## Computer Graphics \& Image Processing

+ How many lectures are there?
- Four this term
- Twelve next term
+ Who gets examined on it?
- Part IB
- Part II (General)
- Diploma


## What are Computer Graphics \& Image Processing?



## What are Computer Graphics \& Image Processing?



## Why bother with CG \& IP?

+ all visual computer output depends on Computer Graphics
- printed output
- monitor (CRT/LCD/whatever)
- all visual computer output consists of real images generated by the computer from some internal digital image


## What are CG \& IP used for?

- 2D computer graphics
- graphical user interfaces: Mac, Windows, X,...

■ graphic design: posters, cereal packets,...
■ typesetting: book publishing, report writing,...

- Image processing

■ photograph retouching: publishing, posters,...
■ photocollaging: satellite imagery,...
■ art: new forms of artwork based on digitised images

- 3D computer graphics

■ visualisation: scientific, medical, architectural,...
■ Computer Aided Design (CAD)
■ entertainment: special effect, games, movies,...

## Course Structure

## + background

■ images, human vision, displays
+2D computer graphics

+image processing
■ filtering, compositing, half-toning, dithering, encoding, compression

## Course books

## + Computer Graphics

- Computer Graphics: Principles \& Practice

■ Foley, van Dam, Feiner \& Hughes [1Y919]
Addison-Wesley, 1990

- Fundamentals of Interactive Computer Graphics Foley \& van Dam [1Y104], Addison-Wesley, 1982
+ Image Processing
- Digital Image Processing

■ Gonzalez \& Woods [U242] Addison-Wesley, 1992

- Digital Image Processing, Gonzalez \& Wintz [U135]
- Digital Picture Processing, Rosenfeld \& Kak


## Past exam questions

-98/4/10 98/5/4 $\checkmark$ 98/6/4 $\checkmark$

- 97/4/10 97/5/2? 97/6/4 $\checkmark$
- 96/4/10? 96/5/4 $\downarrow$ 96/6/4 $\checkmark$
-95/4/8 ${ }^{\text {95/5/4 }}$ 95/6/4 $\checkmark$
(11/11,12/4,13/4)
(11/11,12/2,13/4)
(11/11,12/4,13/4)
(11/9,12/4,13/4)
- 94/3/8? 94/4/8? 94/5/4? 94/6/4? (10/9,11/8,12/4,13/4)
-93/3/9× 93/4/9? 93/5/4×93/6/4×(10/9,11/9,12/4,13/4)
$\checkmark$ could be set exactly as it is
? could be set in a different form or parts could be set
$x$ would not be set
■ N.B. Diploma/Part II(G) questions in parentheses are identical to the corresponding Part IB questions


## Background

+ what is a digital image?

- what are the constraints on digital images?
+ how does human vision work?
- what are the limits of human vision?
- what can we get away with given these constraints \& limits?
+ how do displays \& printers work?
- how do we fool the human eye into seeing what we want it to see?


## What is an image?

+ two dimensional function
+ value at any point is an intensity or colour
+ not digital!



## What is a digital image?

+ a contradiction in terms
- if you can see it, it's not digital
- if it's digital, it's just a collection of numbers
+ a sampled and quantised version of a real image
+ a rectangular array of intensity or colour values


## Image capture

+ a variety of devices can be used
- scanners
- line CCD in a flatbed scanner
- spot detector in a drum scanner
- cameras
- area CCD


## Image capture example



## A real image

$1035912 \quad 8056123430117879211451565213614365115129411281435085$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}106 & 11 & 74 & 96 & 14 & 85 & 97 & 23 & 66 & 74 & 23 & 73 & 82 & 29 & 67 & 76 & 21 & 40 & 48 & 7 & 33 & 39 & 9 & 94 & 54 \\ 19\end{array}$ 42276191010359602810210741208886320475541978263179634615862 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}46 & 146 & 49 & 40 & 52 & 65 & 21 & 60 & 68 & 11 & 40 & 51 & 17 & 35 & 37 & 0 & 28 & 29 & 0 \\ 83 & 50 & 15 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 13 & 14\end{array}$ 8243173161231140692391428923014390210126791848848152693512351 $271044123554591362702828 \quad 22928740281613131224167112240$ 17480227174782271768723317794213149781961235714172311085322121


 $2321522292091232321939820816264179133471429032 \quad 291927895321171$ 116491146429754924109651116923719082249221122241225129240219 1262401999321817369188135332191867918918493136104651126937191153 80122742880511919374716373222317783235208105243218125238206 10322118883228204982242201232101941091921596215098401167328146104 $4610959 \quad 24 \quad 754818273333471001182161779822318991239209111236213$ $\begin{array}{llll}117217200108218200100 & 21820610420717576177131 & 54142 & 88 \\ 41 & 108 & 65 & 22 \\ 103\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}59 & 22 & 93 & 53 & 18 & 76 & 50 & 17 & 9 & 10 & 2 & 54 & 76 & 74 \\ 108 & 111 & 102 & 218 & 194 & 108 & 228 & 203102 & 228 & 200\end{array}$


 141013412800691041105896109130128115196154821961486618313870

 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}130 & 80 & 31 & 110 & 63 & 21 & 83 & 44 & 11 & 69 & 42 & 12 & 28 & 8 & 0 & 7 & 5 & 10 & 18 & 4 & 0\end{array} 17 \begin{array}{ll}10 & 2 \\ 30 & 20\end{array} 10$



## A digital image

## Image display

+ a digital image is an array of integers, how do you display it?
+ reconstruct a real image on some sort of display device
- CRT - computer monitor, TV set
- LCD - portable computer
- printer - dot matrix, laser printer, dye sublimation


## Image display example

$10359128056123430 \quad 1 \quad 7879211451565213614365115129411281435085$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}106 & 11 & 74 & 96 & 14 & 85 & 97 & 23 & 66 & 74 & 23 & 73 & 82 & 29 & 67 & 76 & 21 & 40 & 48 & 7 & 33 & 39 \\ 9 & 94 & 54 & 19\end{array}$ $4227 \quad 61910 \quad 359602810210741208886320475541978263179634615862$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}46 & 146 & 49 & 40 & 52 & 65 & 21 & 60 & 68 & 11 & 40 & 51 & 17 & 35 & 37 & 0 & 28 & 29 & 0 & 83 & 50\end{array} 15$





 2321522292091232321939820816264179133471429032291927895321171 116491146429754924109651116923719082249221122241225129240219 1262401999321817369188135332191867918918493136104651126937191153 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}126 & 240 & 199 & 93 & 218 & 173 & 69 & 188 & 135 & 33 & 219 & 186 & 79 & 189 & 184 & 93 & 136 & 104 & 65 & 112 & 69 & 37 & 191 & 153 \\ 80 & 122 & 74 & 28 & 80 & 51 & 19 & 19 & 37 & 47 & 16 & 37 & 32 & 223 & 177 & 83 & 235 & 208 & 105 & 243 & 218 & 125 & 238 & 206\end{array}$
 461095924754818273333471001182161779822318991239209111236213 117217200108218200100218206104207175761771315414288411086522103 59229353187650179102547674108111102218194108228203102228200 100212180792201828519815862180138541551063713282339551148748




 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}130 & 80 & 31 & 110 & 63 & 21 & 83 & 44 & 11 & 69 & 42 & 12 & 28 & 8 & 0 & 7 & 5 & 10 & 18 & 4 & 0 \\ 17 & 10 & 2 & 30 & 20 & 10\end{array}$ 58889653889459911026999110548079236985313425534125212 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}0 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 17 & 10 & 4 & 11 & 0 & 0 & 34 & 21 & 13 & 47 & 35 & 23 & 38 & 26 & 14 & 47 \\ 35 & 23\end{array}$

## The image data

## Different ways of displaying the same digital image



Nearest-neighbour e.g. LCD


Gaussian
e.g. CRT


Half-toning<br>e.g. laser printer

## Sampling

+ a digital image is a rectangular array of intensity values
+ each value is called a pixel
- "picture element"
+ sampling resolution is normally measured in pixels per inch (ppi) or dots per inch (dpi)

■ computer monitors have a resolution around 100 ppi
■ laser printers have resolutions between 300 and 1200 ppi

## Sampling resolution


$32 \times 32$

$2 \times 2$
$4 \times 4$

$8 \times 8$

$16 \times 16$

## Quantisation

+ each intensity value is a number
+ for digital storage the intensity values must be quantised
- limits the number of different intensities that can be stored
■ limits the brightest intensity that can be stored
+ how many intensity levels are needed for human consumption
- 8 bits usually sufficient

■ some applications use 10 or 12 bits

## Quantisation levels

8 bits
(256 levels)


1 bit
(2 levels)

7 bits
(128 levels)


2 bits
(4 levels)

6 bits
(64 levels)


3 bits
(8 levels)


5 bits (32 levels)


4 bits
(16 levels)

## Human visual system



GW Fig 2.1, 2.2; Sec 2.1.1 FLS Fig 35-2

## Things eyes do

## + discrimination

■ discriminates between different intensities and colours

+ adaptation
■ adapts to changes in illumination level and colour
+ simultaneous contrast
■ adapts locally within an image
+ persistence
■ integrates light over a period of about $1 / 30$ second
+ edge enhancement
■ causes Mach banding effects


## Simultaneous contrast



The centre square is the same intensity in all four cases

## Mach bands



Each of the nine rectangles is a constant colour

## Foveal vision

$+150,000$ cones per square millimetre in the fovea

- high resolution
- colour
+ outside fovea: mostly rods
■ lower resolution
- monochromatic
- peripheral vision
- allows you to keep the high resolution region in context
- allows you to avoid being hit by passing branches


## What is required for vision?

## +illumination

■ some source of light

+ objects
■ which reflect (or transmit) the light
teyes
■ to capture the light as an image

direct viewing

transmission



## Light: wavelengths \& spectra

+ light is electromagnetic radiation
■ visible light is a tiny part of the electromagnetic spectrum
■ visible light ranges in wavelength from 700nm (red end of spectrum) to 400nm (violet end)
+ every light has a spectrum of wavelengths that it emits
+ every object has a spectrum of wavelengths that it reflects (or transmits)
+ the combination of the two gives the spectrum of wavelengths that arrive at the eye $\min$ Examples $1 \& 2$


## Classifying colours

+ we want some way of classifying colours and, preferably, quantifying them
+ we will discuss:
- Munsell's artists'scheme

■ which classifies colours on a perceptual basis

- the mechanism of colour vision
- how colour perception works
- various colour spaces

■ which quantify colour based on either physical or perceptual models of colour

## Munsell's colour classification system

+ three axes
$\square$ hue $>$ the dominant colour
■ lightness $>$ bright colours/dark colours
■ saturation > vivid colours/dull colours

- can represent this as a 3D graph
+ any two adjacent colours are a standard "perceptual" distance apart
- worked out by testing it on people
+ but how does the eye actually see colour?
invented by A. H. Munsell, an American artist, in 1905 in an attempt to systematically classify colours


## Colour vision

+ three types of cone
- each responds to a different spectrum

■ roughly can be defined as red, green, and blue

- each has a response function $\mathbf{r}(\lambda), \mathbf{g}(\lambda), \mathbf{b}(\lambda)$
- different sensitivities to the different colours
- roughly 40:20:1
- so cannot see fine detail in blue
- overall intensity response of the eye can be calculated
$■ y(\lambda)=\mathbf{r}(\lambda)+\mathbf{g}(\lambda)+b(\lambda)$
$\square \mathbf{y}=k \int \mathbf{P}(\lambda) \mathbf{y}(\lambda) \mathbf{d} \lambda$ is the perceived Iuminance


## Chromatic metamerism

- many different spectra will induce the same response in our cones
- the values of the three perceived values can be calculated as:
- $r=k \int P(\lambda) r(\lambda) d \lambda$
- $g=k \int P(\lambda) g(\lambda) d \lambda$
- $b=k \int P(\lambda) b(\lambda) d \lambda$
$\square k$ is some constant, $P(\lambda)$ is the spectrum of the light incident on the retina
- two different spectra (e.g. $P_{1}(\lambda)$ and $P_{2}(\lambda)$ ) can give the same values of $\mathbf{r}, \mathrm{g}$, b
- we can thus fool the eye into seeing (almost) any colour by mixing correct proportions of some small number of lights


## Mixing coloured lights

+ by mixing different amounts of red, green, and blue lights we can generate a wide range of responses in the human eye



## XYZ colour space

+ not every wavelength can be represented as a mix of red, green, and blue
+ but matching \& defining coloured light with a mixture of three fixed primaries is desirable
+ CIE define three standard primaries: $X, Y, Z$
- $Y$ matches the human eye's response to light of a constant intensity at each wavelength (luminous-efficiency function of the eye)
- $X, Y$, and $Z$ are not themselves colours, they are used for defining colours - you cannot make a light that emits one of these primaries
XYZ colour space was defined in 1931 by the Commission Internationale de l' Éclairage (CIE)


## CIE chromaticity diagram

+ chromaticity values are defined in terms of $x, y, z$

$$
x=\frac{X}{X+Y+Z}, \quad y=\frac{Y}{X+Y+Z}, \quad z=\frac{Z}{X+Y+Z} \quad \therefore \quad x+y+z=1
$$

■ ignores luminance

- can be plotted as a 2D function
- pure colours (single wavelength) lie along the outer curve
- all other colours are a mix of pure colours and hence lie inside the curve
- points outside the curve do not exist as colours


## $R G B$ in $X Y Z$ space

+ CRTs and LCDs mix red, green, and blue to make all other colours
+ the red, green, and blue primaries each map to a point in XYZ space
+ any colour within the resulting triangle can be displayed
- any colour outside the triangle cannot be displayed

■ for example: CRTs cannot display very saturated purples, blues, or greens

## Colour spaces

- CIE XYZ, Yxy
- Pragmatic
- used because they relate directly to the way that the hardware works
■ RGB, CMY, YIQ, YUV

```
YIQ and YUV are used
in broadcast television
```

- Munsell-like

■ considered by many to be easier for people to use than the pragmatic colour spaces
■ HSV, HLS

- Uniform
- equal steps in any direction make equal perceptual differences

■ $L^{*} a^{*} b^{*}, L^{*} u^{*} v^{*}$
GLA Figs 2.1, 2.2; Colour plates 3 \& 4

## Summary of colour spaces

- the eye has three types of colour receptor
- therefore we can validly use a three-dimensional co-ordinate system to represent colour
$-X Y Z$ is one such co-ordinate system
$■ Y$ is the eye's response to intensity (luminance)
■ $X$ and $Z$ are, therefore, the colour co-ordinates
- same $Y$, change $X$ or $Z \Rightarrow$ same intensity, different colour
- same $X$ and $Z$, change $Y \Rightarrow$ same colour, different intensity
- some other systems use three colour co-ordinates

■ luminance can then be derived as some function of the three

- e.g. in $R G B$ : $Y=0.299 R+0.587 G+0.114 B$


## Implications of vision on resolution

- in theory you can see about 600dpi, 30cm from your eye
- in practice, opticians say that the acuity of the eye is measured as the ability to see a white gap, 1 minute wide, between two black lines
- about 300dpi at 30 cm
- resolution decreases as contrast decreases
- colour resolution is much worse than intensity resolution
■ hence YIQ and YUV for TV broadcast


## Implications of vision on quantisation

+ humans can distinguish, at best, about a 2\% change in intensity
- not so good at distinguishing colour differences
+ for TV $\Rightarrow 10$ bits of intensity information
- 8 bits is usually sufficient
- why use only 8 bits? why is it usually acceptable?
- for movie film $\Rightarrow 14$ bits of intensity information

> | for TV the brightest white is about $25 x$ as bright as |
| :--- |
| the darkest black |
| movie film has about $10 x$ the contrast ratio of TV |

## Storing images in memory

+8 bits has become a de facto standard for greyscale images

- 8 bits = 1 byte
- an image of size $W \times H$ can therefore be stored in a block of $W \times H$ bytes
- one way to do this is to store pixel [x] [y] at memory location base $+x+W \times y$

- memory is 1D, images are 2D



## Colour images

- tend to be 24 bits per pixel

■ 3 bytes: one red, one green, one blue

- can be stored as a contiguous block of memory
- of size $W \times H \times 3$
- more common to store each colour in a separate "plane"
- each plane contains just $W \times H$ values
- the idea of planes can be extended to other attributes associated with each pixel
■ alpha plane (transparency), z-buffer (depth value), A-buffer (pointer to a data structure containing depth and coverage information), overlay planes (e.g. for displaying pop-up menus)


## The frame buffer

+ most computers have a special piece of memory reserved for storage of the current image being displayed

+ the frame buffer normally consists of dualported Dynamic RAM (DRAM)
- sometimes referred to as Video RAM (VRAM)


## Double buffering

- if we allow the currently displayed image to be updated then we may see bits of the image being displayed halfway through the update
$\square$ this can be visually disturbing, especially if we want the illusion of smooth animation
- double buffering solves this problem: we draw into one frame buffer and display from the other
■ when drawing is complete we flip buffers



## Image display

+ three technologies cover over 99\% of all display devices
- cathode ray tube
- liquid crystal display
- printer


## Liquid crystal display

- liquid crystal can twist the polarisation of light
- control is by the voltage that is applied across the liquid crystal
■ either on or off: transparent or opaque
- greyscale can be achieved in some liquid crystals by varying the voltage
- colour is achieved with colour filters
- low power consumption but image quality not as good as cathode ray tubes


## Cathode ray tubes

- focus an electron gun on a phosphor screen
- produces a bright spot
- scan the spot back and forth, up and down to cover the whole screen
- vary the intensity of the electron beam to change the intensity of the spot
- repeat this fast enough and humans see a continuous picture


## How fast do CRTs need to be?

- speed at which entire screen is updated is called the "refresh rate"
- 50 Hz (PAL TV, used in most of Europe)
- many people can see a slight flicker
-60Hz (NTSC TV, used in USA and Japan)
$\square$ better

Flicker/resolution trade-off

PAL 50 Hz $768 \times 576$

NTSC 60Hz 640×480

- 80-90Hz
- $99 \%$ of viewers see no flicker, even on very bright displays
- 100HZ (newer "flicker-free" PAL TV sets)
$■$ practically no-one can see the image flickering


## Colour CRTs: shadow masks

- use three electron guns \& colour phosphors
- electrons have no colour

■ use shadow mask to direct electrons from each gun onto the appropriate phosphor

- the electron beams' spots are bigger than the shadow mask pitch
- can get spot size down to $7 / 4$ of the pitch
- pitch can get down to 0.25 mm with delta arrangement of phosphor dots
■ with a flat tension shadow mask can reduce this to 0.15 mm



## CRT vs LCD

| Physical $\{$ |  | CRT | LCD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Screen size | Excellent | Fair |
|  | Depth | Poor | Excellent |
|  | Weight | Poor | Excellent |
| Intensity \& colour | Brightness | Excellent | Fair/Good |
|  | Contrast | Good/Excellent | Fair |
|  | Intensity levels | Excellent | Fair |
|  | Viewing angle | Excellent | Poor |
|  | Colour capability | Excellent | Good |
| Financial $\{$ | Cost | Low | Low |
|  | Power consumption | Fair | Excellent |

## Printers

+ many types of printer
- ink jet

■ sprays ink onto paper

- dot matrix
- pushes pins against an ink ribbon and onto the paper
- laser printer

■ uses a laser to lay down a pattern of charge on a drum; this picks up charged toner which is then pressed onto the paper

+ all make marks on paper
- essentially binary devices: mark/no mark


## Printer resolution

+ ink jet
- up to 360dpi
+ laser printer
- up to 1200dpi
- generally 600dpi
+ phototypesetter
- up to about 3000dpi
+ bi-level devices: each pixel is either black or white


## What about greyscale?

- achieved by halftoning

■ divide image into cells, in each cell draw a spot of the appropriate size for the intensity of that cell

- on a printer each cell is $m \times m$ pixels, allowing $m^{2}+1$ different intensity levels
■ e.g. 300 dpi with $4 \times 4$ cells $\Rightarrow 75$ cells per inch, 17 intensity levels
■ phototypesetters can make 256 intensity levels in cells so small you can only just see them
- an alternative method is dithering

■ dithering photocopies badly, halftoning photocopies well
will discuss halftoning and dithering in Image Processing section of course

## Dye sublimation printers: true greyscale

- dye sublimation gives true greyscale

- dye sublimes off dye sheet and onto paper in proportion to the heat level
- colour is achieved by using four different coloured dye sheets in sequence - the heat mixes them


## What about colour?

+ generally use cyan, magenta, yellow, and black inks (CMYK)
+inks aborb colour
-c.f. lights which emit colour
- CMY is the inverse of RGB
+ why is black (K) necessary?
- inks are not perfect aborbers
- mixing C + M + Y gives a muddy grey, not black
- lots of text is printed in black: trying to align C, M and $Y$ perfectly for black text would be a nightmare


## How do you produce halftoned colour?

- print four halftone screens, one in each colour
- carefully angle the screens to prevent interference (moiré) patterns

| Standard angles |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Magenta | $45^{\circ}$ |
| Cyan | $15^{\circ}$ |
| Yellow | $90^{\circ}$ |
| Black | $75^{\circ}$ |

$150 \mathrm{lpi} \times 16$ dots per cell $\longrightarrow$
$=2400$ dpi phototypesetter
( $16 \times 16$ dots per cell $=256$ intensity levels)

```
Standard rulings (in lines per inch)
65 lpi
85 lpi newsprint
100 lpi
120 lpi uncoated offset paper
133 lpi uncoated offset paper
150 lpi matt coated offset paper or art paper publication: books, advertising leavlets
200 lpi very smooth, expensive paper
    very high quality publication
```



+ lines
■ how do I draw a straight line?
+ curves
■ how do I specify curved lines?
+ clipping
■ what about lines that go off the edge of the screen?
+ filled areas
+ transformations
- scaling, rotation, translation, shearing
+ applications


## Drawing a straight line

- a straight line can be defined by:

$$
y=m x+c
$$



- a mathematical line is "length without breadth"
- a computer graphics line is a set of pixels
- which pixels do we need to turn on to draw a given line?




## Which pixels do we use?

- there are two reasonably sensible alternatives:

every pixel through which the line passes
(can have either one or two pixels in each column)
$x$

the "closest" pixel to the line in each column
(always have just one pixel in every column)

- in general, use this


## A line drawing algorithm - preparation 1

+ pixel $(x, y)$ has its centre at real co-ordinate $(x, y)$
- it thus stretches from $(x-1 / 2, y-1 / 2)$ to $(x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2)$


60
A line drawing algorithm - preparation 2

+ the line goes from $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ to $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$
$\boldsymbol{+}$ the line lies in the first octant $(0 \leq m \leq 1)$
$+x_{0}<x_{1}$



## Bresenham's line drawing algorithm 1

| Initialisation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d}=\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right) /\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \\ & \mathrm{x}=x_{0} \\ & \mathrm{yi}=y_{0} \\ & \mathrm{y}=y_{0} \\ & \operatorname{DRAW}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Iteration |  |
| assumes integer end points | $\operatorname{DRAW}(x, y)$ <br> END WHILE |


J. E. Bresenham, "Algorithm for Computer Control of a Digital Plotter", IBM Systems Journal, 4(1), 1965

## Bresenham's line drawing algorithm 2

- naïve algorithm involves floating point arithmetic \& rounding inside the loop $\Rightarrow$ slow
- Speed up A:
- separate integer and fractional parts of yi (into y and yf)
■ replace rounding by an IF
- removes need to do rounding

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}=\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right) /\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \\
& \mathrm{x}=x_{0} \\
& \mathrm{yf}=0 \\
& \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}_{0} \\
& \text { DRAW }(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \\
& \text { WHILE } \mathrm{x}<x_{1} \text { DO } \\
& \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}+1 \\
& \mathrm{yf}=\mathrm{yf}+\mathrm{d} \\
& \quad \mathrm{IF}(\mathrm{yf}>1 / 2) \text { THEN } \\
& \quad \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}+1 \\
& \mathrm{yf}=\mathrm{yf}-1 \\
& \quad \text { END IF } \\
& \text { DRAW }(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bresenham's line drawing algorithm 3

- Speed up B:
- multiply all operations involving yf by $2\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)$
- $\mathrm{yf}=\mathrm{yf}+\mathrm{dy} / \mathrm{dx} \rightarrow \mathrm{yf}=\mathrm{yf}+2 \mathrm{dy}$
- yf $>1 / 2 \quad \rightarrow y f>d x$
- $\mathrm{yf}=\mathrm{yf}-1 \quad \rightarrow \mathrm{yf}=\mathrm{yf}-2 \mathrm{dx}$
$\square$ removes need to do floating point arithmetic if end-points have integer co-ordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{dy}=\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right) \\
& \mathrm{dx}=\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \\
& \mathrm{x}=x_{0} \\
& \mathrm{yf}=0 \\
& \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}_{0} \\
& \text { DRAW }(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \\
& \text { WHILE } \mathrm{x}<x_{1} \text { DO } \\
& \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}+1 \\
& \mathrm{yf}=\mathrm{yf}+2 \mathrm{dy} \\
& \quad \mathrm{IF}(\mathrm{yf}>\mathrm{dx}) \text { THEN } \\
& \quad \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}+1 \\
& \mathrm{yf}=\mathrm{yf}-2 \mathrm{dx} \\
& \text { END IF } \\
& \text { DRAW } \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bresenham's algorithm for floating point ${ }^{64}$ end points

```
\(\mathrm{d}=\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right) /\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\)
\(\mathrm{x}=\operatorname{ROUND}\left(x_{0}\right)\)
\(\mathrm{yi}=y_{0}+\mathrm{d}^{*}\left(\mathrm{x}-x_{0}\right)\)
\(\mathrm{y}=\) ROUND(yi)
yf \(=\mathrm{yi}-\mathrm{y}\)
DRAW(x,y)
WHILE \(\mathrm{x}<\left(x_{1}-1 / 2\right)\) DO
    \(x=x+1\)
    \(y f=y f+d\)
    IF ( \(\mathrm{yf}>1 / 2\) ) THEN
        \(y=y+1\)
        \(\mathrm{yf}=\mathrm{yf}-1\)
    END IF
    DRAW( \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\) )
END WHILE
```



## Bresenham's algorithm - more details

+ we assumed that the line is in the first octant
- can do fifth octant by swapping end points
+ therefore need four versions of the algorithm


Exercise: work out what changes need to be made to the algorithm for it to work in each of the other three octants

## A second line drawing algorithm

+ a line can be specified using an equation of the form:

$$
k=a x+b y+c
$$

+ this divides the plane into three regions:
- above the line $k<0$
- below the line $k>0$
- on the line $k=0$



## Midpoint line drawing algorithm 1

+ given that a particular pixel is on the line, the next pixel must be either immediately to the right ( E ) or to the right and up one (NE)
+ use a decision variable (based on $k$ ) to determine which way to go



## Midpoint line drawing algorithm 2

+ decision variable needs to make a decision at point ( $x+1, y+1 / 2$ )

$$
d=a(x+1)+b(y+1 / 2)+c
$$

+if go $E$ then the new decision variable is at

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x+2, y+1 / 2) \quad d^{\prime} & =a(x+2)+b(y+1 / 2)+c \\
& =d+a
\end{aligned}
$$

+ if go NE then the new decision variable is at

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x+2, y+11 / 2) \quad d^{\prime} & =a(x+2)+b\left(y+1 \frac{1}{2}\right)+c \\
& =d+a+b
\end{aligned}
$$



## Midpoint line drawing algorithm 3

Initialisation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right) \\
& \mathrm{b}=-\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \\
& \mathrm{c}=x_{1} y_{0}-x_{0} y_{1} \\
& \mathrm{x}=\operatorname{ROUND}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \mathrm{y}=\operatorname{ROUND}^{2}\left(y_{0}-\left(\mathrm{x}-x_{0}\right)(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b})\right) \\
& \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a}^{*}(\mathrm{x}+1)+\mathrm{b} *(\mathrm{y}+1 / 2)+\mathrm{c} \\
& \operatorname{DRAW}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$



First decision point

Iteration


END WHILE all operations can be in integer arithmetic

## Midpoint - comments

+ this version only works for lines in the first octant
- extend to other octants as for Bresenham
+ Sproull has proven that Bresenham and Midpoint give identical results
+ Midpoint algorithm can be generalised to draw arbitary circles \& ellipses
- Bresenham can only be generalised to draw circles with integer radii


## Curves

+ circles \& ellipses
+ Bezier cubics
- Pierre Bézier, worked in CAD for Citroën

■ widely used in Graphic Design

+ Overhauser cubics
■ Overhauser, worked in CAD for Ford
+ NURBS
■ Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines
- more powerful than Bezier \& now more widely used
- consider these next year


## Midpoint circle algorithm 1

+ equation of a circle is $x^{2}+y^{2}=r^{2}$
■ centred at the origin
+ decision variable can be $d=x^{2}+y^{2}-r^{2}$
■ $d=0$ on the circle, $d>0$ outside, $d<0$ inside
+ divide circle into eight octants

■ on the next slide we consider only the second octant, the others are similar


## Midpoint circle algorithm 2

+ decision variable needs to make a decision at point $(x+1, y-1 / 2)$

$$
d=(x+1)^{2}+(y-1 / 2)^{2}-r^{2}
$$

+if go $E$ then the new decision variable is at

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x+2, y-1 / 2) \quad d^{\prime} & =(x+2)^{2}+(y-1 / 2)^{2}-r^{2} \\
& =d+2 x+3
\end{aligned}
$$

+ if go SE then the new decision variable is at $\left(x+2, y-1 \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad d^{\prime}=(x+2)^{2}+\left(y-1 \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-r^{2}$

$$
=d+2 x-2 y+5
$$

Exercise: complete the circle algorithm for the second octant

## Taking circles further

+ the algorithm can be easily extended to circles not centred at the origin
+ a similar method can be derived for ovals
- but: cannot naively use octants

■ use points of $45^{\circ}$ slope to divide oval into eight sections

- and: ovals must be axis-aligned

$\square$ there is a more complex algorithm which can be used for non-axis aligned ovals


## Are circles \& ellipses enough?

+ simple drawing packages use ellipses \& segments of ellipses
+ for graphic design \& CAD need something with more flexibility
- use cubic polynomials


## Why cubics?

+ lower orders cannot:
- have a point of inflection
- match both position and slope at both ends of a segment
- be non-planar in 3D
+ higher orders:
- can wiggle too much
- take longer to compute


## Hermite cubic

- the Hermite form of the cubic is defined by its two end-points and by the tangent vectors at these end-points: $\quad P(t)=\left(2 t^{3}-3 t^{2}+1\right) P_{0}$

$$
+\left(-2 t^{3}+3 t^{2}\right) P_{1}
$$

$$
+\left(t^{3}-2 t^{2}+t\right) T_{0}
$$

$$
+\left(t^{3}-t^{2}\right) T_{1}
$$

- two Hermite cubics can be smoothly joined by matching both position and tangent at an end point of each cubic

Charles Hermite, mathematician, 1822-1901

## Bezier cubic

- difficult to think in terms of tangent vectors
+ Bezier defined by two end points and two other control points

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(t)=(1-t)^{3} P_{0} \\
& +3 t(1-t)^{2} P_{1} \\
& +3 t^{2}(1-t) P_{2} \\
& +t^{3} P_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$


where: $P_{i} \equiv\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$
Pierre Bézier worked for Citroën in the 1960s

## Bezier properties

+ Bezier is equivalent to Hermite

$$
T_{0}=3\left(P_{1}-P_{0}\right) \quad T_{1}=3\left(P_{3}-P_{2}\right)
$$

+ Weighting functions are Bernstein polynomials

$$
b_{0}(t)=(1-t)^{3} \quad b_{1}(t)=3 t(1-t)^{2} \quad b_{2}(t)=3 t^{2}(1-t) \quad b_{3}(t)=t^{3}
$$

+ Weighting functions sum to one

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{3} b_{i}(t)=1
$$

+ Bezier curve lies within convex hull of its control points


## Types of curve join

+ each curve is smooth within itself
+ joins at endpoints can be:
$-C_{0}$ - continuous in position, tangent vectors have different directions
- "corner"
$-C_{1}$ - continuous in both position and tangent vector

■ smooth join
$-G_{1}$ - continuous in postion, tangent vectors have same direction but not same magnitude

- discontinuous in position


## Drawing a Bezier cubic - naïve method

- draw as a set of short line segments equispaced in parameter space, $t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x 0, y 0)=\operatorname{Bezier}(0) \\
& \text { FOR } t=0.05 \text { TO } 1 \text { STEP } 0.05 \text { DO } \\
& \quad(x 1, y 1)=\operatorname{Bezier}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& \quad \text { DrawLine }((x 0, y 0),(x 1, y 1)) \\
& \quad(x 0, y 0)=(x 1, y 1) \\
& \text { END FOR }
\end{aligned}
$$

- problems:
- cannot fix a number of segments that is appropriate for all possible Beziers: too many or too few segments
- distance in real space, $(x, y)$, is not linearly related to distance in parameter space, $t$


## Drawing a Bezier cubic - sensible method

+ adaptive subdivision
- check if a straight line between $P_{0}$ and $P_{3}$ is an adequate approximation to the Bezier
- if so: draw the straight line
- if not: divide the Bezier into two halves, each a Bezier, and repeat for the two new Beziers
+ need to specify some tolerance for when a straight line is an adequate approximation
- when the Bezier lies within half a pixel width of the straight line along its entire length


## Drawing a Bezier cubic (continued)

| Procedure DrawCurve( Bezier curve ) | e.g. if $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ both lie within half a pixel width of |
| :---: | :---: |
| VAR Bezier left, right the tine joining $P_{0}$ to $P_{3}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| BEGIN DrawCurve IF Flat( curve ) THEN |  |
| DrawLine( curve) | draw a line between |
| ELSE SubdivideCurve( curve left right) | $P_{0}$ and $P_{3}$ : we already |
| SubdivideCurve( curve, left, right ) | know how to do this |
| DrawCurve( left) |  |
| DrawCurve( right) |  |
| END IF | how do we do this? |
| END DrawCurve | see the next slide... |

## Subdividing a Bezier cubic into two halves

+ a Bezier cubic can be easily subdivided into two smaller Bezier cubics

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{0}=P_{0} & R_{0}=\frac{1}{8} P_{0}+\frac{3}{8} P_{1}+\frac{3}{8} P_{2}+\frac{1}{8} P_{3} \\
Q_{1}=\frac{1}{2} P_{0}+\frac{1}{2} P_{1} & R_{1}=\frac{1}{4} P_{1}+\frac{1}{2} P_{2}+\frac{1}{4} P_{3} \\
Q_{2}=\frac{1}{4} P_{0}+\frac{1}{2} P_{1}+\frac{1}{4} P_{2} & R_{2}=\frac{1}{2} P_{2}+\frac{1}{2} P_{3} \\
Q_{3}=\frac{1}{8} P_{0}+\frac{3}{8} P_{1}+\frac{3}{8} P_{2}+\frac{1}{8} P_{3} & R_{3}=P_{3}
\end{array}
$$

Exercise: prove that the Bezier cubic curves defined by $Q_{0}, Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}$ and $R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ match the Bezier cubic curve defined by $P_{0}, P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ over the ranges $t \in[0,1 / 2]$ and $t \in[1 / 2,1]$ respectively

## What if we have no tangent vectors?

- base each cubic piece on the four surrounding data points

- at each data point the curve must depend solely on the three surrounding data points

Why?

- there is a unique quadratic passing through any three points
- use this to define the tangent at each point
- tangent at $P_{1}$ is $1 / 2\left(P_{2}-P_{0}\right)$, at $P_{2}$ is $1 / 2\left(P_{3}-P_{1}\right)$
- this is the basis of Overhauser's cubic


## Overhauser's cubic

- method

■ linearly interpolate between two quadratics


$$
P(t)=(1-t) Q(t)+t R(t)
$$

- (potential) problem
$■$ moving a single point modifies the surrounding four curve segments (c.f. Bezier where moving a single point modifies just the two segments connected to that point)
- good for control of movement in animation

Overhauser worked for the Ford motor company in the 1960s

## Simplifying line chains

- the problem: you are given a chain of line segments at a very high resolution, how can you reduce the number of line segments without compromising the quality of the line
- e.g. given the coastline of Britain defined as a chain of line segments at 10 m resolution, draw the entire outline on a $1280 \times 1024$ pixel screen
- the solution: Douglas \& Pücker's line chain simplification algorithm

This can also be applied to chains of Bezier curves at high resolution: most of the curves will each be approximated (by the previous algorithm) as a single line segment, Douglas \& Pücker's algorithm can then be used to further simplify the line chain

## Douglas \& Pücker's algorithm

- find point, $C$, at greatest distance from line $A B$
- if distance from C to $A B$ is more than some specified tolerance then subdivide into AC and CB, repeat for each of the two subdivisions
- otherwise approximate entire chain from A to B by the single line segment $A B$


Exercise: what special cases need to be considered?
how should they be handled?

## Clipping

+ what about lines that go off the edge of the screen?
- need to clip them so that we only draw the part of the line that is actually on the screen
+ clipping points against a rectangle



## Clipping lines against a rectangle



## Cohen-Sutherland clipper 1

- make a four bit code, one bit for each inequality

$$
A \equiv x<x_{L} \quad B \equiv x>x_{R} \quad C \equiv y<y_{B} \quad D \equiv y>y_{T}
$$

| $\begin{aligned} & A B C D \\ & 1001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A B C D \\ & 0001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A B C D \\ & 0101 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=y_{T}$ |  |  |
| 1000 | 0000 | 0100 |
| $y=y_{B} \cdots \cdots-\cdots$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |

- evaluate this for both endpoints of the line

$$
Q_{1}=A_{1} B_{1} C_{1} D_{1} \quad Q_{2}=A_{2} B_{2} C_{2} D_{2}
$$

Ivan Sutherland is one of the founders of Evans \& Sutherland, manufacturers of flight simulator systems

## Cohen-Sutherland clipper 2

- $Q_{1}=Q_{2}=0$
$■$ both ends in rectangle ACCEPT
- $Q_{1} \wedge Q_{2} \neq 0$

■ both ends outside and in same half-plane REJECT

- otherwise

■ need to intersect line with one of the edges and start again

- the 1 bits tell you which edge to clip against


$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{L} \quad y_{1}^{\prime}=y_{1}+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) \frac{x_{L}-x_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}} \\
& y_{1}^{\prime \prime}=y_{B} \quad x_{1}^{\prime} "=x_{1}^{\prime}+\left(x_{2}-x_{1}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right) \frac{y_{B}-y_{1}}{y_{2}-y_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cohen-Sutherland clipper 3

- if code has more than a single 1 then you cannot tell which is the best: simply select one and loop again
- horizontal and vertical lines are not a problem
- need a line drawing algorithm that can cope with floating-point endpoint co-ordinates

Why not?
Why?


Exercise: what happens in each of the cases at left?
[Assume that, where there is a choice, the algorithm always trys to intersect with $x_{L}$ or $x_{R}$ before $y_{B}$ or $y_{T}$.]

Try some other cases of your own devising.

## Polygon filling

## + which pixels do we turn on?



- those whose centres lie inside the polygon
- this is a naïve assumption, but is sufficient for now


## Scanline polygon fill algorithm

(1) take all polygon edges and place in an edge list $(E L)$, sorted on lowest $y$ value
(2) start with the first scanline that intersects the polygon, get all edges which intersect that scan line and move them to an active edge list (AEL)
3 for each edge in the AEL: find the intersection point with the current scanline; sort these into ascending order on the $x$ value
4 fill between pairs of intersection points
(5 move to the next scanline (increment $y$ ); remove edges from the AEL if endpoint $<y$; move new edges from EL to AEL if start point $\leq y$; if any edges remain in the AEL go back to step 3

Scanline polygon fill example


## Scanline polygon fill details

- how do we efficiently calculate the intersection points?
- use a line drawing algorithm to do incremental calculation
- what if endpoints exactly intersect scanlines?
- need to cope with this, e.g. add a tiny amount to the y coordinate to ensure that they don't exactly match

- what about horizontal edges?
- throw them out of the edge list, they contribute nothing



## Clipping polygons



## Sutherland-Hodgman polygon clipping 1

- clips an arbitrary polygon against an arbitrary convex polygon
■ basic algorithm clips an arbitrary polygon against a single infinite clip edge
■ the polygon is clipped against one edge at a time, passing the result on to the next stage


Sutherland \& Hodgman, "Reentrant Polygon Clipping," Comm. ACM, 17(1), 1974

## Sutherland-Hodgman polygon clipping 2

- the algorithm progresses around the polygon checking if each edge crosses the clipping line and outputting the appropriate points





Exercise: the Sutherland-Hodgman algorithm may introduce new edges along the edge of the clipping polygon - when does this happen and why?

## 2D transformations

+ scale

+ rotate

+ translate

+ (shear)

+ why?
- it is extremely useful to be able to transform predefined objects to an arbitrary location, orientation, and size
- any reasonable graphics package will include transforms
- 2D $\rightarrow$ Postscript
- 3D $\rightarrow$ OpenGL


## Basic 2D transformations

- scale
- about origin
- by factor $m$
- rotate
- about origin
- by angle $\theta$
- translate
- along vector $\left(x_{o}, y_{o}\right)$
- shear
- parallel to $x$ axis
- by factor $a$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\prime}=m x \\
& y^{\prime}=m y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
x^{\prime}=x \cos \theta-y \sin \theta
$$

$$
y^{\prime}=x \sin \theta+y \cos \theta
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\prime}=x+x_{o} \\
& y^{\prime}=y+y_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
x^{\prime}=x+a y
$$

$$
y^{\prime}=y
$$

## Matrix representation of transformations

+ scale
- about origin, factor $m$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
m & 0 \\
0 & m
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]
$$

+ do nothing
- identity

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]
$$

+ rotate
- about origin, angle $\theta$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]
$$

+ shear
- parallel to $x$ axis, factor $a$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Homogeneous 2D co-ordinates

- translations cannot be represented using simple 2D matrix multiplication on 2D vectors, so we switch to homogeneous co-ordinates
$(x, y, w) \equiv\left(\frac{x}{w}, \frac{y}{w}\right)$
- an infinite number of homogeneous co-ordinates map to every 2D point
- $w=0$ represents a point at infinity
- usually take the inverse transform to be:

$$
(x, y) \equiv(x, y, 1)
$$

## Matrices in homogeneous co-ordinates

+ scale
- about origin, factor $m$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
m & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right]
$$

+ do nothing
- identity

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right]
$$

+ rotate
- about origin, angle $\theta$
$\left[\begin{array}{l}x^{\prime} \\ y^{\prime} \\ w^{\prime}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}x \\ y \\ w\end{array}\right]$
+ shear
- parallel to $x$ axis, factor $a$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & a & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Translation by matrix algebra

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & x_{o} \\
0 & 1 & y_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right]
$$

In homogeneous coordinates

$$
x^{\prime}=x+w x_{o} \quad y^{\prime}=y+w y_{o} \quad w^{\prime}=w
$$

In conventional coordinates

$$
\frac{x^{\prime}}{w^{\prime}}=\frac{x}{w}+x_{0} \quad \frac{y^{\prime}}{w^{\prime}}=\frac{y}{w}+y_{0}
$$

## Concatenating transformations

- often necessary to perform more than one transformation on the same object
- can concatenate transformations by multiplying their matrices
e.g. a shear followed by a scaling:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
x, \\
y, \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
m & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right]} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
x, \\
y, \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
m & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
m & m a & 0 \\
0 & m & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Concatenation is not commutative

+ be careful of the order in which you concatenate transformations



## Scaling about an arbitrary point

- scale by a factor $m$ about point $\left(x_{o}, y_{o}\right)$ Otranslate point $\left(x_{o}, y_{o}\right)$ to the origin Oscale by a factor $m$ about the origin ©translate the origin to $\left(x_{o}, y_{o}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) }\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -x_{o} \\
0 & 1 & -y_{o} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { (2) }\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime \prime} \\
y^{\prime \prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
m & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime}, \prime \\
y^{\prime}, \prime \\
w^{\prime},
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & x_{o} \\
0 & 1 & y_{o} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
m & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -x_{o} \\
0 & 1 & -y_{o} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$


(3) $\left[\begin{array}{l}x " \prime \\ y^{\prime \prime \prime} \\ w^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & x_{o} \\ 0 & 1 & y_{o} \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}x^{\prime \prime} \\ y^{\prime \prime} \\ w^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]$

Exercise: show how to perform rotation about an arbitrary point

## Bounding boxes

- when working with complex objects, bounding boxes can be used to speed up some operations



## Clipping with bounding boxes

- do a quick accept/reject/unsure test to the bounding box then apply clipping to only the unsure objects


$$
\begin{aligned}
& B B_{L}>x_{R} \vee B B_{R}<x_{L} \vee B B_{B}>x_{T} \vee B B_{T}<x_{B} \Rightarrow R E J E C T \\
& B B_{L} \geq x_{L} \wedge B B_{R} \leq x_{R} \wedge B B_{B} \geq x_{B} \wedge B B_{T} \leq x_{T} \Rightarrow A C C E P T
\end{aligned}
$$

otherwise $\Rightarrow$ clip at next higher level of detal

## Object inclusion with bounding boxes

- including one object (e.g. a graphics) file inside another can be easily done if bounding boxes are known and used

use the eight values to translate and scale the original to the appropriate position in the destination document


## Bit block transfer (BitBlT)

- it is sometimes preferable to predraw something and then copy the image to the correct position on the screen as and when required
■ e.g. icons


■e.g. games


- copying an image from place to place is essentially a memory operation
- can be made very fast

■ e.g. $32 \times 32$ pixel icon can be copied, say, 8 adjacent pixels at a time, if there is an appropriate memory copy operation

## XOR drawing

- generally we draw objects in the appropriate colours, overwriting what was already there
- sometimes, usually in HCI, we want to draw something temporarily, with the intention of wiping it out (almost) immediately e.g. when drawing a rubber-band line
- if we bitwise XOR the object's colour with the colour already in the frame buffer we will draw an object of the correct shape (but wrong colour)
- if we do this twice we will restore the original frame buffer
- saves drawing the whole screen twice


## Application 1: user interface

+ tend to use objects that are quick to draw
- straight lines
- filled rectangles
+ complicated bits done using predrawn icons
+ typefaces also tend to be predrawn



## Application 2: typography

- typeface: a family of letters designed to look good together

■ usually has upright (roman/regular), italic (oblique), bold and bolditalic members
abcd efgh ijkl mnop-Helvetica abcd efgh ijkl mnop - Times

- two forms of typeface used in computer graphics
- pre-rendered bitmaps
- single resolution (don't scale well)
- use BitBIT to put into frame buffer

■ outline definitions


- multi-resolution (can scale)
- need to render (fill) to put into frame buffer


## Application 3: Postscript

- industry standard rendering language for printers
- developed by Adobe Systems
- stack-based interpreted language
- basic features

■ object outlines made up of lines, arcs \& Bezier curves

- objects can be filled or stroked

■ whole range of 2D transformations can be applied to objects

- typeface handling built in
$■$ halftoning
■ can define your own functions in the language


##  <br> $+3 D \Rightarrow 2 D$ projection

+ 3D versions of 2D operations
- clipping, transforms, matrices, curves \& surfaces
+3D scan conversion
- depth-sort, BSP tree, z-Buffer, A-buffer
+ sampling
+ lighting
+ ray tracing


## 3D $\Rightarrow 2 \mathrm{D}$ projection

## +to make a picture

- 3D world is projected to a 2D image
$\square$ like a camera taking a photograph
■ the three dimensional world is projected onto a plane


The 3D world is described as a set of (mathematical) objects
e.g. sphere radius (3.4) centre (0,2,9)
e.g. box size $(2,4,3)$ centre (7, 2, 9) orientation ( $27^{\circ}, 156^{\circ}$ )

## Types of projection

+ parallel
- e.g. $(x, y, z) \rightarrow(x, y)$
- useful in CAD, architecture, etc
- looks unrealistic
+ perspective
- e.g. $(x, y, z) \rightarrow\left(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z}\right)$
- things get smaller as they get farther away
- looks realistic
- this is how cameras work!


## Viewing volume



## Geometry of perspective projection



## Perspective projection with an arbitrary camera

- we have assumed that:
- screen centre at $(0,0, d)$
- screen parallel to $x y$-plane
- $z$-axis into screen
- $y$-axis up and $x$-axis to the right
- eye (camera) at origin $(0,0,0)$
- for an arbitrary camera we can either:

■ work out equations for projecting objects about an arbitrary point onto an arbitrary plane

- transform all objects into our standard co-ordinate system (viewing co-ordinates) and use the above assumptions


## 3D transformations

- 3D homogeneous co-ordinates

$$
(x, y, z, w) \rightarrow\left(\frac{x}{w}, \frac{y}{w}, \frac{z}{w}\right)
$$

- 3D transformation matrices

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { translation } & \text { identity } & \text { rotation about } x \text {-axis } \\
{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & t_{x} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & t_{y} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & t_{z} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array} \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
0 \\
0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array} 0\right.
$$

## 3D transformations are not commutative



## Viewing transform 1



+ the problem:
- to transform an arbitrary co-ordinate system to the default viewing co-ordinate system
+ camera specification in world co-ordinates
- eye (camera) at ( $e_{x}, e_{y}, e_{z}$ )
- look point (centre of screen) at $\left(l_{x}, l_{y}, l_{z}\right)$
- up along vector $\left(u_{x}, u_{y}, u_{z}\right)$
- perpendicular to el



## Viewing transform 2

- translate eye point, $\left(e_{x}, e_{y}, e_{z}\right)$, to origin, $(0,0,0)$

$$
\mathbf{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & -e_{x} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -e_{y} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -e_{z} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

- scale so that eye point to look point distance, $|\overline{\mathbf{e l}}|$, is distance from origin to screen centre, $d$

$$
|\overline{\mathbf{e}}|=\sqrt{\left(l_{x}-e_{x}\right)^{2}+\left(l_{y}-e_{y}\right)^{2}+\left(l_{z}-e_{z}\right)^{2}} \quad \mathbf{S}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
d / \sqrt{c \mid} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & d / \sqrt{\text { e }} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & d /|e| & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Viewing transform 3

- need to align line el with $z$-axis
- first transform e and I into new co-ordinate system

$$
\mathbf{e}^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{e}=\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{l} \quad{ }^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{l}
$$

- then rotate e"l" into $y z$-plane, rotating about $y$-axis

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{R}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos \theta & 0 & -\sin \theta & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
\theta=\arccos \frac{l_{z}^{\prime \prime}}{\sqrt{l_{x}^{\prime,}+l_{z}^{2}}}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Viewing transform 4

- having rotated the viewing vector onto the $y z$ plane, rotate it about the $x$-axis so that it aligns with the $z$-axis

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{l} "=\mathbf{R}_{1} \times \mathbf{l} " \\
\mathbf{R}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \phi & \sin \phi & 0 \\
0 & -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
\phi=\arccos \frac{l,{ }_{z}}{\sqrt{l^{\prime, M_{y}^{2}+l "_{z}^{2}}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Viewing transform 5

- the final step is to ensure that the up vector actually points up, i.e. along the positive $y$-axis
- actually need to rotate the up vector about the $z$-axis so that it lies in the positive $y$ half of the $y z$ plane

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{u}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=\mathbf{R}_{2} \times \mathbf{R}_{1} \times \mathbf{u} \\
\mathbf{R}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos \psi & \sin \psi & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin \psi & \cos \psi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
\psi=\arccos \frac{u^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\sqrt{u^{\prime}, "_{x}^{2}+u^{\prime} "_{y}^{2}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

why don't we need to multiply $\mathbf{u}$ by $\mathbf{S}$ or $\mathbf{T}$ ?

## Viewing transform 6

| world <br> co-ordinates |  | viewing <br> viewing <br> transform |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

- we can now transform any point in world co-ordinates to the equivalent point in viewing co-ordinate

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
z^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}_{3} \times \mathbf{R}_{2} \times \mathbf{R}_{1} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{T} \times\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z \\
w
\end{array}\right]
$$

- in particular: $\mathbf{e} \rightarrow(0,0,0) \quad \mathbf{l} \rightarrow(0,0, d)$
- the matrices depend only on e, $l$, and $u$, so they can be pre-multiplied together

$$
\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{R}_{3} \times \mathbf{R}_{2} \times \mathbf{R}_{1} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{T}
$$

## Another transformation example

■ a well known graphics package (Open Inventor) defines a cylinder to be:

- centre at the origin, ( $0,0,0$ )
- radius 1 unit
- height 2 units, aligned along the $y$-axis
- this is the only cylinder that can be drawn,
 but the package has a complete set of 3D transformations
- we want to draw a cylinder of:
- radius 2 units
- the centres of its two ends located at $(1,2,3)$ and $(2,4,5)$
* its length is thus 3 units

■ what transforms are required? and in what order should they be applied?

## A variety of transformations



- the modelling transform and viewing transform can be multiplied together to produce a single matrix taking an object directly from object co-ordinates into viewing co-ordinates
- either or both of the modelling transform and viewing transform matrices can be the identity matrix
- e.g. objects can be specified directly in viewing co-ordinates, or directly in world co-ordinates
■ this is a useful set of transforms, not a hard and fast model of how things should be done


## Clipping in 3D

## + clipping against a volume in viewing co-ordinates


a point $(x, y, z)$ can be clipped against the pyramid by checking it against four planes:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x>-z \frac{a}{d} & x<z \frac{a}{d} \\
y>-z \frac{b}{d} & y<z \frac{b}{d}
\end{array}
$$

## What about clipping in $z$ ?

- need to at least check for $z<0$ to stop things behind the camera from projecting onto the screen

- can also have front and back clipping planes:
$z>z_{f}$ and $z<z_{b}$
- resulting clipping volume is called the viewing frustum



## Clipping in 3D — two methods

+ clip against the viewing frustum
- need to clip against six planes

$$
x=-z \frac{a}{d} \quad x=z \frac{a}{d} \quad y=-z \frac{b}{d} \quad y=z \frac{b}{d} \quad z=z_{f} \quad z=z_{b}
$$

+ project to 2D (retaining $z$ ) and clip against the axis-aligned cuboid
- still need to clip against six planes

$$
x=-a \quad x=a \quad y=-b \quad y=b \quad z=z_{f} \quad z=z_{b}
$$

- these are simpler planes against which to clip

■ this is equivalent to clipping in 2D with two extra clips for $z$

## Bounding volumes \& clipping

+ can be very useful for reducing the amount of work involved in clipping
+ what kind of bounding volume?
- axis aligned box

- sphere



## Curves in 3D

+ same as curves in 2D, with an extra co-ordinate for each point
+ e.g. Bezier cubic in 3D:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(t)=(1-t)^{3} P_{0} \\
& +3 t(1-t)^{2} P_{1} \\
& +3 t^{2}(1-t) P_{2} \\
& +t^{3} P_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$


where: $P_{i} \equiv\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right)$

## Surfaces in 3D: polygons

## + lines generalise to planar polygons

- 3 vertices (triangle) must be planar
- > 3 vertices, not necessarily planar

a non-planar
this vertex is in front of the other three, which are all in the same plane
rotate the polygon about the vertical axis
should the result be this



## Splitting polygons into triangles

- some graphics processors accept only triangles
- an arbitrary polygon with more than three vertices isn't guaranteed to be planar; a triangle is

which is preferable?


## Surfaces in 3D: patches

+ curves generalise to patches
- a Bezier patch has a Bezier curve running along each of its four edges and four extra internal control points



## Bezier patch definition

- the Bezier patch defined by the sixteen control points, $P_{0,0}, P_{0,1}, \ldots, P_{3,3}$, is:

$$
P(s, t)=\sum_{i=0}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{3} b_{i}(s) b_{j}(t) P_{i, j}
$$

where: $\quad b_{0}(t)=(1-t)^{3} \quad b_{1}(t)=3 t(1-t)^{2} \quad b_{2}(t)=3 t^{2}(1-t) \quad b_{3}(t)=t^{3}$

- compare this with the 2D version:

$$
P(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{3} b_{i}(t) P_{i}
$$

## Continuity between Bezier patches

+ each patch is smooth within itself
+ ensuring continuity in 3D:
$-C_{0}$ - continuous in position
- the four edge control points must match
$-C_{1}$ - continuous in both position and tangent vector
- the four edge control points must match
- the two control points on either side of each of the four edge control points must be co-linear with both the edge point and each another and be equidistant from the edge point
$-G_{1}$
■ slightly less rigorous than $C_{1}$


## Drawing Bezier patches

- in a similar fashion to Bezier curves, Bezier patches can be drawn by approximating them with planar polygons
- method:

■ check if the Bezier patch is sufficiently well approximated by a quadrilateral, if so use that quadrilateral

- if not then subdivide it into two smaller Bezier patches and repeat on each
- subdivide in different dimensions on alternate calls to the subdivision function
■ having approximated the whole Bezier patch as a set of (non-planar) quadrilaterals, further subdivide these into (planar) triangles
- be careful to not leave any gaps in the resulting surface!


## Subdividing a Bezier patch - example



## Triangulating the subdivided patch



Final quadrilateral mesh


Naïve triangulation


More intelligent triangulation

■ need to be careful not to generate holes
■ need to be equally careful when subdividing connected patches

## 3D scan conversion

+ lines
+ polygons
- depth sort
- Binary Space-Partitioning tree
- z-buffer
- A-buffer
+ ray tracing


## 3D line drawing

- given a list of 3D lines we draw them by:

■ projecting end points onto the 2D screen

- using a line drawing algorithm on the resulting 2D lines
- this produces a wireframe version of whatever objects are represented by the lines



## Hidden line removal

- by careful use of cunning algorithms, lines that are hidden by surfaces can be carefully removed from the projected version of the objects
■ still just a line drawing
■ will not be covered further in this course



## 3D polygon drawing

- given a list of 3D polygons we draw them by:
- projecting vertices onto the 2D screen
- but also keep the $z$ information
- using a 2D polygon scan conversion algorithm on the resulting 2D polygons
- in what order do we draw the polygons?
- some sort of order on $z$
- depth sort
- Binary Space-Partitioning tree
- is there a method in which order does not matter?
- $z$-buffer


## Depth sort algorithm

(1) transform all polygon vertices into viewing co-ordinates and project these into 2D, keeping $z$ information
(2) calculate a depth ordering for polygons, based on the most distant $z$ co-ordinate in each polygon
3 resolve any ambiguities caused by polygons overlapping in $z$
4 draw the polygons in depth order from back to front
■ "painter's algorithm": later polygons draw on top of earlier polygons

- steps 1 and 2 are simple, step 4 is 2D polygon scan conversion, step 3 requires more thought


## Resolving ambiguities in depth sort

- may need to split polygons into smaller polygons to make a coherent depth ordering



## Resolving ambiguities: algorithm

+ for the rearmost polygon, $P$, in the list, need to compare each polygon, $Q$, which overlaps $P$ in $z$
- the question is: can I draw $P$ before $Q$ ?
tests get
more expensive
(1) do the polygons $y$ extents not overlap?
(2) do the polygons $x$ extents not overlap?

3 is $P$ entirely on the opposite side of $Q$ 's plane from the viewpoint?
(4) is $Q$ entirely on the same side of $P$ 's plane as the viewpoint?
$\Theta$ do the projections of the two polygons into the $x y$ plane not overlap?

- if all 5 tests fail, repeat 3 and 4 with $P$ and $Q$ swapped (i.e. can I draw $Q$ before $P$ ?), if true swap $P$ and $Q$
- otherwise split either $P$ or $Q$ by the plane of the other, throw away the original polygon and insert the two pieces into the list
+ draw rearmost polygon once it has been completely checked


## Depth sort: comments

- the depth sort algorithm produces a list of polygons which can be scan-converted in 2D, backmost to frontmost, to produce the correct image
- reasonably cheap for small number of polygons, becomes expensive for large numbers of polygons
- the ordering is only valid from one particular viewpoint


## Back face culling: a time-saving trick

- if a polygon is a face of a closed polyhedron and faces backwards with respect to the viewpoint then it need not be drawn at all because front facing faces would later obscure it anyway
■ saves drawing time at the the cost of one
 extra test per polygon
■ assumes that we know which way a polygon is oriented
- back face culling can be used in combination with any 3D scanconversion algorithm


## Binary Space-Partitioning trees

- BSP trees provide a way of quickly calculating the correct depth order:
- for a collection of static polygons
- from an arbitrary viewpoint
- the BSP tree trades off an initial time- and spaceintensive pre-processing step against a linear display algorithm $(O(N)$ ) which is executed whenever a new viewpoint is specified
- the BSP tree allows you to easily determine the correct order in which to draw polygons by traversing the tree in a simple way


## BSP tree: basic idea

- a given polygon will be correctly scan-converted if:
- all polygons on the far side of it from the viewer are scanconverted first
- then it is scan-converted
- then all the polygons on the near side of it are scanconverted



## Making a BSP tree

- given a set of polygons

■ select an arbitrary polygon as the root of the tree

- divide all remaining polygons into two subsets:
* those in front of the selected polygon's plane
* those behind the selected polygon's plane
- any polygons through which the plane passes are split into two polygons and the two parts put into the appropriate subsets
- make two BSP trees, one from each of the two subsets
- these become the front and back subtrees of the root


## Drawing a BSP tree

- if the viewpoint is in front of the root's polygon's plane then:
■ draw the BSP tree for the back child of the root
- draw the root's polygon
- draw the BSP tree for the front child of the root
- otherwise:

■ draw the BSP tree for the front child of the root
■ draw the root's polygon

- draw the BSP tree for the back child of the root


## Scan-line algorithms

- instead of drawing one polygon at a time: modify the 2D polygon scan-conversion algorithm to handle all of the polygons at once
- the algorithm keeps a list of the active edges in all polygons and proceeds one scan-line at a time
$■$ there is thus one large active edge list and one (even larger) edge list
- enormous memory requirements
- still fill in pixels between adjacent pairs of edges on the scan-line but:
■ need to be intelligent about which polygon is in front and therefore what colours to put in the pixels
- every edge is used in two pairs: one to the left and one to the right of it
+ depth sort \& BSP-tree methods involve clever sorting algorithms followed by the invocation of the standard 2D polygon scan conversion algorithm
+ by modifying the 2D scan conversion algorithm we can remove the need to sort the polygons
- makes hardware implementation easier


## $z$-buffer basics

+ store both colour and depth at each pixel
+ when scan converting a polygon:
- calculate the polygon's depth at each pixel
- if the polygon is closer than the current depth stored at that pixel
- then store both the polygon's colour and depth at that pixel
■ otherwise do nothing


## z-buffer algorithm

```
FOR every pixel (x,y)
    Colour[x,y] = background colour ;
    Depth[x,y] = infinity ;
END FOR;
FOR each polygon
    FOR every pixel (x,y) in the polygon's projection
        Z = polygon's z-value at pixel (x,y);
        IF z < Depth[x,y] THEN
            Depth[x,y] = z ;
            Colour[x,y] = polygon's colour at (x,y);
        END IF ;
    END FOR;
END FOR;
```

This is essentially the 2 D polygon scan conversion algorithm with depth calculation and depth comparison added.

## z-buffer example

| 4 | 4 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 5 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |


| 4 | 4 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 9 |  | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |


| 4 | 4 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 8 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 9 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

## Interpolating depth values 1

- just as we incrementally interpolate $x$ as we move down the edges of the polygon, we can incrementally interpolate $z$ :
- as we move down the edges of the polygon
- as we move across the polygon's projection


$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{a}^{\prime}=x_{a} \frac{d}{z_{a}} \\
& y_{a}^{\prime}=y_{a} \frac{d}{z_{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Interpolating depth values 2

- we thus have 2D vertices, with added depth information

$$
\left[\left(x_{a}^{\prime}, y_{a}{ }^{\prime}\right), z_{a}\right]
$$

- we can interpolate $x$ and $y$ in 2D

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\prime}=(1-t) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+(t) x_{2}, \\
& y^{\prime}=(1-t) y_{1}^{\prime}+(t) y_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

- but $z$ must be interpolated in 3D

$$
\frac{1}{z}=(1-t) \frac{1}{z_{1}}+(t) \frac{1}{z_{2}}
$$



## Comparison of methods

| Algorithm | Complexity | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Depth sort | $O(N \log N)$ | Need to resolve ambiguities |
| Scan line | $O(N \log N)$ | Memory intensive |
| BSP tree | $O(N)$ | $O(N$ log N) pre-processing step |
| $z$-buffer | $O(N)$ | Easy to implement in hardware |

- BSP is only useful for scenes which do not change
- as number of polygons increases, average size of polygon decreases, so time to draw a single polygon decreases
- z-buffer easy to implement in hardware: simply give it polygons in any order you like
- other algorithms need to know about all the polygons before drawing a single one, so that they can sort them into order


## Sampling

- all of the methods so far take a single sample for each pixel at the precise centre of the pixel
- i.e. the value for each pixel is the colour of the polygon which happens to lie exactly under the centre of the pixel
- this leads to:

■ stair step (jagged) edges to polygons

- small polygons being missed completely
- thin polygons being missed
 completely or split into small pieces


## Anti-aliasing

- these artefacts (and others) are jointly known as aliasing
- methods of ameliorating the effects of aliasing are known as anti-aliasing

■ in signal processing aliasing is a precisely defined technical term for a particular kind of artefact
■ in computer graphics its meaning has expanded to include most undesirable effects that can occur in the image

- this is because the same anti-aliasing techniques which ameliorate true aliasing artefacts also ameliorate most of the other artefacts


## Anti-aliasing method 1: area averaging

- average the contributions of all polygons to each pixel

■ e.g. assume pixels are square and we just want the average colour in the square
■ Ed Catmull developed an algorithm which does this:

- works a scan-line at a time
- clips all polygons to the scan-line
- determines the fragment of each polygon which projects to each pixel
- determines the amount of the pixel covered by the visible part of each fragment
- pixel's colour is a weighted sum of the visible parts

■ expensive algorithm!


## Anti-aliasing method 2: super-sampling

- sample on a finer grid, then average the samples in each pixel to produce the final colour
- for an $n \times n$ sub-pixel grid, the
 algorithm would take roughly $n^{2}$ times as long as just taking one sample per pixel
- can simply average all of the sub-pixels in a pixel or can do some sort of weighted average



## The A-buffer

- a significant modification of the z-buffer, which allows for sub-pixel sampling without as high an overhead as straightforward super-sampling
- basic observation:
- a given polygon will cover a pixel:
- totally
- partially
- not at all


■ sub-pixel sampling is only required in the case of pixels which are partially covered
 by the polygon
L. Carpenter, "The A-buffer: an antialiased hidden surface method", SIGGRAPH 84, 103-8

## A-buffer: details

- for each pixel, a list of masks is stored
- each mask shows how much of a polygon covers the pixel
- the masks are sorted in depth order
- a mask is a $4 \times 8$ array of bits:
need to store both colour and depth in addition to the mask


1 = polygon covers this sub-pixel

0 = polygon doesn't cover this sub-pixel
sampling is done at the centre of each of the sub-pixels

## A-buffer: example

- to get the final colour of the pixel you need to average together all visible bits of polygons

$A=11111111000111110000001100000000$
$B=00000011000001110000111100011111$
$C=00000000000000001111111111111111$
 final pixel colour


A covers 15/32 of the pixel
$\neg \mathrm{A} \wedge \mathrm{B}$ covers $7 / 32$ of the pixel
$\neg \mathrm{A} \wedge \neg \mathrm{B} \wedge \mathrm{C}$ covers $7 / 32$ of the pixel
$\neg A \wedge B \quad=00000000000000000000110000011111$
$\neg \mathrm{A} \wedge \neg \mathrm{B} \wedge \mathrm{C}=00000000000000001111000011100000$

## Making the A-buffer more efficient

- if a polygon totally covers a pixel then:
- do not need to calculate a mask, because the mask is all 1s
- all masks currently in the list which are behind this polygon can be discarded
- any subsequent polygons which are behind this polygon can be immediately discounted (without calculating a mask)
- in most scenes, therefore, the majority of pixels will have only a single entry in their list of masks
- the polygon scan-conversion algorithm can be structured so that it is immediately obvious whether a pixel is totally or partially within a polygon


## A-buffer: calculating masks

- clip polygon to pixel
- calculate the mask for each edge bounded by the right hand side of the pixel
$■$ there are few enough of these that they can be stored in a look-up table
- XOR all masks together



## A-buffer: comments

- the A-buffer algorithm essentially adds anti-aliasing to the $z$-buffer algorithm in an efficient way
- most operations on masks are AND, OR, NOT, XOR
$\square$ very efficient boolean operations
- why $4 \times 8$ ?

■ algorithm originally implemented on a machine with 32-bit registers (VAX 11/780)
$\square$ on a 64-bit register machine, $8 \times 8$ seems more sensible

- what does the A stand for in A-buffer?

■ anti-aliased, area averaged, accumulator

## A-buffer: extensions

- as presented the algorithm assumes that a mask has a constant depth ( $z$ value)
- can modify the algorithm and perform approximate intersection between polygons
- can save memory by combining fragments which start life in the same primitive
- e.g. two triangles that are part of the decomposition of a Bezier patch
- can extend to allow transparent objects


## Illumination \& shading

- until now we have assumed that each polygon is a uniform colour and have not thought about how that colour is determined
- things look more realistic if there is some sort of illumination in the scene
- we therefore need a mechanism of determining the colour of a polygon based on its surface properties and the positions of the lights
- we will, as a consequence, need to find ways to shade polygons which do not have a uniform colour


## Illumination \& shading (continued)

- in the real world every light source emits millions of photons every second
- these photons bounce off objects, pass through objects, and are absorbed by objects
- a tiny proportion of these photons enter your eyes allowing you to see the objects
- tracing the paths of all these photons is not an efficient way of calculating the shading on the polygons in your scene


## How do surfaces reflect light?


the surface of a specular reflector is facetted, each facet reflects perfectly but in a slightly different direction to the other facets

Johann Lambert, $18^{\text {th }}$ century German mathematician

## Comments on reflection

- the surface can absorb some wavelengths of light
- e.g. shiny gold or shiny copper
- specular reflection has "interesting" properties at glancing angles owing to occlusion of micro-facets by one another

- plastics are good examples of surfaces with:
- specular reflection in the light's colour
- diffuse reflection in the plastic's colour


## Calculating the shading of a polygon

- gross assumptions:
$\square$ there is only diffuse (Lambertian) reflection
- all light falling on a polygon comes directly from a light source
- there is no interaction between polygons
- no polygon casts shadows on any other
- so can treat each polygon as if it were the only polygon in the scene

■ light sources are considered to be infinitely distant from the polygon

- the vector to the light is the same across the whole polygon
- observation:
- the colour of a flat polygon will be uniform across its surface, dependent only on the colour \& position of the polygon and the colour \& position of the light sources


## Diffuse shading calculation


$L$ is a normalised vector pointing in the direction of the light source
$N$ is the normal to the polygon
$I_{l}$ is the intensity of the light source
$k_{d}$ is the proportion of light which is diffusely reflected by the surface
$I$ is the intensity of the light reflected by the surface
use this equation to set the colour of the whole polygon and draw the polygon using a standard polygon scan-conversion routine

## Diffuse shading: comments

- can have different $I_{l}$ and different $k_{d}$ for different wavelengths (colours)
- watch out for $\cos \theta<0$

■ implies that the light is behind the polygon and so it cannot illuminate this side of the polygon

- do you use one-sided or two-sided polygons?
- one sided: only the side in the direction of the normal vector can be illuminated
- if $\cos \theta<0$ then both sides are black
$\square$ two sided: the sign of $\cos \theta$ determines which side of the polygon is illuminated
- need to invert the sign of the intensity for the back side


## Gouraud shading

- for a polygonal model, calculate the diffuse illumination at each vertex rather than for each polygon
- calculate the normal at the vertex, and use this to calculate the diffuse illumination at that point
- normal can be calculated directly if the polygonal model was derived from a curved surface
- interpolate the colour across the polygon, in a similar manner to that used to interpolate $z$
- surface will look smoothly curved
- rather than looking like a set of polygons


■ surface outline will still look polygonal

$$
\left[\left(x_{3}{ }^{\prime}, y_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right), z_{3},\left(r_{3}, g_{3}, b_{3}\right)\right]
$$

Henri Gouraud, "Continuous Shading of Curved Surfaces", IEEE Trans Computers, 20(6), 1971

## Specular reflection

+ Phong developed an easy-to-calculate approximation to specular reflection


$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =I_{l} k_{s} \cos ^{n} \alpha \\
& =I_{l} k_{s}(R \cdot V)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$L$ is a normalised vector pointing in the direction of the light source
$R$ is the vector of perfect reflection
$N$ is the normal to the polygon
$V$ is a normalised vector pointing at the viewer
$I_{l}$ is the intensity of the light source $k_{s}$ is the proportion of light which is specularly reflected by the surface
$n$ is Phong's ad hoc "roughness" coefficient $I$ is the intensity of the specularly reflected light

## Phong shading

- similar to Gouraud shading, but calculate the specular component in addition to the diffuse component
- therefore need to interpolate the normal across the polygon in order to be able to calculate the reflection vector
- N.B. Phong's approximation to specular reflection ignores (amongst other things) the effects of glancing incidence



## The gross assumptions revisited

- only diffuse reflection

■ now have a method of approximating specular reflection

- no shadows
- need to do ray tracing to get shadows
- lights at infinity

■ can add local lights at the expense of more calculation

- need to interpolate the $L$ vector
- no interaction between surfaces
- cheat!
- assume that all light reflected off all other surfaces onto a given polygon can be amalgamated into a single constant term: "ambient illumination", add this onto the diffuse and specular illumination


## Shading: overall equation

- the overall shading equation can thus be considered to be the ambient illumination plus the diffuse and specular reflections from each light source

$$
I=I_{a} k_{a}+\sum_{i} I_{i} k_{d}\left(L_{i} \cdot N\right)+\sum_{i} I_{i} k_{s}\left(R_{i} \cdot V\right)^{n}
$$



- the more lights there are in the scene, the longer this calculation will take


## Illumination \& shading: comments

- how good is this shading equation?
- gives reasonable results but most objects tend to look as if they are made out of plastic
- Cook \& Torrance have developed a more realistic (and more expensive) shading model which takes into account:
- micro-facet geometry (which models, amongst other things, the roughness of the surface)
- Fresnel's formulas for reflectance off a surface

■ there are other, even more complex, models

- is there a better way to handle inter-object interaction?

■ "ambient illumination" is, frankly, a gross approximation

- distributed ray tracing can handle specular inter-reflection
- radiosity can handle diffuse inter-reflection


## Ray tracing

- a powerful alternative to polygon scan-conversion techniques
- given a set of 3D objects, shoot a ray from the eye through the centre of every pixel and see what it hits

shoot a ray through each pixel

whatever the ray hits determines the colour of that pixel


## Ray tracing algorithm

```
select an eye point and a screen plane
FOR every pixel in the screen plane
determine the ray from the eye through the pixel's centre
FOR each object in the scene
IF the object is intersected by the ray
IF the intersection is the closest (so far) to the eye record intersection point and object
END IF ;
END IF ;
END FOR ;
set pixel's colour to that of the object at the closest intersection point END FOR ;
```


## Intersection of a ray with an object 1

- sphere

ray: $P=O+s D, s \geq 0$
circle: $(P-C) \cdot(P-C)-r^{2}=0$

$d$ real

- cylinder, cone, torus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=D \cdot D \\
& b=2 D \cdot(O-C) \\
& c=(O-C) \cdot(O-C)-r^{2} \\
& d=\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c} \\
& s_{1}=\frac{-b+d}{2 a} \\
& s_{2}=\frac{-b-d}{2 a}
\end{aligned}
$$

- all similar to sphere


## Intersection of a ray with an object 2

- plane

ray: $P=O+s D, s \geq 0$
plane: $P \cdot N+d=0$

$$
s=-\frac{d+N \cdot O}{N \cdot D}
$$

- box, polygon, polyhedron

■ defined as a set of bounded planes

## Ray tracing: shading



- once you have the intersection of a ray with the nearest object you can also:
- calculate the normal to the object at that intersection point
■ shoot rays from that point to all of the light sources, and calculate the diffuse and specular reflections off the object at that point
- this (plus ambient illumination) gives the colour of the object (at that point)


## Ray tracing: shadows



- because you are tracing rays from the intersection point to the light, you can check whether another object is between the intersection and the light and is hence casting a shadow
- also need to watch for self-shadowing


## Ray tracing: reflection



- if a surface is totally or partially reflective then new rays can be spawned to find the contribution to the pixel's colour given by the reflection
- this is perfect (mirror) reflection


## Ray tracing: transparency \& refraction



- objects can be totally or partially transparent
- this allows objects behind the current one to be seen through it
- transparent objects can have refractive indices
- bending the rays as they pass through the objects
- transparency + reflection means that a ray can split into two parts


## Sampling in ray tracing

- single point
- shoot a single ray through the pixel's centre
- super-sampling for anti-aliasing
- shoot multiple rays through the pixel and average the result
- regular grid, random, jittered, Poisson disc



## Types of super-sampling 1

- regular grid
$\square$ divide the pixel into a number of subpixels and shoot a ray through the centre of each
- problem: can still lead to noticable aliasing unless a very high resolution subpixel grid is used

- random

■ shoot $N$ rays at random points in the pixel

- replaces aliasing artefacts with noise artefacts
- the eye is far less sensitive to noise than
 to aliasing


## Types of super-sampling 2

- Poisson disc

■ shoot $N$ rays at random points in the pixel with the proviso that no two rays shall pass through the pixel closer than $\varepsilon$ to one another
■ for $N$ rays this produces a better looking image than
 pure random sampling

- very hard to implement properly


Poisson disc

pure random

## Types of super-sampling 3

- jittered
- divide pixel into $N$ sub-pixels and shoot one ray at a random point in each sub-pixel
- an approximation to Poisson
 disc sampling
- for $N$ rays it is better than pure random sampling
■ easy to implement

jittered


Poisson disc

pure random

## More reasons for wanting to take multiple ${ }^{24}$ samples per pixel

- super-sampling is only one reason why we might want to take multiple samples per pixel
- many effects can be achieved by distributing the multiple samples over some range
■ called distributed ray tracing
- N.B. distributed means distributed over a range of values
- can work in two ways
©each of the multiple rays shot through a pixel is allocated a random value from the relevant distribution(s)
- all effects can be achieved this way with sufficient rays per pixel ©each ray spawns multiple rays when it hits an object
- this alternative can be used, for example, for area lights


## Examples of distributed ray tracing

- distribute the samples for a pixel over the pixel area
- get random (or jittered) super-sampling
- used for anti-aliasing

■ distribute the rays going to a light source over some area

- allows area light sources in addition to point and directional light sources
- produces soft shadows with penumbrae

■ distribute the camera position over some area

- allows simulation of a camera with a finite aperture lens
- produces depth of field effects
$■$ distribute the samples in time
- produces motion blur effects on any moving objects


## Distributed ray tracing for specular reflection



- previously we could onß906 calculate the effect of perfect reflection
- we can now distribute the reflected rays over the range of directions from which specularly reflected light could come
- provides a method of handling some of the interreflections between objects in the scene
- requires a very large number of ray per pixel


## Handling direct illumination



+ diffuse reflection
- handled by ray tracing and polygon scan conversion
- assumes that the object is a perfect Lambertian reflector
+ specular reflection
- also handled by ray tracing and polygon scan conversion
- use Phong's approximation to true specular reflection


## Handing indirect illumination: 1



+ diffuse to specular
- handled by distributed ray tracing
+ specular to specular
- also handled by distributed ray tracing


## Handing indirect illumination: 2



+ diffuse to diffuse
- handled by radiosity
- covered in the Part II Advanced Graphics course
+ specular to diffuse
- handled by no usable algorithm
- some research work has been done on this but uses enormous amounts of CPU time


## Hybrid algorithms

+ polygon scan conversion and ray tracing are the two principal 3D rendering mechanisms
- each has its advantages
- polygon scan conversion is faster
- ray tracing produces more realistic looking results
+ hybrid algorithms exist
- these generally use the speed of polygon scan conversion for most of the work and use ray tracing only to achieve particular special effects


## Surface detail

+ so far we have assumed perfectly smooth, uniformly coloured surfaces
+ real life isn't like that:
- multicoloured surfaces
- e.g. a painting, a food can, a page in a book
- bumpy surfaces

■ e.g. almost any surface! (very few things are perfectly smooth)

- textured surfaces

■ e.g. wood, marble


## Texture mapping

without

all surfaces are smooth and of uniform colour
with

most surfaces are textured with
2D texture maps
the pillars are textured with a solid texture

## Basic texture mapping



+ a texture is simply an image, with a 2D coordinate system (u,v)
+ each 3D object is parameterised in (u,v) space
+ each pixel maps to some part of the surface
+ that part of the surface maps to part of the texture


## Paramaterising a primitive



+ polygon: give (u,v) coordinates for three vertices, or treat as part of a plane
+ plane: give $u$-axis and $v$ axis directions in the plane
+ cylinder: one axis goes up the cylinder, the other around the cylinder


## Sampling texture space



Find ( $u, v$ ) coordinate of the sample point on the object and map this into texture space as shown

## Sampling texture space: finding the value



+ nearest neighbour: the sample value is the nearest pixel value to the sample point
+ bilinear reconstruction: the sample value is the weighted mean of pixels around the sample point


## Sampling texture space: interpolation methods

+ nearest neighbour
- fast with many artefacts
+ bilinear
- reasonably fast, blurry
+ biquadratic
- slower, good results
+ bicubic
- even slower, slightly better results
+ others
- Gaussian, sinc function, global polynomials
- not really necessary for this sort of work


## Texture mapping examples



## Down-sampling



## Multi-resolution texture


can have multiple versions of the texture at different resolutions
use tri-linear interpolation to get sample values at the appropriate resolution

| ${ }_{4}{ }_{3}^{3} 2$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 2 |  |
| 1 | 1 |

MIP map: an efficient way to store multi-resoltion colour textures

## Solid textures



+ texture mapping applies a 2D texture to a surface colour $=f(u, v)$
+ solid textures have colour defined for every point in space

$$
\text { colour }=f(x, y, z)
$$

+ permits the modelling of objects which appear to be carved out of a material


## What can a texture map modify?

+ any (or all) of the colour components
- ambient, diffuse, specular
+ transparency
- "transparency mapping"
+ reflectiveness
+ but also the surface normal
- "bump mapping"


## Bump mapping

+ the surface normal is used in calculating both diffuse and specular reflection
+ bump mapping modifies the direction of the surface normal so that the surface appears more or less bumpy
+ rather than using a texture map, a 2D function can be used which varies the surface normal smoothly across the plane

+ but bump mapping doesn't change the object's outline


## Image Processing

- filtering

- convolution
- nonlinear filtering
- point processing

■ intensity/colour correction

- compositing
- halftoning \& dithering
- compression

■ various coding schemes

## Filtering

+ move a filter over the image, calculating a new value for every pixel



## Filters - discrete convolution

+ convolve a discrete filter with the image to produce a new image
- in one dimension:

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} h(i) \times f(x-i)
$$

where $h(i)$ is the filter

- in two dimensions:

$$
f^{\prime}(x, y)=\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} h(i, j) \times f(x-i, y-j)
$$

## Example filters - averaging/blurring



Gaussian $5 \times 5$ blurring filter
Gaussian $3 \times 3$ blurring filter

$$
1 / 16 \times \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 2 \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 1 \\
\cline { 2 - 3 }
\end{array}
$$

$1 / 112 \times$| 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 2 |
| 4 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 4 |
| 2 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 |

## Example filters - edge detection

Horizontal Vertical

| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -1 | -1 | -1 |


| 1 | 0 | -1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | -1 |
| 1 | 0 | -1 |

Prewitt filters

| 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| -1 | -2 | -1 |  |  |
| Sobel filters |  |  |  |  | | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 | -2 |
| 1 | 0 | -1 |

Sobel filters

## Diagonal

| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | -1 |
| 0 | -1 | -1 |


| 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -1 | | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 0 |

Roberts filters

## Example filter - horizontal edge detection

Horizontal edge detection filter

| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -1 | -1 | -1 |

Image

$*$| 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Result

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Example filter - horizontal edge detection


original image

after use of a $3 \times 3$ Prewitt horizontal edge detection filter mid-grey $=$ no edge, black or white $=$ strong edge

## Median filtering

+ not a convolution method
+ the new value of a pixel is the median of the values of all the pixels in its neighbourhood
e.g. $3 \times 3$ median filter

| 10 | 15 | 17 | 21 | 24 | 27 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | 16 | 20 | 25 | 99 | 37 |
| 15 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 38 | 42 |
| 18 | 37 | 36 | 39 | 40 | 44 |
| 34 | 2 | 40 | 41 | 43 | 47 |

(16,20,22,23,
$25,36,37,39)$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 16 | 21 | 24 | 27 |  |
|  | 20 | 25 | 36 | 39 |  |
|  | 23 | 36 | 39 | 41 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

sort into order and take median

## Median filter - example

original


## Median filter - limitations

## + copes well with shot (impulse) noise + not so good at other types of noise

original

in this example, median filter reduces noise but doesn't eliminate it

Gaussian filter eliminates noise at the expense of excessive blurring

## Point processing

+ each pixel's value is modified
+ the modification function only takes that pixel's value into account

$$
p^{\prime}(i, j)=f\{p(i, j)\}
$$

- where $p(i, j)$ is the value of the pixel and $p^{\prime}(i, j)$ is the modified value
- the modification function, $f(p)$, can perform any operation that maps one intensity value to another


## Point processing inverting an image



## Point processing improving an image's contrast


dark histogram

black white

improved histogram

## Point processing modifying the output of a filter

black or white = edge mid-grey = no edge

black = edge
white $=$ no edge
grey = indeterminate

black = edge
white $=$ no edge



## Point processing: gamma correction

$\square$ the intensity displayed on a CRT is related to the voltage on the electron gun by:

$$
i \propto V^{\gamma}
$$

■ the voltage is directly related to the pixel value:

$$
V \propto p
$$

- gamma correction modifies pixel values in the inverse manner:

$$
p^{\prime}=p^{1 / \gamma}
$$

$■$ thus generating the appropriate intensity on the CRT:

$$
i \propto V^{\gamma} \propto p^{\gamma} \propto p
$$

■ CRTs generally have gamma values around 2.0

## Image compositing

+ merging two or more images together

what does this operator do?


## Simple compositing

+ copy pixels from one image to another
- only copying the pixels you want
- use a mask to specify the desired pixels



## Alpha blending for compositing

+ instead of a simple boolean mask, use an alpha mask
- value of alpha mask determines how much of each image to blend together to produce final pixel



## Arithmetic operations

+ images can be manipulated arithmetically
- simply apply the operation to each pixel location in turn
+ multiplication
- used in masking
+ subtraction (difference)
- used to compare images
- e.g. comparing two x-ray images before and after injection of a dye into the bloodstream


## Difference example

the two images are taken from slightly different viewpoints

take the difference between the two images

$$
d=1-|a-b|
$$

where $1=$ white and $0=$ black

## Halftoning \& dithering

+ mainly used to convert greyscale to binary
- e.g. printing greyscale pictures on a laser printer
- 8-bit to 1-bit
+ is also used in colour printing, normally with four colours:
- cyan, magenta, yellow, black



## Halftoning

+ each greyscale pixel maps to a square of binary pixels
- e.g. five intensity levels can be approximated by a $2 \times 2$ pixel square
- 1-to-4 pixel mapping


0-51


8 -bit values that map to each of the five possibilities

## Halftoning dither matrix

+ one possible set of patterns for the $3 \times 3$ case is:

+ these patterns can be represented by the dither matrix:

| 7 | 9 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 6 | 3 | 8 |

■ 1-to-9 pixel mapping

## Rules for halftone pattern design

- mustn't introduce visual artefacts in areas of constant intensity
- e.g. this won't work very well:

- every on pixel in intensity level j must also be on in levels > j
■ i.e. on pixels form a growth sequence
- pattern must grow outward from the centre

■ simulates a dot getting bigger

- all on pixels must be connected to one another
$■$ this is essential for printing, as isolated on pixels will not print very well (if at all)


## Ordered dither

- halftone prints and photocopies well, at the expense of large dots
- an ordered dither matrix produces a nicer visual result than a halftone dither matrix



## 1-to-1 pixel mapping

+ a simple modification of the ordered dither method can be used
- turn a pixel on if its intensity is greater than (or equal to) the value of the corresponding cell in the dither matrix
e.g.
quantise 8 bit pixel value

$$
q_{i, j}=p_{i, j} \operatorname{div} 15
$$

find binary value

$$
b_{i, j}=\left(q_{i, j} \geq d_{i \bmod 4, j \bmod 4}\right)
$$

|  | $m$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d_{m, n}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 0 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 11 |
| 1 | 15 | 5 | 13 | 7 |
| 2 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| 3 | 14 | 8 | 16 | 6 |

## Error diffusion

+ error diffusion gives a more pleasing visual result than ordered dither
+ method:
- work left to right, top to bottom
- map each pixel to the closest quantised value
- pass the quantisation error on to the pixels to the right and below, and add in the errors before quantising these pixels


## Error diffusion - example (1)

## + map 8-bit pixels to 1-bit pixels

- quantise and calculate new error values

| 8-bit value <br> $f_{i, j}$ | 1 -bit value <br> $b_{i, j}$ | error <br> $e_{i, j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-127$ | 0 | $f_{i, j}$ |
| $128-255$ | 1 | $f_{i, j}-255$ |

- each 8-bit value is calculated from pixel and error values:

$$
f_{i, j}=p_{i, j}+\frac{1}{2} e_{i-1, j}+\frac{1}{2} e_{i, j-1}
$$

in this example the errors from the pixels to the left and above are taken into account

## Error diffusion - example (2)

original image


process pixel $(0,1)$

process pixel $(1,0)$

process pixel $(1,1)$


## Error diffusion

- Floyd \& Steinberg developed the error diffusion method in 1975
- often called the "Floyd-Steinberg algorithm"
- their original method diffused the errors in the following proportions:

pixels still to
be processed


## Halftoning \& dithering - examples



## Halftoning \& dithering - examples

$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { original } & \begin{array}{l}\text { ordered dither } \\ \text { halftoned with a very } \\ \text { fine screen }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { error diffused } \\ \text { the regular dither } \\ \text { pattern is clearly } \\ \text { visible }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { more random than } \\ \text { ordered dither and } \\ \text { therefore looks more } \\ \text { attractive to the } \\ \text { human eye }\end{array}\right]$

## Encoding \& compression

+ introduction
+ various coding schemes
- difference, predictive, run-length, quadtree
+ transform coding
- Fourier, cosine, wavelets, JPEG


## What you should note about image data

+ there's lots of it!
- an A4 page scanned at 300 ppi produces:
- 24MB of data in 24 bit per pixel colour
$■ 1 \mathrm{MB}$ of data at 1 bit per pixel
- the Encyclopaedia Britannica would require 25GB at 300 ppi, 1 bit per pixel
+ adjacent pixels tend to be very similar
+ compression is therefore both feasible and necessary


## Encoding - overview



- mapper
encoded

■ maps pixel values to some other set of values
$■$ designed to reduce inter-pixel redundancies

- quantiser
- reduces the accuracy of the mapper's output

■ designed to reduce psychovisual redundancies

- symbol encoder

■ encodes the quantiser's output
■ designed to reduce symbol redundancies
all three
operations are
optional

## Lossless vs lossy compression

+ lossless
- allows you to exactly reconstruct the pixel values from the encoded data
- implies no quantisation stage and no losses in either of the other stages
+ lossy
- loses some data, you cannot exactly reconstruct the original pixel values


## Raw image data

## + can be stored simply as a sequence of pixel values

- no mapping, quantisation, or encoding

55451853016694358403318131631697189119694460426816114970374835572 56121564412114432185764654574611814914032453924199156811612291215 1301681241743859509652912822192125147293822198170784241434346163188 2757244024214337441631101007451393123220121505510186771111124086186 817321813678151159187114351829233386358726425214131331507320182281
152186137801314719472466722919416163179829333338312781747466384865 664226365155772296165112832413536282434138130150109563730453841157 4411017671363025414447602011191615515616512569393848382218491071191 433244302645443933376322148178141121765544422513172139704725579312 3911128137614116817019516813510283483933191623334295431217134394038 1681377814318218916010910487573635616344136632611875374134333139331 9521181197134125109664631333384233384612109254136343634343717420221
148132101795841320112653464548384242383237363740301832012011529267

$32 \times 32$ pixels 4124154743434150451044173741373331333317218016811254551118217915989 4839484612251623937284449434158130854049142122182021629860758112738
19540453441486148426153353035178212182061558070306143936534345861 3559493179737862811081951751561126053611224249514849316184778315636 638065738415714212677519122732142109895686169178802402317136302835 5 90554223373719215512910110672651915716819519215711013239403835384251 4841891971741441389892564569161199466518779131644196463837424744564 135818472604347402091588315423221118616215616722319058201175101104124 16211889816348393312209162711522102501765820191147188160147147166796 13711010183707048343721821211578310110476651941551361562021621736484 13012310677634937393626189165119123131247085229154215176921412232073 998371493536303023151581693312992276234156180219108301285926272647 4538525511112128403540211266517916215615820114544351827142123010178 6926203316023522425329841022578228110378158192148125685330292318821

1024 bytes

## Symbol encoding on raw data

(an example of symbol encoding)

+ pixels are encoded by variable length symbols
- the length of the symbol is determined by the frequency of the pixel value's occurence
e.g.

| $p$ | $P(p)$ | Code 1 | Code 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.19 | 000 | 11 |
| 1 | 0.25 | 001 | 01 |
| 2 | 0.21 | 010 | 10 |
| 3 | 0.16 | 011 | 001 |
| 4 | 0.08 | 100 | 0001 |
| 5 | 0.06 | 101 | 00001 |
| 6 | 0.03 | 110 | 000001 |
| 7 | 0.02 | 111 | 000000 |

with Code 1 each pixel requires 3 bits with Code 2 each pixel requires 2.7 bits

Code 2 thus encodes the data in $90 \%$ of the space of Code 1

# Quantisation as a compression method 

(an example of quantisation)

+ quantisation, on its own, is not normally used for compression because of the visual degradation of the resulting image
+ however, an 8-bit to 4-bit quantisation using error diffusion would compress an image to $50 \%$ of the space


## Difference mapping

(an example of mapping)

- every pixel in an image will be very similar to those either side of it
- a simple mapping is to store the first pixel value and, for every other pixel, the difference between it and the previous pixel

| 67 | 73 | 74 | 69 | 53 | 54 | 52 | 49 | 127 | 125 | 125 | 126 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | +6 | +1 | -5 | -16 | +1 | -2 | -3 | +78 | -2 | 0 | +1 |

## Difference mapping - example (1)



| Difference | Percentage <br> of pixels |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $3.90 \%$ |
| $-8 . .+7$ | $42.74 \%$ |
| $-16 . .+15$ | $61.31 \%$ |
| $-32 .+31$ | $77.58 \%$ |
| $-64 . .+63$ | $90.35 \%$ |
| $-128 .+127$ | $98.08 \%$ |
| $-255 . .+255$ | $100.00 \%$ |

+ this distribution of values will work well with a variable length code


## Difference mapping - example (2)

(an example of mapping and symbol encoding combined)
t this is a very simple variable length code
$\left.\begin{array}{cccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Difference } \\ \text { value }\end{array} & \text { Code } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Code } \\ \text { length }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { of pixels }\end{array} \\ -8 . .+7 & 0 \times X X X & 5 & 42.74 \% \\ -40 . .-9 & 10 X X X X X X \\ +8 . .+39\end{array}\right)$

## Predictive mapping

(an example of mapping)

- when transmitting an image left-to-right top-to-bottom, we already know the values above and to the left of the current pixel
- predictive mapping uses those known pixel values to predict the current pixel value, and maps each pixel value to the difference between its actual value and the prediction

e.g. prediction

$$
\breve{p}_{i, j}=\frac{1}{2} p_{i-1, j}+\frac{1}{2} p_{i, j-1}
$$

difference - this is what we transmit

$$
d_{i, j}=p_{i, j}-\breve{p}_{i, j}
$$

## Run-length encoding

(an example of symbol encoding)

+ based on the idea that images often contain runs of identical pixel values
- method:

■ encode runs of identical pixels as run length and pixel value
■ encode runs of non-identical pixels as run length and pixel values
original pixels

| 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 49 | 57 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

run-length encoding

| 3 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 4 | 38 | 1 | 39 | 5 | 40 | 2 | 49 | 57 | 4 | 65 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Run-length encoding - example (1)

- run length is encoded as an 8-bit value:
- first bit determines type of run
- $0=$ identical pixels, $1=$ non-identical pixels

■ other seven bits code length of run

- binary value of run length -1 (run length $\in\{1, \ldots, 128\}$ )
- pixels are encoded as 8-bit values
- best case: all runs of 128 identical pixels

■ compression of $2 / 128=1.56 \%$

- worst case: no runs of identical pixels

■ compression of 129/128=100.78\% (expansion!)

## Run-length encoding - example (2)

- works well for computer generated imagery
- not so good for real-life imagery
- especially bad for noisy images

19.37\%

44.06\%

99.76\%


## CCITT fax encoding

+ fax images are binary
+1D CCITT group 3
- binary image is stored as a series of run lengths
- don't need to store pixel values!
+2 D CCITT group $3 \& 4$
- predict this line's runs based on previous line's runs
- encode differences


## Transform coding

- transform $N$ pixel values into coefficients of a set of $N$ basis functions
- the basis functions should be chosen so as to squash as much information into as few coefficients as possible
- quantise and encode the coefficients

| 79 | 73 | 63 | 71 | 73 | 79 | 81 | 89 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



## Mathematical foundations

+ each of the $N$ pixels, $f(x)$, is represented as a weighted sum of coefficients, $F(u)$



## Calculating the coefficients

+ the coefficients can be calculated from the pixel values using this equation:

$$
F(u)=\sum_{x=0}^{N-1} f(x) h(x, u)
$$

- compare this with the equation for a pixel value, from the previous slide:

$$
f(x)=\sum_{u=0}^{N-1} F(u) H(u, x) \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { inverse } \\
\text { transform }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Walsh-Hadamard transform

+ "square wave" transform
$+h(x, u)={ }^{1 / N} H(u, x)$

invented by Walsh (1923) and Hadamard (1893) - the two variants give the same results for $N$ a power of 2


## 2D transforms

- the two-dimensional versions of the transforms are an extension of the one-dimensional cases
one dimension
two dimensions
forward transform

$$
F(u)=\sum_{x=0}^{N-1} f(x) h(x, u) \quad F(u, v)=\sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} f(x, y) h(x, y, u, v)
$$

inverse transform

$$
f(x)=\sum_{u=0}^{N-1} F(u) H(u, x) \quad f(x, y)=\sum_{u=0}^{N-1} \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} F(u, v) H(u, v, x, y)
$$

## 2D Walsh basis functions

- these are the Walsh basis functions for $N=4$
- in general, there are $N^{2}$ basis functions operating on an $N \times N$ portion of an image



## Discrete Fourier transform (DFT)

+ forward transform:

$$
F(u)=\sum_{x=0}^{N-1} f(x) \frac{e^{-i 2 \pi u x / N}}{N}
$$

+inverse transform:

$$
f(x)=\sum_{u=0}^{N-1} F(u) e^{i 2 \pi x u / N}
$$

- thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(x, u)=\frac{1}{N} e^{-i 2 \pi u x / N} \\
& H(u, x)=e^{i 2 \pi x u / N}
\end{aligned}
$$

## DFT - alternative interpretation

- the DFT uses complex coefficients to represent real pixel values
- it can be reinterpreted as:

$$
f(x)=\sum_{u=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} A(u) \cos (2 \pi u x+\theta(u))
$$

- where $A(u)$ and $\theta(u)$ are real values
- a sum of weighted \& offset sinusoids


## Discrete cosine transform (DCT)

+ forward transform:

$$
F(u)=\sum_{x=0}^{N-1} f(x) \cos \left(\frac{(2 x+1) u \pi}{2 N}\right)
$$

+inverse transform:

$$
f(x)=\sum_{u=0}^{N-1} F(u) \alpha(u) \cos \left(\frac{(2 x+1) u \pi}{2 N}\right)
$$

where:

$$
\alpha(u)= \begin{cases}\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} & u=0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} & u \in\{1,2, \ldots N-1\}\end{cases}
$$

## DCT basis functions

the first eight DCT basis functions showing the values of $h(u, x)$ for $N=8$

0


1


2



4



## Haar transform: wavelets

*"square wave" transform, similar to WalshHadamard

- Haar basis functions get progressively more local

■ c.f. Walsh-Hadamard, where all basis functions are global

- simplest wavelet transform


## Haar basis functions

the first sixteen Haar basis functions






## Karhunen-Loève transform (KLT)

"eigenvector", "principal component", "Hotelling" transform

+ based on statistical properties of the image source
+ theoretically best transform encoding method
+ but different basis functions for every different image source


## JPEG: a practical example

+ compression standard
■ JPEG = Joint Photographic Expert Group
+ three different coding schemes:
- baseline coding scheme

■ based on DCT, lossy

- adequate for most compression applications
- extended coding scheme
- for applications requiring greater compression or higher precision or progressive reconstruction
- independent coding scheme
- lossless, doesn't use DCT


## JPEG sequential baseline scheme

- input and output pixel data limited to 8 bits
- DCT coefficients restricted to 11 bits
- three step method

the following slides describe the steps involved in the JPEG compression of an $8 \mathrm{bit} /$ pixel image


## JPEG example: DCT transform

+ subtract 128 from each (8-bit) pixel value + subdivide the image into $8 \times 8$ pixel blocks + process the blocks left-to-right, top-to-bottom + calculate the 2D DCT for each block
image


2D DCT
the most important coefficients are in the
top left hand corner

## JPEG example: quantisation

+ quantise each coefficient, $F(u, v)$, using the values in the quantisation matrix and the formula:

$$
\widehat{F}(u, v)=\operatorname{round}\left[\frac{F(u, v)}{Z(u, v)}\right]
$$

$Z(u, v)$

| 16 | 11 | 10 | 16 | 24 | 40 | 51 | 61 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | 12 | 14 | 19 | 26 | 58 | 60 | 55 |
| 14 | 13 | 16 | 24 | 40 | 57 | 69 | 56 |
| 14 | 17 | 22 | 29 | 51 | 87 | 80 | 62 |
| 18 | 22 | 37 | 56 | 68 | 109 | 103 | 77 |
| 24 | 35 | 55 | 64 | 81 | 104 | 113 | 92 |
| 49 | 64 | 78 | 87 | 103 | 121 | 120 | 101 |
| 72 | 92 | 95 | 98 | 112 | 100 | 103 | 99 |



+ reorder the quantised values in a zigzag manner to put the most important coefficients first


## JPEG example: symbol encoding

+ the DC coefficient (mean intensity) is coded relative to the DC coefficient of the previous $8 \times 8$ block
+ each non-zero AC coefficient is encoded by a variable length code representing both the coefficient's value and the number of preceding zeroes in the sequence
- this is to take advantage of the fact that the sequence of 63 AC coefficients will normally contain long runs of zeroes

