduce hardware complexity
  - Hardware Complexity: Paper Table 4

SMT Performance

- Paper Figure 3
- Fine-grain MT can only increase throughput by a factor of 2.1
- SMT has much higher speedup
- Alternatives to execute 4 instructions per cycle
  - Four issue or full SMT with 3-4 threads
  - Dual issue SMT with 4 threads
  - Limited Connection SMT with 5 threads
  - Single issue SMT with 6 threads

SMT Performance Side Effects

- Lowest priority thread runs much slower than high priority thread
- Highest priority thread sees degraded performance as more threads are added
  - Sharing of resources (e.g., caches, TLB, BP tables)
- Caches are more strained by an MT workload vs. ST workload due to a decrease in locality
  - Different cache configurations explored in Paper Figure 4

SMT vs. Multiprocessors

- Paper Figure 5
- SMT outperforms multiprocessing for all scenarios compared
- Advantages of SMT vs. MP
  - Area efficiency
  - Reducing number of threads (i.e., threads becoming idle) allows other threads to progress faster in SMT processors, no change in MP
  - Granularity and flexibility of design: Unit of design is a whole processor for MP, more flexible in SMT
- Disadvantages? (discuss)

SMT Design Issues

- Hardware complexity
  - Scheduling hardware requirements increase with threads
  - Register file size increase
  - May need more ports
- Pipeline depth
  - Bigger structures (e.g., register file) require longer access time
  - Leads to increasing the number of pipeline stages
- Issue policy
  - Fixed thread priority
  - Round-Robin priority
  - ICOUNT
  - Others?

Reading Assignment

- Project Progress Report Due on Wednesday
- Papers for Wednesday
  - Sohi et al., “Multiscalar Processors,” ISCA 1996 (Review)
  - Roth & Sohi, “Speculative Data-Driven Multithreading,” HPCA 2001 (Skim)