CS 581: Theory of Computation Final exam James Hook June 7, 2005

This is a closed-book, closed-notes exam. All problems have equal weight.

- 1. Briefly justify or refute the following claims. You may cite without proof any relevant results from lecture or the text.
 - (a) $CFL \subseteq P$
 - (b) $CFL \subseteq REG$
 - (c) $NP \subseteq EXPTIME = \bigcup_k TIME(2^{n^k})$
 - (d) $TR \cap coTR = DEC$
 - (e) $TR \cup coTR = DEC$

Abbreviations: TR Turing-recognizable, coTR co-Turing recognizable, DEC the decidable languages, REG the regular languages, P polynomial time computable, NP nondeterministic polynomial time computable, and CFL Context Free Languages.

2. Rice's theorem for recursive index sets proves that all non-trivial properties of the languages accepted by Turing machines are undecidable.

In homework you proved a statement of Rice's theorem:

Let P be a language consisting of Turing machine descriptions where P fulfills two conditions. First, P is nontrivial—it contains some, but not all, TM descriptions. Second, P is a property of the TM's language—whenever $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$, we have $\langle M_1 \rangle \in P$ iff $\langle M_2 \rangle \in P$. Here M_1 and M_2 are any TMs. P is an undecidable language.

Which of the following properties satisfy the conditions of Rice's theorem:

- (a) TM has an odd number of states
- (b) TM accepts an odd number of strings
- (c) TM decides A_{TM}
- (d) TM accepts Σ^*
- (e) TM accepts $a^n b^n c^n$
- 3. Show that Context Free Languages are closed under intersection with a regular set.
- 4. Prove that A_{TM} is undecidable by diagonalization. Do not assume the undecidability of any other set to complete this proof.

- 5. In the proof of the Cook-Levin theorem an arbitrary polynomial time computation of a nondeterministic TM M is compiled into an instance of SAT. To describe the construction of the formula, the proof builds a tableau (table). The formula is satisfiable if the tableau can be filled in according to a set of rules.
 - (a) What does the tableau represent? How is it related to M?
 - (b) How big is the tableau (table)?
- 6. For each set below please say if it is decidable, Turing-recognizable, or not Turing-recognizable. Briefly justify your answers. You can refer to any results presented in the text or in lecture without proof.
 - (a) Syntactically correct sentences in the language of number theory (using operators + and ×).
 - (b) Sentences in number theory provable in a reasonable proof system. (Recall that a reasonable proof system is one in which (a) the "proves" relation is decidable and (b) all provable sentences are true.)
 - (c) All true sentences in number theory $(Th(\mathcal{N}, +, \times))$.